

THE
Divine Being

And its

ATTRIBUTES

Philosophically Demonstrated from the

Holy Scriptures,

AND

Original Nature of Things.

According to the PRINCIPLES of

Franciscus van F. M. B. of *van* HELMONT.

*Written in Low-Dutch by Paulus
Buchius Dr. of Physick, and
Translated into English by Phil-
anglus.*

LICENSED Septemb. 25.

L O N D O N

Printed, and are to be sold by Randal
Taylor, near Stationers Hall. 1693.

BT130

.B8

Office

434116

32

THE
P R E F A C E
To the
R E A D E R.

Who is a Lover of Truth.

IT is a known Maxim amongst the impartial and men of Sence, that we are to retain that which seems most probable, till we find an opportunity of coming better and more easily to the Truth. But this is also on the other side a fundamental Truth among the intelligent, that when any one has found a clearer and better way of drawing nearer to Truth, that he must forsake his former, and embrace the latter way. Yea and more then that, the Duty of a Generous man requires that he never stand still, or lye down at rest upon his knowledge, but that he be incessantly searching still after Truth that he may more and more draw nigh unto it, and therefore our Saviour saith, Matth. 7. 7. Seek and ye shall find. Even our own Nature teacheth us, that when we are not continually seek-

seeking, but resting upon that which we have
 once learned, we go backward even in that which
 we know; For seeing man is continually change-
 able in all things, and can never stand still, as
 to his understanding, he must be going for-
 wards, or he will go backwards. If then he
 does not increase in knowledge, his knowledge
 will decrease, & he will be as one in a sleep, so that
 he will not have that sagacity of understanding,
 that he formerly had. Verily nothing can be
 more evident to a man than this: For he that
 thinks that he knows any thing perfectly, and so
 will seek no further, he shall find in process of
 time, either that what he knew before, shall not be
 so clear to him as formerly, yea that he shall even
 have forgot in whole, or in part, or else, that
 if he oft rehearſes that which he has learned as
 a Lesson, will no longer be as a Truth to him:
 but only a remembrance of that which once he
 knew as a Truth: For when any one finds a
 Truth, he cannot but be glad and rejoyce at it,
 yea and as oft as that Truth presents it self
 to him as truth, and not barely as something that
 he calls to mind, so that he thereby learns other
 Truths, he will be so touched and affected there-
 with in his Inward-man, that the joy that he
 has by reason of it, shall be of more esteem with
 him than all Earthly Treasures: But if he
 esteems what he has learned for truth, so as to
 conceit himself to know all things that are
 knowable, and so seeks no further, he shall be
 sen-

sensible of no more joy and gladness in him, by reason thereof, but will through a constant custom, declare it to others, while he is not at all affected with it himself in his inward-man, for the outward gestures are attained by custom to keep themselves in the Reputation and good opinion of the People.

If then it be the duty of an honest man, and a lover of the Truth, never to stand still and rely upon his knowledge, but constantly to go forward more and more in the same, yea not only to keep on in his old way, but if he has found a better, to quit his former way, then will no man, I hope be so unreasonable as to blame that in me which is praise-worthy in others, viz. That having once found a better way to attain unto the Truth, that I do quit my former thoughts both in Divinity and Philosophy, which then did seem probable unto me. Yea, I can truly say, that nothing is more dear to me, than so to know the truth, that all things may be comprehended under one and the same truth, and not that divers things have divers Principles, from whence they are derived. And therefore, he that can give me occasion to find the Truth, and that so that it every way, and in all its parts agrees with it self, or so hangs together that one part doth not contradict the other, him I will follow, and forsake my wrong Opinions; Nor is this to be called Levity or Changeableness; but any one that has a conscience, must needs be
con-

()
convinced, that I seek after truth if perhaps
I may find it.

I know full well, that this is not to speak after the mode of the times, for he is counted a brave man that has a good memory, and can talk just as his Master has taught him, and that looks upon it as a piece of Sanctity not to depart one Title from it : yea the corruption of Manners is such, that men look at nothing but Money, Honour, Mastership, and to dispise one another, to make themselves great, &c.

But to be short, I will communicate unto the sober Reader, some of my Remarks, that he may thence see what has moved me by all manner of ways to pursue the Truth.

There's nothing more common nor more Notorious in these days, than to distinguish between Theology and Philosophy and to affirm the one to be built upon quite other Grounds than the other ; This way of Talk always seemed strange to me, and so much the more, because upon my enquiry into the Original both of Theology and Philosophy, I found them both to flow from one and the same Spring, to wit, from the Divine Being: whence I learned, that God being the Author both of his Holy Word and of Reason, and all Natural things, these two, Gods Word, and Reason, could neither contradict each other, nor be separated from one another, and consequently, that either the modern Theology, or Philosophy was false, or both of them were wrong.

And

And when I came to consider the Common Theology, I found it divided into very many Sects, and that each Sect thought not only his opinion to be the best, but that the want of Charity was so great, that each Sect judged and condemned and vomited forth Curses against the other. And in short, that Sect ~~to~~ which the Civil Magistrate stands affected, is there accounted to have the Truth and oppresseth the other, so that he that has the longest Sword among them, has not only the greatest right on his side, but has most Truth, and therefore the Weapons of (this kind of) Religion are no longer powerful through God, but Carnal.

But it is not only thus, as to Divinity, and the Sects one to another in General; But good God! what self-Love, ambition and Master-ship over one another do not those of the same Sect seek after? They use fraudulent Tricks, alledge false Citations out of other mens Books, to render them suspected: And if any man does but a little depart from the Ecclesiastick Laws of the Sect in which he is, he must forsooth be Excommunicated, he has once signed them, and therefore must blindly follow them, tho' made only by men, and altho' he finds it otherwise in the Holy Scriptures: These and diverse other things are sufficient Proofs, that those times are not yet fulfilled of which Jeremy speaks Chapter 31. V. 33. 34. I will put my Law in their inward parts, and write it

it in their Hearts, and will be their God, and they shall be my People: And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his Brother, saying, know the Lord, &c.

But when we shall once arrive at those blessed days, then shall that cumber of Councils, Synods, and Assemblies be at an end, and vanish, and Christ alone shall Rule by his Spirit: and Lord-ing it over Christs flock, and force upon Conscience, and such like Trash shall no more be mentioned among us; but on the Contrary, Love shall increase, and spiritual things be familiar, and commonly known unto us.

Now as to the Modern Philosophy, I have experienced it to be only conversant about things visible and palpable to teach nothing at all of that which is essential, or of the Nature and Origine of things. For when at any time we endeavoured to reduce it to use, thereby to dissect and know natural things and to attain the knowledge of Man and his Diseases (for Medicine belongs to Philosophy, and the knowledge of Nature and Philosophy, if true, includes the knowledge of Medicine) I always found it void of Truth, and that it could not give me the knowledge of Distempers, nor the Causes of them: which Experience made me see that the Modern corpuscular Philosophy is nothing else but a heap of Words.

I leave in the meanwhile others to think and speak what they please, to the contrary, but of this
I am

()

I am assured, that it neither does nor can give any man Wisdom, either in things Divine or Natural: But makes man so altogether Corporeal, that he knows nothing of the Spiritual; and I would fain see any man that thinks otherwise of it, to give some evidence to the contrary, and to shew how it is possible, that Bodies should operate without their Life or Spirit, and how they can every way be applyed to man and his Operations, and so long as no man does this, (as it is impossible upon their Principles to do it) I deem them ignorant of those Principles that give occasion for the explaining and understanding of things both Divine and Natural from one and the same ground.

These above mentioned difficulties caused me then to look about, for otherways, and to enquire if there were no mediums to be found, that might give occasion to discover the consonancy betwixt things Divine and Natural: Along time had I enquired with uncertainty enough after it, yea, so far I was come as to esteem all things in Philosophy uncertain: But then I found the Truth of that saying, that when we are at the greatest loss in our selves, then the means of our Deliverance are nearest at hand; when I began to be acquainted, and to have familiar Conversation with the Right Honourable the Baron F. M. Van Helmont, &c. a Gentleman whose worth I am not capable of describing, and to whom it would be a lessening
(for

(for me so very far below his Honourable State and Dignity) to attempt to sound forth his praise, the Emperour and Roman Empire having done it already, not by Word of Mouth, but by Writing : Only this I shall say, that he has been to me an Example, not only in Doctrine but also in life, and whose actions I have found to agree with his Words, a thing that in these times can with truth be said of few.

This Gentleman then brought often forth things both in Theology and Philosophy, which I had never before heard, yea, which seem'd very strange unto me, and that so much the more, because I heard it by piece meals, now one piece, and then another, which I knew not how to joyn together. But as all knowledge and Wisdom in its first beginning seems difficult, and wonderful, because we have been prepossess'd with other Principles : Therefore I began to think whether the cause of my blindness, and inability to understand, proceeded not rather from the prejudices I had suckt in, than from what his Honour said. And so resolving with my self neither to approve nor contradict his sentiments, but to examine them, whether there were no contradiction in them, or whether they could all be derived from one Principle. Wherefore I began to note some things upon Paper, it is true and I must confess it, that the things seemed mighty intricate and troublesome to me to apprehend at the first ; but when I found but any
 thing

thing that gave me any occasion to understand things, I stuck at no pains, so that it did not discourage, nor was it tedious to me at all, to imploy a whole Years time, to comprehend the thoughts of this Gentleman, upon Man and his Diseases, and so deauce them to Writing that one thing necessarily follow'd upon the other.

While I had then this enterours with his Honour concerning natural things, it oft times happened, that Scriptural Truths, and Theologick did occur, which were altogether as strange to me, and quite out of the common Road, as the Philosophick.

But because I have now found that his Honours Thoughts both in Theology and Philosophy do hang very well together, and are Truth, I judge it no less my duty, the rather because Theology is a thing that concerns every one, and in which no man ought to rely upon the saying of another, but every one ought to be a Theologue for himself, and to search after Divine Wisdom, I say I therefore judged it no less my Duty to examine whether his Honours thoughts in Theology, could be derived from the same Principle of Philosophy; whereunto I found no readier way, than first, to lay aside all Prejudices, and in the fear of God to examine what manner of Being and Operation the Divine Being and its Operation must needs be, if we will so unite the Attributes of God, so that the one does not run counter to the other, and that no-
thing

thing should follow upon the one Attribute, which
 would contradict the other, and this Harmony I
 found in his thoughts, and I thank God that
 opened my Eyes to see it. And I must confess,
 that I then found that this Gentlemans thoughts
 did not contradict the Attributes of God so imi-
 ted, but were a Consequent thereof; For which
 reason I follow Divinity by this thred, to see what
 truth will thereby be discovered: This I can at
 present say, at least, that I have now found the
 Great Wisdom of the Creator about the first
 Man; Yea I have with full assurance learned
 what it is that reconciles the sinner to God
 again, who must needs be that Reconciler, and
 on what ways the sinner obtains Communion and
 Fellowship with that Reconciler: I now affirm,
 Knowing and being assured by the Grace of
 God, that Theology and Philosophy is one
 and the same thing: But what? Verily not that
 which stands in the many fictions, inventions,
 and Speculations of empty heads, or in much dis-
 puting, but which is given unto man by the same
 Spirit, that enlightens his Eyes to Learn Divine
 things: And this I have found to be Truth
 what is said 1 Cor. 12. That it is one and
 the same Spirit which imparts unto man the
 Gift of Healing, Faith, and many Tongues,
 &c. Let the world exclaim against me as they
 will, render me as odious as they can, and call
 me all to naught as they please, I will cleave
 to the Holy Scripture alone, without the Glosses
 of

()

of men, or their Commentaries, therein lyes both the true Theology and Philosophy; and therefore O Truth-loving Reader! seek thou the Truth in Gods Word alone, come by experience to find and know what the Operation of the Spirits is, and then thou wilt not possibly doubt of the Truth of it.

This one thing only I shall here add, that if it please God to afford me health, I shall hereafter Treat of The Fall of Man, and how he must be restored.

Farewell Reader, and read with moderation, that thou mayst reap profit thereby.

Amsterdam, 23. March

1693.

P. B. Med. Doct.

*There will be suddenly published
a Treatise out of the Low-Dutch of
F. M. of Helmont touching Man
and his Diseases, drawn from sound
Reason and certain Experience, to
which this following Tract in se-
veral places refers.*

OF THE
Divine Being

And its

A T T R I B U T E S.

§. 1. **H**E that has at any time Contemplated the Divine Being, how it is, and how many Perfections or Properties it does possess, must needs confess that it is impossible for him to comprehend the Being of God with all the Attributes thereof, because being but a Creature, he must needs have infinitely less Knowledge and Perfections than the Creator, because he understands not the Work of Creation, nor has the least Power to Sustain his own Being, much less to Create other things, without himself.

§. 2. For if it were possible for any Creature to comprehend God and all his Attributes, that Creature must needs have many more Perfections, than the Creator, because what comprehends another thing, is greater

A

ter

ter then that which it comprehends ; and therefore, if any Creature could in its understanding comprehend God, that Creature would have a larger understanding than God himself, which is impossible ; because that which Creates a thing, is more perfect than the thing it Creates, which would be as if one said, that a part is greater than the whole , and a Point greater than the Circumference, which includes it.

§. 3. But tho' no Creature comprehends all the properties of the Divine Being, yet hence follows not, that we do not know, or cannot know that God is ; for that many, yea all things, that we meet with, we do know by their Operations, do exist, tho' we know them not with all their properties ; and therefore, tho' no man can comprehend God with all his perfections, yet he can comprehend that there is, and must needs be a Being, that Created him, if he does but examine himself, and compare his Creaturely Power with the Power of the Creator.

§. 4. It is most certain, and beyond all Contradiction, that he, that will try his own Forces, and Examine what is in his own power, shall find, that he cannot produce the least thing out of another thing, unless it be included in it. Yea he shall find, that he cannot keep his own Body in
that

that State, that he desires it should remain in, but that it shall, whether he will or no, dye, and return to Dust, Worms and such like.

§. 5. This Impotency teaches him, not only that he cannot preserve his Body in the same state, *but also that it must needs have been formed in time, and has not been for ever;* For that where there is a perpetual duration, there cannot be any limitation of time, and therefore it is altogether impossible, that that, which had no beginning, can have an end, or cease to continue in that state, or frame in which it has always been.

§. 6. Whence then follows, that Man seeing his deficiency, and being conscious thereof, must needs acknowledge, that he cannot have been produced by a Being that is unable to sustain it self, because then it would be much less able to give Being to any thing without its self; *But that he was made by a Being, that is sustained by no other,* because this would imply the very same defect, to wit, that it would be unable to sustain others, if it wanted power to sustain it self.

§. 7. And for as much as the Creator must needs have that Perfection, that he does sustain himself, it necessarily follows, *that the Creator himself was not Created, but has always*

been : Because that he that sustains himself at present, must either have been formerly produced by some other, and by him have been sustained till this present time, and have now received that Power not only of sustaining himself, but others also ; or he must always have had that Power of sustaining himself and others, as well as he has it at this present.

Asto the first, It is most certain, that to say, that the Creature can have been at first created by some other, and have obtained the Power of sustaining not only himself, but others ; is nothing else, but a parcel of words, which being well examined, includes a contradiction. For whoever is Created by another, must necessarily be dependant of him that created him ; because nothing can subsist out of, or without its Principle or Origine : For that every Effect ceases, when that Cause that sustains it in its state, ceases : To say then, that tho' the Creator were created by another, yet he might himself have received the Faculty not only of sustaining himself, but also of Creating others, would be just as if one should say, that a Building can keep standing without its Foundation.

Besides, if we should admit this Absurdity, that a Creature could possess the Power of upholding it self, and Creating others,
 he

he must have received this Power *either at his Creation from the very first beginning, or some time after, or he must have acquired it of himself* : For a fourth is unconceivable. If he should have received it together with his first Existence from him that Created him, then he cannot be considered as a Creator ; but only as a means, or medium, by which the Creator did exercise his Power, because he has not this Power of himself, but receives it, from another : And therefore 'tis a mistake to say, that he has received the Power of upholding himself, and Creating others, and an abuse of words ; for that it can signifie nothing else, but that God created him and daily upholds him : And so the second position falls, *viz.* that he should have received this Power some time after. As to the third, it would be considered, whether it be possible, that any thing can give it self more power, than it has received ; or to speak yet plainer, than its Nature and Properties do imply. Verily this is so absurd and impossible, that it cannot be conceived by any Man of common sence : Because Universal Experience teaches us the contrary.

It follows then, that no Creature can possess the faculty of Upholding it self, or of giving Being to other things.

§. 8. Therefore this only remains, *That he, that at this present can uphold himself, must needs have had that Power from all Eternity*: For it is impossible, that he that once upholds himself, should not always have upheld himself; *Because the sustaining of himself includes independency of all others*; Now he that depends upon no other, cannot possibly have been Created by any other, or by himself: of another not, because to be Created infers a dependency, as we have just now shewed, which therefore is a Contradiction to this Proposition, in which we speak of a Being that can uphold it self. It implys also a contradiction to Create himself. Because Creation includes a beginning of an own-outworking (as shall be proved §. 27.) If then any one should Create himself, he must have had an outworking, before he had been created, that is, before he could Work. Which implies a contradiction, because to Create himself, is to produce something, before one has power so to do: So that this Difficulty vanishes of it self. Yea necessarily implyes, *That, that Being, that upholds it self, is uncreated, and has alwayes maintained it self in that state.*

§. 9. For as much then as a Man learns from his own insufficiency, that there must needs be a Being, that brought him forth,
and

and upholds him, which necessarily infers, that that Being is uncreated and independant, as already is proved, he can further infer from hence, (tho' but imperfectly, by reason of his shortness of understanding) what Perfections are necessarily required in this uncreated Being.

§. 10. Having thus learned from the Nature of our own Being, that that Being, which creates us, is, and always was, it self uncreated, we do justly conclude further, that it is also **Unchangeable**: for if it were changeable in the least, with respect to its Being, it were absolutely impossible that it should have been always uncreated: because to be uncreated and independant cannot subsist with the least mutability: because to be uncreated does necessarily imply a Being, that can neither be better nor worse, but which always works the same thing; because it depends upon no other, (according to §. 8.) and consequently cannot be changed by any other.

This being cannot change it self, but it must be either with respect to its thoughts, operations, or Being.

With respect to its thoughts or works, it cannot change it self, because a mutation of thought or operation, implies a beginning of something Essential, which it, till then

then had not thought, or had not till then done, and made an end of that which it did before Work or do. And in as much as it is natural to, or the property of a thinking Being to think, it follows that there cannot be the least change of thought, without a change of something essential, which cannot happen in this uncreated Being, because it would then be defective, and imperfect, which is inconsistent with the upholding of it self, as shall be proved §. 11. as also with its Omnipresence, and Omniscience, of which we shall speak §. 14. 15.

True it is, that it may here be Objected, That tho' a man changes in his thoughts, and actions, that that makes no change in his Being.

But this is a *false* Objection: for seing our Thoughts, and Works, proceed not, but from our Life; and that we bring not forth any thing, but as caused thereby, it follows that no change can happen in our thoughts, neither for the better, nor the worse, except our Life or Being do first change so to work.

With respect to his Being, this uncreated Being cannot change himself, because then he must either become better or worse: For the worse he cannot change himself, because 'tis natural to all things, to keep themselves in a good State so long as they
can

can possibly : Nor can he better himself, because then he must have that power of himself, or have received it of some others. Of another can he not have received it, because he upholds himself, (according to §. 8.) And it cannot make it self better ; because that which upholds it self, and is uncreated, must needs have had that perfection from all Eternity, which 'tis pretended, that it has obtained in process of time. From all which then it follows, that this uncreated Being is also *unchangeable*.

§. 11. For as much then as this Being is **Unchangeable** both in its *Thoughts, Works* and *Being*, it is impossible to conceive wherein it should be lyable to the least Imperfection ; for being uncreated and upholding it self, according to §. 6. and 7. it cannot have the least imperfection, because that which upholds it self must needs have all Perfections, because in that part wherein it should be imperfect, it would not be able to uphold it self ; because every thing that is lyable to the least defect, shews that it upholds not it self ; for if it could uphold it self, and yet was in any wise deficient, it would in stead of being so deficient, render it self Perfect, and abide in that state of Perfection.

And for as much as §. 10. it is proved,
that

that this uncreated Being is unchangeable, it necessarily follows, that it enjoys all perfections, because that which cannot be increased nor diminished, without increasing, and diminishing its Being, must needs possess all things that can possibly be given : now that which perfectly enjoys all things, and admits not of the least deficiency must *in every respect be Perfect.*

§. 12. For as much then as this being is absolutely **Perfect**, it necessarily follows, that it is also **Indivisible** or **Incorporeal**, because all things that are Corporeal, and consequently divisible, shew themselves to be mutable, as it is natural to all Bodies to change their Figures and forms, and because it is proved §. 10. that God is not in the least mutable, it follows thence, that the least corporeity, or divisibility cannot be essential to him.

§. 13. From this Immutability and Indivisibility follows, *that no time can be conceived in this perfect Being.* Because time, being nothing else but a certain Limit, which Men conceive in themselves, of the duration of things that occur unto them, to wit, when they began, how long they shall continue, and when they shall pass away ; for as much then as God is uncreated and unchangeable, he cannot be limited by any
time,

time, in which he should have obtained his being, or how long he has been in that state, because to be uncreated, and unchangeable, implies no time, but perpetual duration according to §. 7.

§. 14. Seing then there is no time in God, it necessarily follows *that all things are present to him*, that is, that nothing doth now appear, or come forth to View, that hath not been always, with God. For if any thing were new to God, God could not be alwayes present to all things, or all things would not be always and without ceasing present to God; but would begin to be present to him; and seing there is no time in God (according to §. 13.) nothing can be said in the least to begin to be present to God, which has not always been present to him.

But it will be here said: That God is alwayes present; that is, that God is alwayes present to all created Beings, when created, but that he cannot be said to be present to things before they are Created.

This Objection indeed to our humane understanding, and according to our apprehension of things, as present to us, seems to include a truth; but because we our selves are never present, but always under
mu-

mutation, and that all things that occur unto us, do also continually change (as is proved in the Observations of Baron *Van Helmont* upon Man, and his Diseases §. 39. 40, 41, 42.) therefore Gods being present cannot be considered in the same manner, or measured with our manner of being present to things, because he is unchangeable, we continually changing.

Besides when we say, concerning any Creature, that it is present, we mean nothing else, but that we hear or see it, &c. in this or that place; But on this wise can nothing be said to be present to God, because, he being incorporeal, according to §. 12. cannot be externally seen nor included in any place. But must be so present, that nothing can be or subsist out of him; seeing then, that nothing can subsist out of God (as shall be proved §. 16.) and that God is in all respects unchangeable (according to §. 10.) God must needs be present to all things on that wise; that is, he must have all things in him: For if God, began then first to be present to all things, when they were created, or first began to be, then should God necessarily be now present to some things, to which he was not present in former times. Which would be something new to God; and because every new thing infers a Change, whether in
thought

thought or deed, and that God is in every respect, unchangeable (according to §. 10.) it is impossible that God should not be always present to all things.

But it will be here again said, that presence is a consequence of the Creation, and that therefore this has relation only to the Creation, and not with respect to the Attributes that flow from the Being of God it self.

But this exception is utterly false : for if it flows from the nature of Gods Being, that he be unchangeable, and perfect, then it follows also from his Being, that he cannot with respect to his Works or Being, be extended or enlarged : Now, that which cannot be extended nor enlarged, cannot be said to be present to more things at this time, then in time past : at one time then another. For if it was not present but in the Creation, and the Creation be (according to the common opinion) something, that before was *nothing*, then this Being must be more extended now, than formerly, because there being nothing before the Creation. (according to the common Hypothesis) this being could not be operative in that, which was not ; and God being more present with respect to the Creation, must needs be now more extended with respect to his Being or Works than he was before

before the Creation, which is directly contrary to §. 10. where the contrary is proved : So that this exception, that Gods presence is a consequence of the Creation falls to the ground.

Again they which do consider Gods being present, but as a consequence of the Creation, cannot conceive God to be present any other way, then man is said to be present to things; altho' they seem to say the Contrary. Now the difference betwixt Gods being present and Mans being so, and wherein it consists, has been shewed in the precedent Objection.

But in opposition to these our thoughts concerning Gods Presence, there will be another difficulty advanced, *viz.* How it is conceivable, that all things should have been in God, as they are before their Creation. And that this being to us utterly unconceivable, seems therefore to be false.

For my part I freely confess, that it is unconceivable to Men, after what manner or how these things were in God before the Creation : but tho' my understanding cannot conceive it, it follows not that therefore it is false: for if nothing else be true but what I can comprehend, it would follow that all the Attributes of God are not in him, yea that God is not, because God is incomprehensible : Which consequence yet
is

is absurd, as is proved §. 2. 3. even so is it unreasonable to infer such consequences from our comprehension with respect to Gods presence.

Moreover if we truly understand, what Creating is, (as shall be shewed §. 27.) this difficulty would fall of it self. To shew then in some sort the possibility of all things having been always present to God: Let us consider, that all our words, thoughts and deeds, are not a meer nothing, but spiritual beings (as is proved in the Consideration of B. *Van Helmonts Observation upon man* &c. §. 19. 44, 45, 76, 86, 91, 93, &c.) And that they often times are seen by others, before they are acted in the body ; as for example it is sufficiently known, and especially to such as have it by experience, that the apparition of one that is yet alive will be represented to another, and that the very same noise or din, which afterwards will be heard at the death of the Person, is heard before his departure: These things now, which are so heard or seen, and of which we have a clear evidence, as when we speak with the Persons themselves, cannot be meer nothings, because no man can hear or see a meer nothing : but these are something essential (as is proved in the Considerations of B. *Van Helmont* ;) I query then what greater impossibility there is
in

in this, that all things are always present to God, than it is that men should sometimes perceive things beforehand, that come not to pass till sometime after, there is no difference here, but in point of time, to wit, that God has been alwayes present to all things, which men many times have perceived, seen, and heard but some small time, before they were wrought out : So that this plainly evinces that all natural things do already really exist, before they were wrought out, tho' we know not how.

And because we do here give a Key, by which we may understand the possibility of Apparitions, we must as we go, take notice of two mistakes, concerning Prophecies, and Apparitions. First of those that look upon Prophecies, & Apparitions as Fables, because they cannot conceive how things can be seen or known before they come to pass, not knowing that all things are always essentially, altho' not visible. Secondly of those that receive Prophecies, and Apparitions for truth in opposition to the former, altho' they cannot give the least reason for it, yea if they do not acknowledge that all things do essentially exist, before they be corporally wrought out, will be forced to assent to the opinion of the former, as not having any thing to oppose against it, because setting this Truth aside, that all things have
al-

always been essentially in God , there is not the least proof to be given of the truth of Prophecies and Apparitions.

§. 15. And because all things are continually present to God, this most perfect Being must also needs be *Omniscient*, that is, God knows when and at what time all things, that are hid shall be revealed; because, being the Original of all things (as shall be proved §. 17.) and having all things present with him (according to §. 14.) he knows, when this or that thing shall (in the order that he has establisht in all things) be bodily wrought forth, that is, when it shall bodily appear, or be acted in the Body, (for of such things as are to be done in the body, it is, that we now speak,)

Yea it is altogether impossible, that any man can have any the least Notion or Idea of the signification of *Omniscience* if he does not presuppose, that all things are always present to God : and to speak yet plainer, it is impossible that God can be Omniscient if all things be not present to him : For I pray, *what is it to know a thing*, but to *comprehend it* ? And we must needs have the Idea or being of what we do comprehend ; and hence it is, that he that has no Idea or Image of a thing, does not truly apprehend it : For as much then as the knowledge of

B

a thing

a thing consists in the having an Idea thereof, and that the Ideas are Spiritual Beings, (as is proved in the Considerations of B. *Van Helmont* upon Man, &c. §. 44. 45.) it follows, because God has in him the Ideas (or that which is essential) of all things, which are always present to him, that he must needs be also *Omniscient*.

But it will be said, that knowledge in God, is not so as it is in man, because God has all knowledge from himself, and comprehends all things, so as they shall be when they shall begin to appear in the Creation, whereas, on the contrary, Men must receive their Ideas from without, from the things themselves, which do give forth their Ideas or Images In us; and therefore the Omniscience of God cannot be likened to the knowledge of Man.

But this Objection says nothing against what we have advanced: For we say not that the knowledge of God is to be likened to the knowledge of Man; but that Gods knowledge, is knowledge, and that all knowledge infers an *Idea of the thing known, or which we comprehend*. As for Example, no man can know what a Tree, or Beast, or such like is, unless he has the Idea or Image of that thing in him.

The difference then betwixt Gods knowledge and our knowledge consists herein, that we cannot frame

frame any Idea of any thing, but what the things themselves gives forth, so that the knowledge of the Creatures is stirred up in us, and hence it is, that no man can form in himself an Idea or Image of any thing, that he has never seen. But it is not thus with the knowledge of God, but the quite contrary, to wit, That God stands in need of nothing to acquire the knowledge thereof, because he being the Original of all things (as shall be proved in the next §.) and wanting nothing, but on the contrary, enjoying all Perfections according to §. 11. produceth all things, and is the Author of them: So that the difference betwixt Gods and Mans knowledge, is this, that men are passive, that is, they cannot know, nor work any Idea of any thing unto themselves but must receive it from without: whereas on the contrary, the most perfect Being is active, that is, it wants no knowledge from without, but knows all things from or of himself.

So that hence it may be easily enough understood, that we do not liken the knowledge of God unto Mans knowledge, but do assert an infinite distance betwixt the one and the other.

But this is not the main Business, that we properly aim at here, to wit, what Gods knowledge is, and how men come by the knowledge they have: But the question

here is, what is knowledge. And we have shewed, that all knowledge or comprehension, if it be true knowledge, includes in it an Idea or figure of the thing, which we comprehend, or else it is no knowledge of the thing as it is : but only that such a thing is, as by Example we know indeed that God is, but we know not what manner of being he is, that is, we have no Idea in us of his Being as is proved §. 2. 3.

But here we speak not properly of such a thing, as of which we can have no Idea, but of things which fall under perception and Comprehension. For we treat here of the Being of God, which is greater than all Created things, and therefore his *Omniscience* must needs comprehend the knowledge or comprehension of all things, so as things shall be created. And therefore we are only to consider here that knowledge, which contains only the Idea or being of the thing known : which knowledge must needs be in the most perfect being, *viz.* that it has in it self the Ideas of all things so as they shall be created, or else God could not be *Omniscient*, that is, he could not comprehend all things ; Now these Ideas, that God has of all things, are not a meer nothing (as has been shewed already) nor cannot be nothing ; Because in God there cannot be *nothing*, but must all be actually and essentially

ly

ly according to his perfection ; whence then follows beyond all contradictions that God had all things essentially in him before the Creation, and that he can in no wise be *Omniscient*, but by having the Ideas, (or that which is essential) of all things in him, and consequently that all things did not then first obtain their Beings, in their Creation, but were all before the Creation essentially in God.

§. 16. This most perfect Being then, being thus Omniscient, that all things are present to him, *it must needs be the Original of all Created things* ; because he that has all things present, that is, he that comprehends all things, is greater than the things that are present to him, that is, that are comprehended in or by him. Now these things that are always present to God, do shew their deficiency and want of power to keep themselves always in the same condition, because they continually change: Now for as much as they do not uphold themselves, they cannot possibly be the cause of their own Being ; because he that is the cause of his own Being, must needs have the Power of having been always the 'cause of his own being, and consequently he must be able to preserve himself in the same state. Now for as much as no Creature has the
power

power of Conserving it self in the same frame, it follows, that some other must be the cause of its being; and he that is the cause of its being cannot be like unto him, that is, he cannot be as he is, a Creature, because no Creature has the least power of producing any thing, nor yet so much as of Conserving it self for one Moment (as in §. 4. 5, 6.) so that *the Original or Cause of the Creatures* can be no Creature, but must be that being, which is from all Eternity, which subsists of it self, and to whom all things are present.

§. 17. Seing then that God is thus Omniscient, that all things are continually present with him, and so present that they are dependent of him, and that he is the Cause or Original of all things, it necessarily follows that this perfect Being, has also this Power, that he can so produce all things, that what he produceth shall be able to accomplish the end, to which their maker has appointed them. Because he, of whom all things are dependant, and who is the sole Cause of all things, cannot be so hindred by any thing, that what he has produced should not effect that which is according to his aim and will: which power of producing all things is truly enough said to be **Omnipotent**: Not that the word *Omnipotent* does

does signifie a power to do all things both good and evil; in no wise: For seeing there is no defect in God, it cannot be that the most perfect Being should produce or work out any thing that should not have all the parts requisit to its appointed out-working; but if his work should be so defectively produced, it would be evil with respect to God, who is the Work-master, or the Maker thereof, but this cannot in any wise be in a perfect Being.

§. 18. And as this Being is so Powerful, that it produces all things with those Faculties, that render them capable of Effecting that, whereunto they are ordained and appointed, so it is also, not only *Good* in or with respect to its self (which Goodness, *in that respect*, is nothing else but its own Perfection (of which we have spoken §. 11.) but it must needs also be good in the highest degree, with respect to the Creatures; because all the Good which is required to their Well-being, they must receive from him, as not being able to subsist out of him.

§. 19. And this Perfection in the Divine Being, being demonstrated in §. 11. it follows that it cannot be *without Operation*, because if it were not Operative, it would it self want that which is common to every
Crea-

Creature; for there is no Creature, how mean soever it be, that is without its Operation : Now if the most perfect Being should want that which is even Natural to all the Creatures, it would in that respect be more deficient then the Creatures themselves, nor would possibly have all those Perfections that are necessary to a perfect Being.

§. 20. Again this being is not only operative, but it must needs have been *always* or *Continually Operative*, because if it be not always Operative, there would then be a cessation in this being, either from all Operation, or but in part. Whether now we say, that this Cessation be total or but partial, it asserts a change, and that infers a defect or imperfection, as is more at large proved in §. 10. And seing, according to §. 10. and 11. God is neither Mutable in his Being nor in his Working, but is in every respect present, it follows, that God must *always have been Operative or Working*.

§. 21. And seing the Immutability of this Being is proved §. 10. and its Perfection in §. 11, it follows, that it is not only always Operative, *but also that it must always Operate or work the same thing*: That is, that God does not only never cease to
work

work, but also, that he does not change his Working ; because if God did not always work the same thing, it must be either that he might make his Work better or worse : But this Being makes its work neither better nor worse : Because whatsoever it works, must be agreeable with its own Attributes ; seing therefore that it is unchangeably perfect according to §. 10. and 11. it must necessarily have also that Perfection that it works always wisely and with understanding, yea with such understanding, that there can be neither too much nor too little in its Works, and consequently that it produceth its Works neither better nor worse, or else there would be a defect in the Nature of this Being, and consequently it would neither be immutable nor perfect, the contrary of which has been proved.

And because there is no time in God, that is, because his Being cannot be limited by any time (according to §. 13.) but that it is perpetual, therefore, the Attributes that flow from his Divine Being, cannot be temporal. or subject to time. Because we can no sooner speak of a Being, but we must needs include all the essential Attributes thereof ; for if you take from a Being its Attributes, it is no more the same thing that it was before, and seing in §. 18. it

it is proved that Operation, or to Work is natural to the Divine Being, it follows, that because God is every way unchangeable, that his Working must also be so, and that it can never cease to be the same.

Moreover, if God did not always work the same thing, but did work something new, the essential of which was not before, then God could not be present to all things, because that which did but now begin first to be, or to receive Being, cannot be said to be present before its being; And for as much as it is proved §. 14. that all things are present with God, God cannot at this time work out or produce any other Beings, but those, which were continually present to him; and consequently, because all things are always present to God, God must needs always work the same and no new thing.

And seeing Gods Omniscieny does include, that he hath the essential of all things in him, according to §. 15. therefore God cannot work any new thing, that is, which is essentially so, and which God is not always working, because if God did work out or produce any thing that is new, he could not be said to be Omniscient, of which we have spoke more at large §. 15.

From all which it follows, that *God must needs be always working the same thing.*

If any one will here alledge, that it does
not

not follow that the Divine-Being does always work the same thing ; because this Being does all things freely and without constraint, and consequently can either cease from his former Work, or begin a new Work, he shall find, that he makes the will of God to contradict his immutability, which cannot consist with the nature of the most perfect Being.

But because this Objection arises from ignorance of the Nature of the free will of God, therefore we shall make answer to it, when we come to Treat of the Freedom of the Will of God, to wit, in the next 22. §.

It will again perhaps be said, tho' that God always Works the same thing, with respect to his own Being, that is, that he can never change his own Perfection, yet it follows not from hence, that God does always work the same thing, as to his works which he has made ; As for Example, before the World was Created God produced not any thing, that was not his own Being, but was Operative in himself, *i e.* All-sufficient: For we can conceive or think upon the most perfect being, tho' we think not upon his Creatures ; so that it flows not from the nature of his Being, that he must needs always Create.

As to this distinction betwixt Gods Working with respect to the Working, which

which is in God, and his Working with respect to the Works that he makes, tho' it seems to say something, yet in the ground it says nothing: For when we say that God always works the same thing with respect to his Being; does not *that necessarily imply*, that God does always work the same thing, and always doth that which follows from his perfect Being, if therefore it follows from the nature of this Being, that he can Create, and that he is withal unchangeable, both with respect to his Being, and to his Working, (according to §. 10.) can any thing else follow from hence (without destroying the essential immutability of God) but that God always Creates? It is so impossible to separate these two from each other, that if we will assert, that God does not always work the same thing, we shall in very deed deny the immutability of God, which in Words we do confess.

Besides, when we distinguish betwixt Gods Working with respect to his own Being, and the Works which he makes, and do thence conclude, that God can work in himself without bringing forth any thing, but his own Being; we must consider, whence we have this distinction.

This is certain, that we are conscious to our selves, that we can think upon a thing, without presently executing what we think;
yea,

yea, that we can according to our own Will, do or omit divers things, without considering whether it be regular or no. This forbearing to put in Execution what we *have once* thought, proceeds not so much from our own Will or Choice, many times, as from a want of Power to do it; for the Nature of all men is such, that as soon as they have considered that a thing is good or profitable to them, they do immediately wish and desire to put it in Execution, and because they do many times want power so to do, or that long time is thereunto required, they do forbear to accomplish their Designs; or else, if they wanted not for power, they would immediatly put them in Execution.

If any man shall pretend that a Man sometimes considers of things, that he can immediatly do, and yet forbears, the Question is, whether those things be good or evil. If Good, the omission of them is a neglect and a defect in him; because it is always necessary that he should do good, that is, that which the order of things does require (for Evil is that which is contrary to the appointed Order) and for him to forbear it, is wrong. We must not feign then (from our own deficiency) such things in God, as are inconsistent with his Perfection, and are a clear Proof of a defect in our selves.

ſelves. So I leave it to every man to conſider whether ſuch a diſtinction can poſſibly be admitted in the Working of God, without the diminution of his Perfection.

And when it is ſaid, that God was all-ſufficient and *Operative in himſelf before the Creation of the World*, if we conſider the thing aright, we ſhall find, that they that uſe this manner of Speech, do neither ſay any thing that is intelligible to others, nor in any wiſe do underſtand themſelves what they ſay. For when they ſay that God worketh in himſelf, or is all-ſufficient; do they not ſignify thereby, that God ſtands in need of nothing, neither wanteth any thing from any one, but is independant of any Creature. I take this to be the proper ſenſe of theſe words, which thoſe that uſe this manner of Speech would ſignify thereby. But tho' this be the true meaning of theſe Words, yet it is nothing to the purpoſe in this caſe: For I pray obſerve, to what Queſtion this Answer is given, to wit: When any one asks, what did God do before he Created the World? The common answer is, God wrought in himſelf, or God was all-ſufficient. Verily an answer for Children, but not for Men of Senſe; for this is not the Queſtion, whether God had the ſame perfections before the Creation as after: Neither do we here ſpeak of
the

the Being of God, but of his Works, so that it is just as if the one inquired after the East, and the other directed him to the West. Again if we sift this Answer a little more narrowly, we shall find a lessening of Gods Perfections: for to say that God was all-sufficient, or wrought in himself before the Creation, is as if they would signify that since the Creation God was not so. For what else can it signify in this place? Or if this be not their meaning, but that they also assert God to be alwayes unchangeable, it is not to the purpose, and they shew thereby that they are not able to answer that Question: What God did before the Creation? they ought to say, that God either Created something or nothing: If they say, that God Created nothing before *this World*, they ought to prove, that that follows from the Nature of God himself; as on the contrary they ought to require of us, that we should prove our assertion: that God is always a Creator, and Creating from the nature of that Divine Being.

But against this position, that God is always Working the same thing, and therefore, is always Creating, there is one shift more, *viz.* That we can conceive the most perfect Being, without conceiving that God is alwayes Creating, *Ergo*, it flows not
from

from the Nature of God that he is always Creating.

First, we must here consider, that Man cannot at once conceive all Gods Perfections, for reasons rendred in §. 2. So that it is no wonder, that we speaking of the Being of God, do not think upon his continual Creating, just as when a man is treating of the Wisdom of God; he then thinks not upon his Omnipotency, &c.

But if by the Word *conceive* they do not mean *to think upon* such an Attribute of God, but that they cannot see from the Attributes of God, that he must needs be always Creating and Working the same thing, they have not narrowly enough considered, what is necessarily required in the most perfect Being, and we pray them seriously to weigh what we have said, §. 8. 19, 20. concerning Gods Working, in which the Work of Creating is included. Furthermore, if any one says, that he can contemplate the Being of God, although he does not conceive in that thought, that God is always Creating, I ask such an one whether he can know that there is a God, but by and from the Creation? If yea, then he himself must not be a Creature; if not, because being himself a Creature, and thence learning to know God, how can he think upon God, without conceiving, that he is always

ways Creating? Seing his own Being teaches him that God Creates, and that God never wants the power that he hath, but remains the same unchangeable in every respect. No Creature can then think a right of God without conceiving him, as always creating.

§. 22. But albeit God be always working the same thing, yet what he does, he does freely, or without Constraint; that is, it flows from the Nature it self of the Divine Being so to do continually; nor is he thereunto constrained by any other; else he could not be said to be Omnipotent (according to §. 17.) if he had not such a **Free** or unconstrained Will. Again it's necessary, or must needs be, that the Divine Being has a **Free-Will**, because this Being upholding it self (according to §. 8.) is dependant of no other, and consequently no body has power to constrain this Being in any thing.

But because many do not know that we must so consider the particular Attributes of God, that the one does not contradict the other, but being joyned together do all agree in one; therefore there are many idle and needless Questions brought concerning the Free-will of God, which are generally propounded: *viz. Whether God*

can Will contradictory things? Whether God could have Created this World otherwise than he has? and such like Trifles, which are not once worth the thinking upon, but however to answer them all at once.

We must consider, What Free-Will is in God, and what it cannot possibly be. The Free-Will in God then is nothing else, but that God Wills that all things should be so produced, that each Creature be indued with such Attributes, Properties or Faculties, as are requisit to such a Creature, that it may perform that which God aims at thereby, in short: Gods Free-Will differs nothing from his Almightyness, and is only a different Name to the same Attribute, as may be seen §. 17. compared with this §. 22.

For as much then as it flows from the Nature of the most perfect Being, that he should produce all things in the manner which is most agreeable to his Wisdom, that is, that they should neither have too much nor too little, it is impossible from the nature of God himself, that he should do contradictory things, or that he could Create the World otherwise. Yea such Questions, whether God could do otherwise, signifies that those that ask them, do conceive in themselves something better, or do imagine that they could have produced the World in a better way than it is
now.

now Created, which they must prove (yet so, that it could not at all contradict any of the Attributes of God) or else their Question is vain and Fruitless, and they say they know not what.

But this wrong apprehension of the Free-will of God, to wit, this conceit that God could Will otherwise then he now Wills, have men borrowed from their own Nature, viz. Because they find in themselves a liberty to will this or that, they thence conclude, that the Will in God must also be thus free; & for this very Reason many do assert an indifferency of Will in God; just as if God could be as man, indifferent to do or to forbear a thing; never considering that this indifferency of will in themselves is but an effect of Ignorance, and want of Experience, for which cause it is that they know not what to do, nor what to forbear. As for an Example, A man that is convinced, that to do this or that thing is certainly good, will not do the contrary, no nor yet neglect to do that, if he will give way to reason, and love the good.

But many times not knowing which is best, he ballances in himself, and sometimes inclines to this, sometimes to that. So that this *Free-will* or *Indifferency of Will* in man, is but the effect of Ignorance. This being so, it is impossible that God should

have any such Free-will or Indifferency in him of doing or forbearing a thing ; because God being perfect and Omniscient, cannot be ignorant of the least thing, but knows all things ; and must produce all in the best manner, whence then follows, that God knowing all things cannot stand in any indifferency to do or to forbear a thing, because then God would not know all things after the most perfect manner, if he could will or incline to do a thing or not to do it. Yea to assert such a Freedom or *Indifferency of Will* in God, is utterly inconsistent with the Attributes of God, and is sufficient to set the Attributes of God at odds one with the other, as every one can easily perceive from what has been here said, if he will but examine it well.

§. 23. For as much then, as it follows from the very nature of the most perfect Being, that it is always working the same thing, we must consider, what is meant by Gods Working ? *Among the Works of God are to be understood the continual Creating and upholding of all Beings.*

§. 24. By the continual upholding of the Beings we understand, that all things which are always present with God (according to §. 14. do continually receive power

er

er from God, to persist in their State ; *For albeit they had no beginning, nor shall ever cease to be present to God,* yet they have not that Perfection that they can uphold themselves: All Creatures, and none so much as our own beings, do teach us this, which shews that we are deficient and full of wants, and cannot uphold our selves in the least, as is proved §. 4. 5. For as much then, as we cannot uphold our selves now, it follows, that our Being could not uphold it self before its Creation, because that which could once uphold it self, can never be deprived of that power, as is shewed §. 7. and would therefore be unchangeable according to §. 10. For as much then as we find in our selves a continual change, and that we cannot uphold our selves, it follows, that all things which are always present to the Divine Being, as well as our own Being, are continually upheld by God, and cannot subsist of themselves.

And altho' it flows from Gods Omnipresence and Omniscience, that nothing of the things that are, has had either beginning or end, but have always been, according to §. 20. yet hence follows not at all, that those Beings, that are in God, and that are Created, are therefore the Divine being it self.

For in the first place, it is not only necessary

sary that the most perfect Being should be Infinite, but also, that it should not be produced by another, nor upheld, but by it self alone. Yea, that it should be unchangeable and such like. And on the contrary, albeit the Beings or Creatures have neither beginning nor end, yet they are not therefore unchangeable, nor able to uphold themselves, because their endlesness or Infinity flows not from their own Being, but from the Being of God, which, because it is always working and unchangeable, must needs continually (that is, without beginning or end) be present to all things as upholding them, &c. So that albeit all Beings are without beginning, yet they are not therefore the Divine Being, but do differ infinitely from the same, and the perfections thereof: as for Example, Whereas God is always of and from himself, without depending upon any other, they are always depending upon God, and not of themselves; whereas God is the Original of all things, they are but an Outworking, and so cannot produce the least thing: Whereas God is unchangeable, they are in perpetual Mutation: Whereas God is Perfect, they are deficient, &c.

Nor is it in the least inconsistent with the nature of Man, to conceive two Infinites, albeit they infinitely differ in their
Per-

Perfections: For feing we know that God is the most perfect being, in whom is no Variableness at all, it follows from hence also that there cannot be the least change in his knowledge, or working, as is shewed §. 10. And feing there can be no change, neither in the knowledge, nor in the working of God, we may from hence learn the Possibility of Gods Works, being infinite or without end or beginning, while yet they are every way short of the Perfection of God, as has been shewed.

§. 25. And to shew that even they themselves, that do deny that all beings are without beginning or end, do establish the same thing, altho' in Words they deny it; Let us but once see what they mean by the word *Infinite*: They say then that *Infinite* signifies, First, that which has neither beginning nor end; but that in that respect God is only to be infinite. Secondly by *Infinite* they understand somewhat that has a beginning but no end, and thus they make man *Infinite* or endless.

But who taught them, that the Word *Infinite* has so different Significations? whence do they infer this? or with what certainty can they prove this various acceptation of the word *Infinite*, or endless? methinks I see how this distinction was first hatcht: to wit, because they were not able
to

to make a right distinction betwixt Gods infiniteness, and that of the Creature; and that if they should assert the Creatures to be without all beginning, they would differ nothing from the being of God; just as if all that had no beginning must needs be God himself (the contrary of which has been proved §. 22.) Wherefore to cover their Ignorance, and to have something to answer to their Adversaries, they invented this Distinction upon the Word *Infinite*, viz. that it betokens more things than one. A Being without beginning or end, as the Being of God, and a being with beginning but without end, as that of the Creatures: And that distinction was judged most necessary, that so the being of God might not be injured, nor diminished in its honour on the one side, nor the Creature on the other side exalted too high by ascribing any thing to it, that did not belong to it, which notwithstanding does not at all follow from what we say, for tho' the Creature be also without beginning, yet it differs infinitely from the Divine Being, as may be seen §. 24.

But to shew how well these men do defend the honour of God, by giving this different signification to the word *Infinite*, it will be needful to examine, what consequences must needs follow (with respect of
the

the Being of God) from that saying, that the Creatures have indeed a beginning but no end ; I hus, if the Creature first receives its Being in its Creation, it follows certainly, that God is not infinit in his working ; that is, that God does not always work the same thing, but has a beginning with respect to his Working, and so God is not infinit in his Working, which is a Contradiction to God and his Working, which are unchangeable according to §. 10. as also to §. 21. where it is proved, that God is always working the same thing.

Besides this would infer time or something temporal in God & so all things would not be always present to God (the contrary of which is proved . 3. 14.) if Gods working had a beginning, and there must be in God a time, or beginning of working it ; which is inconsistent with the continual presence of God.

It also contradicts Gods Omniscience, that the being of the Creatures should begin in time, because all knowledge includes an Idea of the thing conceived. If then the being of things were first brought forth in time, then God could not always have had an Idea of all his Works, because that Idea is the Being of the things, as is proved §. 15. and so God, according to their Hypothesis, could not be Omniscient or All-knowing.

From

From all which then it follows, that it Contradicts the Perfection of God, to say that the Being of the Creatures had a beinning.

Besides when they say, that there are things that have a beginning but no end, the question is, whether this be intelligible or no? And whether they can shew us any one thing in Nature, that had beginning, which has not also an end? Verily, as to the Creatures so far as they are visible and tangible, they have not only a beginning, but also an end, as experience teaches us, in all the Creatures, to wit, that their bodies do change as to their Forms, and do not remain as they were at first formed: Yea there is nothing they can assign, that has had a beginning, which shall not also have an end; that is, that what they call a beginning, has not also its end.

But he that should conclude from these Words of mine, either ignorantly or maliciously, that therefore Man, because he has a beginning, shall also have an end, and consequently that there shall nothing remain of, nor for Man after this Life, would wrest my words and make them say, the quite contrary of what I intend: For my aim in this is only to shew, that that, which has no end, can also not have had any beginning, and that whats essential of man, viz. his Spirit, having no end, can also have had

no beginning, & consequently that he is infinite. or without all end.

But some Body will perhaps say, that he can conceive something, that has had a beginning, but which shall have no end, and consequently that it is not impossible nor contrary to nature: as for Example, we can conceive an infinite number, and an infinite Line, and these have a beginning, but no end.

But this Objection duely considered, will not only fall, but even on the contrary serve for a proof, that that which has a beginning, has also an end: For Example, suppose any one conceive a number of Hundreds or Millions of Thousands, he can always add something to it; so that the number that any one conceives, has always an end, because he can always augment it, and add more numbers to it. In like manner suppose any man draws a Line from a point; that Line cannot be endless, because he can always in his thoughts add unto the length. So that no man can imagine an endless line, but on the contrary, that as it had a beginning, so it shall also have an end.

If any man yet says, that he can conceive a Line, that can be extended *in infinitum*, he says but the same thing over again in the same words, or all that he would say, is, that he can conceive a line, the end of which
he

he knows not ; for he that says, that he can conceive a Line, that may be infinitely extended, must have an Idea of it, or comprehend it in himself. Now this is most certain, that no man can frame in himself an Idea of Infinity ; and consequently, that he cannot conceive a Line infinitely extended, and if he understands by a Line that can be infinitely extended only, a Line of whose end he is ignorant, it follows not at all, that therefore this line is infinit, but only that he knows not, where the end of it is, and therefore this Objection totally evanishes.

§. 26. But it will be said perhaps, you assert, that all Beings are endless, that is, That they have always been in God without beginning, and yet you say, that they were produced by God ; *Now all that is brought forth, presupposes a beginning, therefore this your saying implies a contradiction.*

It is true, that the word *produce* is generally used, for to cause something to come forth, which was not before ; but if we consider it aright, we shall naturally find, that the word *produce* cannot signify to cause a thing now to come to view, which was not before in Being ; for with respect to God, we have proved §. 14. that all things must needs have been essentially in
God

God without beginning; and if they understand it with respect to the Creatures, they the Creatures cannot produce any thing new, which has not essentially been before. So that according to the common use, the word *Produce*, cannot signify any thing else, but this, *that these things that before were invisible, and not knowable, do now become visible and discernable.*

But to give them full measure, suppose that the Word *Produce* did necessarily presuppose a beginning of that which is produced, who shall furnish me with a Word that signifies God to be the Author of all things, and yet that the Beings of all things have been in God, without all beginning? for that is *my meaning* by the word *Produce*, as every one can perceive by the Circumstances, in this case, we want Words, to express it well by, because our understanding only conceives that, of which we can have an Idea in our Selves, and so far we can find Words, that signify the property of things; but because our understanding can frame no Idea of any thing that is infinite, therefore also do we want words, truly to define that, of which we have no true Notion: And therefore can we only trace that, which necessarily flows from the Being of God, and describe it defectively, ac-

cor-

ording to our deficient understanding ; But he that will consider it, and examine it well, will find that what we say is true and will understand more of it, than he shall be able to express.

But it will yet be said, that that, which has no beginning, cannot be said to be produced, but must be or subsist of it self.

But this is no necessary consequence that because a thing has no beginning, that it must therefore exist of it self ; for not to have beginning, and to exist of it self, do not necessarily infer each other ; but that a thing exists of it self necessarily infers indeed, that that thing has no beginning, according to §. 8. but not so, that what has no beginning does therefore exist of it self, as is proved §. 24.

§. 27. And when by §. 22. it is proved, that all Beings, which are in God, are continually upheld of God, it follows then, not only that this *continual upholding*, but also the *Creating* or *Work of Creation* is to be numbred among the Works of God, because it is beyond the power of any Creature to Create, according to §. 1. 2, 3, 4, 5.

But to know *what is properly the Work of Creation*, we must examine what the word

to *Create* does betoken. It is manifest from §. 24. that what is essential in all things was in God without beginning, so that by word *Create* cannot be understood, that God should bring forth new Beings, which were not in God without beginning; nor can the Word *Create* signify to produce something out of nothing: because to bring forth something out of nothing, presupposes something, the essential of which should not have been in God before the Creation, but the contrary of this is proved §. 23. 24. Besides to say, that something is produced of nothing, would infer, that the cause of the thing produced was a meer Nothing. For to say, that the Creation was produced out of Nothing. viz. that there was no Matter before the Creation, out of which the World was produced or brought forth, infers not that the World was from nothing. Because the World may be produced out of the Spiritual and invisible, and not out of a palpable Matter: Besides, it is a known Truth, that the beginning of all things is the Cause thereof; and seeing they that say, that the World was Created out of nothing, do assert God to be the Cause or Creator of the World, therefore do they also thereby contradict themselves, to wit, in that they allow a Cause and Beginning of the Creation, and yet deny it to have a begin-

beginning (of which also see the Observations of B. *Van Helmont Concerning Man, &c.* §. 5. 6.

Whence therefore follows, that *the word Create betokens the bringing forth, or production of something, out of the invisible into open view to be seen and perceived.*

And this is the Language of the Holy Scripture, For *Heb. 11. 3.* it is said: *We understand by faith, that the Worlds were framed by the Word of God, so that things which are seen, were not made of things that do appear.* But its better rendered thus: *so that the things which are seen, were made of the things which are not seen:* which things that are not seen saith *Peter, 2 Peter 3. 5.* are the Waters, where he saith, that *the Heavens were of old, and the Earth subsisting and standing out of the waters and in the Water: towit, out of the Heavenly, Cœlestial Waters:* For these are invisible: so that the Waters here below must needs have had their rise from the invisible Waters above. Of which is largely Treated in the *Considerations of B. Van Helmont upon Man, &c.* §. 7. 8. &c. and 20. wherefore the Beings of all things, which lay hid in that Spiritual Water, became through the Spirit Visible in the Creation, viz. in their Out-working. For the word

word *Create* do's not only signify the production of the *Visible* out of the *Invisible*, but also, the putting of the *Beings* or *Spirits* into their proper states, or the imparting of such qualities, or such capacity unto them, as gives them a power to work of themselves. As for Example, what is *Essential* or *Spiritual*, as *Trees* and *Plants* brings forth *Herbs*, *Trees*, *Fruits*, &c. The life of *Man* forms his body, and worketh out many things to his advantage and use, &c. So that *Creation* properly consists herein, that these *Beings* or *Spirits* which before lay hid, do now become visible, and perceptible in their out-working, by means of that capacity or quality, which they receive, of using the *Watery Being* to their Advantage of *Forming*, and changing it, (according as it is given to every *Spirit* or *Being* to *Work*) under which watery Being the *Earth* also belongs, because the *Earth*, &c. was produced out of the *Waters* (as is shewed in the *Observations* of *Bar. Van Helmont* upon *Man*, &c. §. 7.)

Moreover, the *Holy Scripture* does plainly inform us, that the word *Create* does also include this Signification, viz. that the *Essentiality* or the *Spirit* of each *Creature* should work out, according to its properties, the *Earthly*, where it is said, in the beginning of the *Book of the Creation*, that

the Earth should bring forth the Herbs, and what was to be its out-working? that it should yield Seed; in like manner the working of the Fruit Tree is after his kind to give Fruit, and that in those Fruits should be Seed, viz. to propagate its like: It is also thus said, of the Fishes, and Fowles, that their out-working should be Fruitfulness, and Multiplication; the Fishes to fill the waters in the Sea, and the Fowles to multiply in the Earth, &c. And of Man, who is Lord over all the Creatures, it is said, that his out-working was, to be fruitful, and multiply to fill the Earth, and to subdue it, that is, that it should not rule over him, but he have Dominion over it, and bring it into Subjection to him. To have Dominion over the Fishes of the Sea, and over the Fowles of the Air, and over the Cattel, and over every creeping thing.

§. 28. But it will be said perhaps, that that place of *Hebrews* 11. 3. where it is said: *Through Faith we understand that the Worlds were by the Word of God, so that things that are seen, were not made of things which do appear. Or so, That things that are seen, were made of things which do not appear;* is not so to be understood as we have said in the former §. because that manner of speaking of the *Greeks* *μὴ ἐκ φαινομένων*, does not signify that

that the World was brought forth or produced from invisible things, but that the World was produced of such things as had no Being before, and consequently that this place does not mean, that the World was Created of invisible things, which were in Being before the Creation.

But in answer to this Objection, we must in the first place observe, that neither this, nor any other such like manner of Speaking, does any where in the Holy Scripture (that I know of) signify, that the World was made of nothing, but the quite contrary; So that to say, that this manner of Speech infers, that their Opinion is but their meer say so, and void of all proof. Besides if they will Interpret these Words *μὴ φαίνεσθαι* of things that were not in Being, they must allow, that in other Places where the same Expression is found, must also signify: *not to exist*, and yet the contrary is most evident from *Matt. 6. 18*. Where it is said: *ὅπως μὴ φαίνῃς νηστεύων*, *That thou appear not to men to Fast*; When our Saviour saith here: That they should not appear as Fasting, doth he teach them that they should not Fast? Surely no, and that yet according to those mens Interpretation of *μὴ φαίνεσθαι* that must needs be the meaning. He himself declares, what he means by *μὴ φαίνῃς* to wit, *νηστεύειν τῷ κρυπτῷ*, *that they should fast*

in secret. So also μὴ φαινόμενα supposes things that do Exist, but ἐν τῷ κρυπτῷ in secret, or hid from our eyes. And if we will compare that manner of speaking that does signify the same thing and is every where used in the Holy Scripture in the same sence one with another, we shall find that these Mens Explication of *Hebrews* 11. 3. is in no wise true, who will needs have μὴ φαινόμενα to signify things that do not exist: For from this very place it is plain, that there is an Antithesis introduced betwixt τὰ βλεπόμενα, the things that are seen, and μὴ φαινόμενα the things that are not seen, or which do not appear; these are, I say, opposed to each other: So that μὴ φαινόμενα has an opposite Sense to τὰ βλεπόμενα. And therefore the Dutch Translators have Translated it by the same word, to wit, things that are seen, and that are not seen: And thus μὴ φαινόμενα. Signifies the same with μὴ βλεπόμενα. Now it is most certain that μὴ βλεπόμενα things which are not seen or Invisible things, does no where in the Holy Scripture signify things that do not Exist, but on the contrary, things that do Exist, but that are invisible, as for Example, 2 Cor. 4. 18. it is said, while we look not at τὰ βλεπόμενα the things which are seen, ἀλλὰ ἰὰ μὴ βλεπόμενα, but at the things which are not seen: for τὰ βλεπόμενα the things which are seen προσωρεα are temporal

ral or changeable, τὰ δὲ μὴ βλεπόμενα, but the things which are not seen αἰώνια eternal or unchangeable.

Now if μὴ βλεπόμενα, which is Synonymous to μὴ φαινόμενα, betokens things that do not exist, would it not be a fine piece of Comfort, think ye, for the *Apostle* to exhort the Faithful to look to the invisible things, that is, to things which are not in Being at all? And should he yet say of those things that are not at all, that they are *Eternal* or *Unchangeable*? This is a Contradiction with a witness. For to be *Eternal* or *Unchangeable*, presupposes their Being, whereas by these Mens Comment μὴ βλεπόμενα should signify, things that are not; from all which then it clearly follows, that μὴ φαινόμενα and βλεπόμενα cannot signify things that are not in Being, but on the contrary, things that are in Being, but which are Invisible.

As for the other Objections, that they make from the Holy Scripture to prove that the World was made of nothing, those places will be found, either not to speak at all of the Creation, or if they do, not in the least to prove that the World is Created of Nothing. As for Example, some alledge *Rom. 4. 17.* to prove that the World was made of nothing, where it is said: *Who quickneth the dead and calleth those things that be not, as tho' they were.* The words

words in Greek are, *παλλέντος τὰ μὴ ὄντα ὡς ὄντα*; which properly is: *calling the things which are not, as things that are*, and is not: That God calleth the things that are not, as if they (to wit, the things that are not) were: and so he speaketh here of two sorts of things, of things that are, and of things that are not, and these he sets in opposition to each other, as in the issue shall appear.

And when we joyn divers places together, where *μὴ ὄντα*, *things that are not*, occur, we shall find that this manner of speaking does not intimate things that are not in Being, but things that are not present, or which are not in esteem, as is most evident from 1 Cor. i. 28. where we find the same manner of Speech, to wit, *ἐξελεξετο ὁ Θεὸς τὰ μὴ ὄντα, ἵνα τὰ ὄντα καταργήσῃ*, *God hath Chosen things that are not, to bring to nought things that are*. In this place *μὴ ὄντα* *things that are not* cannot signify things that exist not; Because the whole Reasoning of the Apostle shews that he aims at the quite contrary: For the intent of the Apostle is to exhort the *Corinthians* not to make Sects, and Divisions, supposing Wisdom to consist in a fine quaint Gingle of Words, as may be seen *verse 10.* compared with *verse 17.* But that the true Wisdom is simple and plain, not gaudy, and therefore seems foolishness to the Wise ones of the World *v.*

15. But these wise Men are, says he, become Vain, and on the contrary, the Wisdom of God, which the wise Men of the World judged foolishness, is Salvation to them that believe. v. 19. 20, 21. But in this wisdom of the World, both *Jews* and *Greeks* went astray, but to them that were called, both *Jews* and *Greeks*, was given the Wisdom of God, v. 24. and these called ones, are not wise Men according to the Flesh, but were esteemed as foolish and weak, v. 25. 26, 27. *Yet these Base and Despised ones it was that God chose to confound and bring to nought the wise ones: yea the base and these which are not,* that is them which have neither the Wisdom, Honour nor Riches, of the World, (as may be seen from v. 26.) *hath God chosen,* and on the contrary, *the wise Men of the World, which are,* that is, who are esteemed Wise, Noble, Mighty, &c. *he bringeth to nought.* From which concatenation is as plain as the Sun, that the Apostle in this place does not mean *the things which are not* in Being, but such things as are despised by the World: For the Apostle speaks here of two sorts, which are both in Being, to wit, the wise Men of the World, and the foolish of the World, and of these he says, that they are chosen, and the other confounded, and brought to nought. From hence then the Apostle concludes

cludes, v. 29. 30, 31. and shews *that no flesh* that is neither *Worldly wise*, which are, nor foolish, which are not, may boast, but in God alone, and not in the World. And if we will thus Examine the Argument of the Apostle, *Rom. 4.* we shall find that the words *μὴ ὡς* have the like signification as in *1 Cor. 1.* For as the Apostle had there said, that both *Greeks* and *Jews* without distinction were called of God, *viz.* Those of them that sought not after the Wisdom of the World; the scope of the Apostles is to shew *Rom. 4.* that the *Gentiles* as well as the *Jews* are justified by Faith, and not by the Works of the Law, or carnal Wisdom, as may be seen *Chap. 3. 28, 29, 30, 31.* whereupon the Apostle then *Chap. 4. 1.* proves by a Question, that they, *viz.* the *Jews* were not justified by their Works, nor have any more priviledge thereby than the *Gentiles*; the reason is, because their Father *Abraham*, obtained nothing after the flesh, to wit, by which he acquired his own Salvation, & that *Abraham* gained nothing after the Flesh, the Apostle shews from the 2. to the 9. verses. For if *Abraham* be justified by works faith he, then is God obliged to reward him, and then is *Abrahams* righteousness not of Grace: But this, O ye *Jews*! is most certain, according to the Testimony of Holy Writ, that *Abraham* is justified by Faith and not by Works, and that those
only

only do obtain Salvation, to whom God gives it of Grace, and not according to their own Merits; wherefore ye *Jews* by being the Children of *Abraham* after the Flesh, have no Prerogative above the *Gentiles* that you can be justified by the works of the Law. And to shew you, that the Works of the Law can give you no more Salvation then the *Gentiles*, let us see when it was that God justified *Abraham*, viz. before he received the Law of *Circumcision*, or after? v. 9. God pronounced him happy before his *Circumcision*, that is, while he was yet a *Gentile*. v. 10. And the Law of *Circumcision* was unto him but a Sign that he was justified by Faith, while he was yet a *Gentile*, v. 11. Wherefore ye *Jews* go astray from the way, and your boasting is vain, that ye are Circumcised, and the *Gentiles* Uncircumcised, and that ye can attain unto Salvation by that; for your Father *Abraham* was not justified by *Circumcision*, but before it, and consequently altho' the *Gentiles* be Uncircumcised, they are not therefore a whit below you, but as well Children of *Abraham* as you, if they walk in Father *Abraham's* Faith; because they being Uncircumcised believe as he being Uncircumcised believed. For the promise that he should in his Seed possess the World, was not given him by the Law of Works, or of Circum-

cumcision, but by Faith, and therefore all that Believe are Children of *Abraham*. v. 11. 12, 13. Let us moreover see, whether ye *Jews* have any more Prerogative then the *Gentiles*, from the Nature of the Law it self, to obtain thereby Salvation. But if you be Heirs by the Law of Works, you have then no need of Faith, and you know that you cannot fulfil the Law perfectly; but that you do transgress it, and consequently cannot thereby receive any reward, but punishment and wrath; and the *Gentiles* are not punishable, because the Law was not given to them; so that the Law is your Burthen, and not that you should thereby be justified, & so your Boasting in the works of the Law in vain because the Law cannot give Salvation, and therefore both *Jews* and *Gentiles* must receive Salvation of Grace; and thus all Believers are Children of the believing *Abraham*, as the Argument runs, v. 14. 15, 16.

But it may be said: How can the *Gentiles* possibly become the Children of *Abraham*?

The Apostle Answers this Objection, v. 17. and shews, that that God that set *Abraham* for a Father of many Nations, is able even to cause the dead to live; and how does he this? thus, *Only by calling the things which are not, as the things which are; that is,*

is, he commands; and it is as easie to God, to raise the dead, which are not as the things which are: that is, to shew his Grace to the *Gentiles*, which are dead in Sins and Trespasses, and no Children of *Abraham*, as it is for him to call or shew his Grace to them which are, to wit, which are the Children of *Abraham* after the Flesh: For it is very easie to understand from the Context and Threed of the Apostles reasoning, that the Apostle here opposes the *Jews* to the *Gentiles*, and calls the *Jews* them which are, to wit, the People of God, or *Abrahams* Children; and the *Gentiles* them which are not, i. e. which were neither the People of God, nor the Children of *Abraham*; but dead in Sins and Trespasses; so that this place is Synonymous to that of 1 Cor. 1. (as may be seen by the abovesaid) and consequently that τὰ ὧν is here used of the *Jews*, and τὰ μὴ ὧν of the *Gentiles*. Just as 1 Cor. 1. ὧν the things which are, is meant of the wise Men of the World, and τὰ μὴ ὧν of the base and despised.

Besides the *Learned Vigerus*, in his Book *de Idiotismis Græcæ Linguae* does very well observe, pag. 256. that μὴ ὧν signifies to be forgotten, despised, dead, &c. Now, what is dead cannot be said, not to be at all, because that which is not, cannot have been living; or have been any thing in former time.

From

From all which then it appears very plainly, and beyond all contradiction, that there is not the least proof in *Rom.* 4. 17. that the world was made of nothing.

§. 29. But to return to the former Matter, we must not only consider, what the word *Create* betokens, but also what belongs to the Work of Creation.

§. 30. For as much then as this most perfect Being cannot admit of the least defect, because it is unchangeably perfect, it follows necessarily, that this Being is *perfectly wise*, and consequently works all *things orderly and wisely*, that is, that in the Work of Creation, there is neither too little nor too much : but just so much as is necessarily required to that work, that is, that in every thing there is an appointed number and measure, and each has his own life, by which it can effect the work whereunto it is appointed : For if God should make any work defective, it would be produced disorderly, irregularly, and unwisely, and consequently God would want that perfect wisdom, of making a thing that is perfect in its Kind : And for as much as this can in no wise be admitted to be in the most perfect Being, according to §. 10. 11. there can-

cannot be in any of the works of God any thing either superfluous or defective.

§. 31. But albeit God is always doing the same thing, according to §. 21. yet it does not hence follow, that God cannot have Created more than one World, but that God doth continually Create, that is, that he never ceases Creating of Worlds. Because the Word *Creation* includes all that belongs thereunto; as for Example, to the Creation of the World do belong all those things, which have already wrought out what is Visible, which are at present working Visibly, or which shall hereafter so work, because the one is a Creature of this World, as well as the other: in short, *The Creation consists in all those things which do operate together, and are inseparably united to each other, as one only Creature.* Thus the whole World, with all that therein is, stands in such a Co-operation, as daily experience does sufficiently teach us.

§. 32. And for as much as all that hath ever had, or ever shall have an out-working, is included in the Creation, *It must needs be, that those things which in process of time did first begin to come to view, were yet Created in the first of the Creation, and were not then first Created, when they first did appear.*
For

For if they began then to be Created, when they became first *Visible*, it would follow, that this World is yet daily in Creating, that is, that there are daily new things added to it, which were not included in the Creation, *i. e.* when God brought forth this Visible or Tangible World. Now if there be daily new things Created in this World, which were not in it when it was Produced, it is certain *That those things that are now Created, either belong to this World, or they do not.* If the Beings that now are Created, belong not unto this World, they have no fellowship, nor co-operation with this World, and so concern not us, Because we only treat here of those Beings, which belong to this World. And if they do appertain to this world, then this world was made imperfectly or defectuously by God, that is, there was a defect in the work of God, when he brought forth this World, which afterwards was amended from time to time, by supplying of that Original defect, which is contrary to §. 21. where it is proved, that it's impossible that there should be any defect in Gods Works.

It would also be inconsistent with the wisdom of God, (of which see §. 30.) which admits not of the least imperfection, or defect in his work ; because Wisdom requires that nothing be omitted that is necessary to a thing! As for Example , a

wife

wise and prudent Architect or Master-Builder, will bring into his Building all things that he judges necessary thereunto ; and if one of these be found wanting, when the Building is finishing, it will be no Argument of Wisdom, but of folly in the Builder, that he did not, while he was building, introduce all things, which he judged necessary thereunto. If then this neglect be an effect of Ignorance in Man, how can such a thing then ever come to pass in the most wise Being to Create a World that should want something, which was afterwards necessary or fit to be Created ? this is so directly contrary to the wisdom and perfection of God, that he should produce a work which is not every way perfect that a more absurd thing cannot well be imagined contrary to the wisdom of God. then to say : that there were fewer Beings Created in this World at first then to this world did belong.

Now what reason can any man alledge, why God should not Create that which belongs to this world at once ? Did God want Power at the Creation, any more than now ? surely no, For God is *Almighty* ; according to §. 17. Did God not then as well as now, know what was needful to this world ? Verily yes, as is sufficiently proved §. 15. To what purpose then do these
men

men say, that God yet daily Creates things in this world.

If it be said, that the things that are now daily Created, do appertain to this world, then it is certain that they are a part of this world: If they be a part of it, then they are not now first Created, but were at first Created altogether with the world in its first production: For considering the world as one entire Piece of Wormanhip, it cannot be said to be Created unless all the parts thereof together with it be Created: As for Example: this is a constant Truth, that the whole consists in its parts, and that all the parts do make out the whole, and that if but one of the Parts be wanting, the whole is defective, or it is no more the whole: So also this World consisting of all its parts, and all the parts thereof making up the world, the world cannot to this day be said to be Created, because there are (according to this Hypothesis) daily new parts thereof Created, but then there would at the Creation have only been some parts of the world Created, and consequently the world would then not have been a whole or compleat world at the time of its Creation, but only a piece of a world.

It's also contrary to the Holy Scripture, that there should still daily be things Created

ted in this World, For *Gen. 1. 31.* it is expressly said, *And God saw all that he had made, and behold it was very Good*; that is, it was in a State fit to obtain that which God aimed at thereby: Now this is certain, that nothing can be good, with respect to God, but that which has all the qualifications requisite thereunto: Now if all those Beings which are requisite to the Work of this Creation were not then in Being, then what God aimed at in the Creation could not be effected or accomplished by it, because the Creation would then have been defective, and those Parts which were to co-operate in the Creation, would have been wanting therein: and so according to these Mens Hypothesis, it cannot be said, that all that God made was very good. Again it is said *Gen. 2. 1.* *Thus the Heavens and the Earth were finished and all the Host of them.* When it is here said that they were *Finished* or *Perfected*, (that is) they were so brought to an end and accomplisht, that there was nothing now wanting, (for this is the proper sense of the Word *כָּלָה* *Kalah* to be perfect) even all the Host of the Heavens and the Earth, that is all the Beings that to this world do appertain: for here 'tis not said [*an*] or one Host of them, *but all the Hosts of them were finished.* How can it then be said that there are daily new Beings Created

ated in this world ? This is not only to set the Divine Attributes at odds, but also openly to contradict the Holy Scripture. And to discover further the absurdity of this Hypothesis, to wit, that new Beings are daily Created in this World ; we must here consider, what is said in *Gen. 2. 2.* Now when it is said, *That on the seventh day God ended his work, which he had made ;* No man is so blind, but he sees, that by the word [*Work*] here, is meant the work of the Creation, when it is then said here, that the work of this Creation is *Finished* or *Perfected*, and that *God rested from the Work of the Creation*, that is, that God added nothing more unto this world ; For what else can *resting* signify here, seeing God is never weary, yea is continually working, according to §. 20. is it not then most true, that there are no new Beings every day Created, but that they were all Created together in the Creation at once ?

But it will be possibly said, when God Created the World, he did indeed Create all those sorts of Beings that were necessary, to it, so that he now Creates no new sorts of Beings, but such sorts as were at first Created in the Creation.

A fine Invention indeed ! and which is pretty subtle, that they may seem to answer something. But let us see of what force

force it is. To these that say that all the Species of Beings that now are in the World, were indeed at the Creation, but that God now still Creates of the same Species daily, as were then Created ; to them I say, and ask, whether God does not cause them of those sorts or Species, which they say, he now Creates, to be produced out of those which were in Being at the Creation? Daily experience teaches us this, that no Creature is produced, but by generation of its like ; or from such things as are fit to bring forth ; as for Example, out of the Earth, Plants, Trees, and Flesh we see Insects come forth, so that the essential of those Insects was before hid in that, which brought them forth ; for it is impossible, and contrary to the whole frame of Nature, that any thing can be born of any other thing, if the Birth were not hid in the Producer : seeing then that all things that are now Born, do come forth of those things that were in the Creation, it is most certain, that the Essences of all things, that do now appear, or that ever shall appear, were at once Created together with the World, and are not now first Created ; *Because the Creation is a work immediatly produced by God, and Generation is nothing else but the Creatures bringing forth of that which for a time was hid in them.* And since that nothing

is now produced in this World but by Generation, it cannot be said, that God doth yet daily Create things in this World. They, that would prove, that God does daily Create Beings, that were not at the Creation of the World, must prove, that they ever found any Creature that was not produced either out of the Earth, or Water or such like, which is impossible; because the whole course of Nature shews the contrary.

Therefore, those that say that God does yet every day Create things in this World, they confound the Work of Generation with that of Creation, and do mistake the one for the other. Once more, if God did still Create Beings in this World, that were not at once Created in the Creation, why should God have blessed them? *Gen. 1.* with the blessing of Fertility and Multiplication? That is, of Generation with their like? That Blessing would verily not have been true, because according to them, God does still daily Create the beings of things, and so Generation would have no place; the contrary of which yet is daily seen; to wit, that the Creatures do Generate their like, and not that they are Created: And when it is said, *Gen. 2. 3. And God blessed the 7th. day and Sanctified it; Because that in it he rested from all his Work,*
which

God had Created and made. What sense can there be (if we still assert, that God doth yet daily Create in this World) of these words: *Because that in it God rested, that is because he ceased from the work of Creation on the 7th. day, therefore he blessed and sanctified it?* Were then the other following days not also Blessed and Sanctified? Verily there is no reason, why we should not esteem them equal with the Seventh Day; nor does the Scripture exclude them: If then this Blessing extends it self to all the other following dayes, then God does not yet daily Create in this World: because there would then be a contradiction in the Reason; why God blessed the 7th. day; under which are included the following days, to wit, because he rested from Creating upon the 7th. day; but if God should still Create after the 7th. day, to what purpose then should this Blessing and Sanctification be? Or if they say, that the 7th. day is Holyer than the rest; because God did then rest from the Work of Creating: I then ask these men, whether it be their Opinion, that God never Creates in this World upon the 7th. day? As also, whether God does not as well rest upon the other dayes, when he has Created a new Being in this World? For we find by Experience, that all men are not Born upon one and the same

same day, but that upon all the seven days men are Born in this World, and consequently (according to the Modern common Opinion) that God Creates Spirits upon all days without distinction: what reason can there then be, if God Creates upon the Fourth and Fifth day a Spirit, &c. and then ceaseth, why should he not bless the Fourth or Fifth day as well as the Seventh day, seeing that he resteth on it, as well as on the Seventh day ? But to pass by all further disputes, the sense of these Words cannot without contradiction signify any thing else, but that *God ceasing upon the seventh day from the work of Creation, or resting from it ; blessed that day, to wit, appointed the Creatures from that time forward to Multiply, and so sanctified it, that is, set it apart from the time of Creation, as not appertaining thereunto ; and to what purpose, I pray did God bless and Sanctify that day ? As the Dutch Bible has it, it was to perfect, or thoroughly to finish all : to wit, that the Creatures might now begin to Work, but that which God had given them in the Creation to work forth, viz. That they should be Fruitful and Multiply, &c. seeing then that God hath sanctified, or set apart the Seventh day, from the days of the Creation (for the word קדש Kadash properly signifys nothing else*

else, but to set a thing so apart, that it belongs no more to that whereunto it did at first appertain) it must needs follow, that God Creates no more in this world, or else that would be no reason, why God should have Sanctified the 7th. day more then any other day.

Furthermore the word *Create*, is not any where in the Holy Scripture, that I know of, used concerning things that are produced by Generation , nor is it the modern way of Speech, to say, this day a man is Created, but born.

But some will perhaps ask : If God Created all things that belong to this World, in the beginning of the Creation, why were not all things that to this World belong, made visible at first in the Creation ; as for Example : wherefore did not God produce so many Men, Beasts, Trees, &c. at the beginning of the Creation, as were appertaining to this World ?

I answer, that true it is, that if we do only look at the power of God, we might be apt to think, that all the Creatures might have been made visible at the beginning of the Creation at once, but seeing we cannot consider the Almightyness of God without his other Attributes, these must be so considered, that they do agree in one; Now nothing is more certain, than that there is, in
the

the *Almightiness, Freewill, &c.* of God a *Wisdom* according to §. 22. and 30. that is to say, that God does nothing but in Order and not confusedly : and seeing we find every day by all Creatures, how wisely, exactly, and Orderly all things do proceed, the one out of the other in their Seasons, that all things should not be brought forth at once, and at the same time, but in process of time. And consequently the Answer to that Question ; Why did not God make all things visible in the Creation ? is this, to wit, That God according to his own Wisdom, and the Order which he keeps in all things, has so Created all things in this World, that the one Creature being hid in the other, each is in its appointed Season to come forth out of the other, and not altogether at once.

But it will perhaps be yet further said, in opposition to this Doctrine, that all things were indeed Created in the beginning of the Creation, and that now there are no more new Beings Created in this World, That the Bodies are indeed generated by the Parents, but that God Creates the Spirit, and consequently, that it does not follow, that God Created all that which to this World belongs in the beginning of its Creation.

But because this Objection is of great con-

consequence, and properly belongs to the birth of Man, therefore we will answer it in that place, where we treat of the Soul.

§. 33. For as much then as we have already proved more than sufficiently, that all those things, which do at any time appear in this World, were in the beginning at the Creation ; It is likewise certain, *that every Creature has received a particular own or proper working, or capacity to Work out those things, which the Creator has appointed it to work out* : For if the Creature had no *own working*, that is, if God had not communicated to his Creature a capacity or power to work out something of its self, what wisdom would there be in the Creation, and what profit would it be to the Creature to be created ? Verily none at all ; because then the Creature would be nothing else , but a meer Instrument that can Work nothing, except the Workman does perpetually move it, and then no Creature could be said to do any thing, but only God the Creator might be said to work, and not the Creature ; Now if this were so, there would be no Wisdom in the Creation, because all the Creatures being void of all capacity of acting of themselves, and all that they do, being nothing else but Gods working, the Creatures could be of no use, or service
at

at all, and as meer nothings, and God would only be said to shew *unto himself* after how many sorts of ways he could work: just as one, that playing with Puppets, shews unto himself, how many sorts of motions he can make with them, while the Images or Puppets themselves have nothing at all of it, but he alone that moves them to and fro; which manner of doing is no way consistent with the Wisdom of God, being a meer Puppet Play of Children that would be of no use or service, but God only playing with himself; a conceit most dishonourable to the Wisdom and Goodness of God.

But besides the Holy Scripture does most evidently shew, that God produced the Creature, to that very end, that it might have an own out-working; for *Gen. 1. 6.* it is said, *that the firmament of Heavens was made, to make a division betwixt the Waters which are above, and the waters which are beneath.* and *V. 11.* *That the Earth was made to bring forth Grass, the Herb, Trees, &c.* and *V. 14.* *The Lights to divide the day from the Night; for Signs and for Seasons, and for Days and Years, &c.* *V. 20.* *The Waters to bring forth Fish.* *V. 21.* *The Fishes, the Fowls to be fruitful and to Multiply, and so forth to the end of the Chapter, as has been shewed already. § 27.*

More.

Moreover if a Man will but examine himself, he shall find that he has his own Working, because he has the Power of doing, or forbearing of many things, in so much as he is Master of that, which he has a mind to do, or not to do : But when he is once become a Slave to that which once he could have done, or could have forborn is under a necessity of following the Operation of that thing to which he is obedient: As for Example, a Man that is subject to Drunkenness, as long as that desire or lust rules over him he loves it; whereas on the contrary, another that is not addicted to Drinking, can do it, or forbear it, as he pleases, *and in this his good liking, or Free-will it is, that the own-working of Men consists.*

And 'tis most necessary, that man be Created with a Free-will, because else he would not be able to work any thing out, but only to obey that which should be acted in him just as a Watch, which is moved by the Laws of Mechanism; which is most inconsistent with the Divine Wisdom, that a man (as we have just now said) should have no own-working or free-will, that is, so far forth as to be able thereby to direct those Powers which God has Created in him, as he pleaseth; but not that his free-will should extend it self to things out of his Power.

And

And because God is a just and Merciful God, who punishes the Sinner for his Sins, and on the Contrary gives his Grace, or shews Mercy to him, that does well, the Creature must needs have an own Working: or else wherefore or how should God either punish, or shew Mercy to his Creature: Verily the Creature would be blameless, and uncapable either of punishment or of Mercy, because it would be but as an Instrument which is moved by another, and so neither the Justice nor the Mercy of God could have any place in the Creature.

Nor would man stand either in fear of Punishment, or hopes of reward, if he had no own-working, because he could never be conscious to himself of having done either Good or evil; because he should not be the cause of either, but would only have followed the will of his Master: And then Man would not have any Conscience of any thing he does, (the contrary whereof every man finds in himself) because, to be conscious to our selves of a thing is, to know that one has been the Author of it, and done it voluntarily.

From all which then follows, that the Creatures have received from God in their Creation a capacity of working of themselves.

§. 34. But it will be said again, seing that God is (according to §. 16.) the Author of all things, it follows that God must be the Author of all the Works which the Creature does, and therefore that the Creature can have no own-working nor free-will.

As to this Objection, if duly weighed, we shall find, that it affects not our proof; for we say: that God must needs have communicated to the Creature in its Creation, the power which it has of working of it self, from whence then evidently follows, that we do establish it for a certain truth, that God is so the Author of the Creature, and all his works, that if he withdraws this capacity of working from the Creature, the Creature can work no more, but this is nothing to the Matter in hand. But the Question here is properly: Whether the Creature so long as it is by God preserved in its State, has not an Own-working? Or to speak plainer: Whether God who is the Author of the Creature, has not given unto the Creature a Power of Working something out of it self, by vertue of that capacity which God hath given him in the Creation? As for Example, God is the Author of all our Working, but yet he has given man the capacity, *that he can direct that Working power, which he has according to his*

his own good liking, and this direction is the proper work of Man: And so far forth as man does thus manage it, God is not said to do it : but man : so that as to this management, it is an own-working of man, and it proceeds from that capacity and free-will, that God gave him in the Creation.

But if we did mean by own-working, that the Creature could do any thing that did not proceed from that ability which God has given the Creature, but which should proceed from something else, forreign to that principle, which God has placed in the Creature ; then their conclusion would be right just against us : to wit, that the Creature cannot have any own-working, because God is the Original of all things. But because we affirm that that own-working of the Creature proceeds from God, as the cause and Author of all things, therefore in this respect, the Objection cannot destroy our Position.

But some will perhaps say, If the Creature man has no own-working from himself, which does not flow from that Ability which God hath placed in him, then it will follow, that truly and properly man does not sin, but that sin proceeds from the capacity which God has given the Creature and that if it does not proceed from the capacity, then the work of sinning is man's

own-work, which proceeds not from the Author of his Being.

But this Objection arises from want of knowing what the own-working of man, and what Sin properly is, and wherein they do consist. It is already said, that all working of the Creatures does proceed from that capacity, which God has placed in the Creature, and consequently that God is the Original of all our Working: But albeit all our works have their Original from God, yet God has given Man that ability in the Creation, that he can direct those Works according to his own good-liking, or as he thinks best; that is in short, God gave man in his Creation a **Free-will** (as is already shewed) according to which he can do or forbear things; and *this Free-will is properly that capacity which man received from God in the Creation, and when man does any thing according to his Free-will, that is called Mans-work.* It is indeed true that the working so far as it is essential, (and all our Works are so) has its Original from God, but the direction of that Working comes from Man himself, and is so far forth called an own-working of Man; as for example, as to the action (or working) of going, speaking, &c. God is the Author of it, but so far as Man directs his Steps, Speech, &c. either to good or evil, so far
is

is it an own-working of Man; and because the evil properly consists in the direction of the Work, or action, and not in the Work or action it self, it does not at all follow, that sin must have its Original from God, because God is the Original of all the works of Man, for that the direction of the working depends upon the Free-will of man, but not the working it self. So that it neither follows, that man must needs have such an own-working, as takes its Original from himself, and not from God; nor on the contrary, that God, because he is the Original of all working, must needs also be the cause and Author of Sin; because working considered in it self, is good: but man having a Free-will, directs it to evil, and so as to that direction it is (in that respect) said to be evil and an own-working or act of man; and so in this Objection the working, and the direction of the working are confounded together, which yet, as has been said, are distinct.

Besides, when it is said, that the Work of Sin is an own-work of Man, here again, the Sin and the working are confounded, taking the working and the sin to be the same thing, because they are not able to distinguish the sin from the working.

But to understand this distinctly, we must examine *what Sin properly is, and where-*

in it does consist : Sin then consists properly herein, that man directs the Working, which he has to something else than that to which it ought to be used. So that the Sin lyes not in the working, in the Act, Action, Work, or Deed it self, but in the direction that man has over the Working: as for Example, a Carpenter is about to use an Ax to cleave a piece of Wood, so to do is good and well, because it tends to the good of Man ; but if he uses the Ax to kill a Man, that is evil. Now that is certain that the using of the Ax is as well a working in the one, as in the other case, and as to these two workings, considered in themselves, the one is no more evil than the other, because they are so far, of one and the same nature : But if we consider the directions of those two Workings, we shall find them to differ very much from each other ; to wit, that the one is profitable and the other mischievous ; whence then we may clearly see, that sin is no working but a wrong direction of the working ; and that Sin lyes in that wrong direction, we shall very plainly observe from the names, that Sin bears in the Holy Scripture, as in *Hebrew* it is called **פְּשָׁעַ** which is a departing from the true way, or evil direction, as appears from *Prov.* 19. 2. *He that hasteth with his feet sineth ;* that is, he that directs his Feet

F

wrong

wrong sinneth. So also in Greek *ἀμαρτία*, signifies a departure from the right way, which consists in the wrong direction that men observe.

§. 35. Seing then that man hath an own-working-power or Faculty to direct his doings, according to his own good liking, it is most necessary, *that the most perfect Being should reward a man according to the works which he hath done* : For if a man did not receive Reward according to his Works, what advantage or profit would a Man have of his doings? Verily none at all, and so it would be all one what he did, which yet would be most contrary to the Nature of Man : For nothing is more natural to him, than to expect a reward according to his Deeds. And for this cause it is, that he is presently conscious to himself of the Good or Evil that he does, which consciousness would be in vain if there were no reward for him to be expected.

It would in like manner be most contrary to the nature of the Divine Being, not to reward Man according to his Works, because man could then not do amiss in any thing he should do, whether he did things contrary to God, or agreeable to Gods Perfection, because where there is no reward, there is no misdeed or transgression, (for these

these are things that do necessarily infer each other) and where there is no Transgression, there can be no Law or Obligation to do the contrary, and consequently what ever a Man did, would be good, whether contrary to, or agreeable with Gods Perfections: Because he would then have no Law either for the doing or forbearing of it. Now it is most certain, that it is natural to a reasonable worldly Prince, to punish his Subjects according to their demerits when they transgress against him, and on the contrary, to reward them that do well. How much more then must this be natural to the most perfect Being, to punish those that Transgress against him, and on the contrary to reward them that do well. Yea if God did not punish them that Transgress against him, He should never obtain his end in making them, that is, that they should work out the good unto which they were Created, but they would more and more deviate from the Good; unto which good they must be brought back, by means of Punishment. For otherwise God cannot be Glorified by them; and consequently because God will be glorified by Man, he must bring him back again when he is become evil, into that state in which he can glorify God; Now this amendment cannot be effected but

by means of punishment, and death, by which the Creatures do come into a better condition ; As is proved in the Observations of Bar. *Van Helmont upon M. n.* &c. §. 32.

Yea it would be confusion in God, to esteem two things so directly contrary to each other, as alike good and worthy, and to reward the one just as the other, which confusion can have no place in God, because God is a God of Order, according to §. 30.

Wherefore it must needs be, that God is not only good, but also *just*, that is, that he rewards every one according to his works.

§. 36. Now for as much as Gods Justice includes the rewarding of every one according to his Works, it follows, that God does reward or punish all them which have done the same things after the same manner ; for *Justice* being nothing else but a reward or punishment proportion'd to the work , therefore not the Person but the Deed is considered, and because the Deed is still the same, and cannot be said to be better or worse in it self, whoever it be that does it, hence follows necessarily that God cannot reward or punish one man more than another , when they have done one
and

and the same thing, because it would be partial, and consequently an imperfection, which is contrary to §. 11. As for Example, If a Judge having two Criminals before him, that had committed the same Crime, should punish one with death, and clear the other, that would be no Justice, but the highest injustice, unequal, and unreasonable, because Justice requires, that the same things, or things of the same nature, should be rewarded or punished in the same wise.

But some will say, The Justice of this world indeed requires the same punishment of the same Crimes, but God who is the Author of Man, has that power according to his free and unlimited Will, that he can punish the one, and let the other go free, although they have both committed the same Sins.

But seeing it is unreasonable in man, to punish the one and to let go the other, how much more unreasonable then would it be in the most Perfect Being, who is Reason it self, to do a thing so much against all Reason and Equity, as to let the one go free and to punish the other? supposing them equally guilty.

Besides, if we should affirm this to be a Prerogative of the Free-will of God, that
he

he can punish the one, and let the other go free, we should set up a Free-will in God, that is unjust. Which would be as much as to say, that God is both reasonable and unreasonable ; whereas the Free-will in God, is nothing else but Gods doing all things wisely, and reasonably according to the Order by himself establisht ; and therefore they that devise such a Free-will in God, do invent to themselves a God according to their own fancies : Concerning Gods Free-will, and how we are to consider it, we have spoken at large already in §. 22.

CHAP.

CHAP. II.

Of Man, as Considered in the state of Innocence, and Uprightness ; as also of the parts of which he consists.

The Introduction.

Nothing can more heartily affect a pious Soul, than to consider what a Sinner is, in order to his coming back again to the state of Rectitude, in which he was before he gave himself up to his flesh, and to the evil Lust thereof, by which his understanding, which was perfectly enlightened by the Rays of the Divine Light, became wholly darkned, yea darkness it self : Verily no man, which ever felt the least Glimps of that Divine Light in himself, cannot lament any thing more than his corrupted Nature, and that he cannot by reason of his darkness, search out the hidden

hidden Wisdom of God, but very lamely, and therefore will daily and continually sigh, and from his most inward parts, call upon his God, that he may receive an enlightened understanding, that Christ the Son of Righteousness may be formed in him, that so the Image of God, according to which he was Created, may be restored in him, and his Soul and Body be stirred up to repentance.

And as the Wisdom of God has not in vain made known to Man the first State in which he was brought forth by his Creator, as also his Fall, but has most expressly shewed the Sinner, what Glory man had in the State of rectitude, and how it is darkned by Sin: So it is, in my judgement, a matter of great consequence for one that loves God, first to examine what parts do necessarily belong unto a truly Godly and Perfect Man, before he examines how he can please God: for he that does not know what is required to be a Believer, will seek he knows not what; Just as a Traveller, who travelling towards a place, if he neither knows the way to it, nor the place, nor where it lyes, nor inquires after it, will always be uncertain whither he shall come at last.

Wherefore we shall follow the Order
which

which God observes in the Creation, and enquire

1. *What Man did enjoy while he was upright, and as he was Created by God.*
2. *What Men lost by Sin.*
3. *How what he lost is to be recovered Again.*

§. 37. Seing then, that Man is so fallen from his first State, that by reason of his darkned understanding, he does not so much as know of what parts a Perfect Man consists, there is no other way to come to know the Parts of a Perfect Man but one of these two, either that God should reveal it to Man immediatly by his Spirit, or that God should teach him it by means of the Holy Scripture. Therefore, he that will by his Natural understanding go about to understand what properly belongs to a perfect man, shall never know nor comprehend it; because he wants that part of man which properly makes a perfect Man.

§. 38. He that shall read the Holy Scriptures with attention, will find that they speak of three Beings in Man: to wit, of *the Image or Spirit of God*; of *the Soul*, and of *the Body*. Thus is Man described in the Creation, *Gen. 1. 26, 27. God Created man in his own Image*, so that man had in him
the

the Image of God, and *Gen. 2. 7.* it is said, *That man was formed of the dust of the Earth, and that the Breath of Life was breathed into his Nostrils, and that he became a living Soul.* So that the perfect man consists in the *Image of God, in a Soul, and in a Body*; which three distinct Beings the Apostle does also mention, *1 Thes. 5. 23.* *The very God of Peace Sanctify you wholly, and I pray God your whole Spirit, Soul and Body be preserved blameless, &c.*

§. 39. They then who have at any time tasted of the goodness of God, who have felt the true light, and *are renewed in knowledge after the Image of him that Created them, Col. 3. 10.* such I say, will acknowledge, that the perfect man consists not only in Soul and Body, but also in Spirit, because they feel in themselves a Spirit of Wisdom, which makes known unto them in their most inward parts, the Divine Mysteries and Vertues, not by Ratiocination but by manner of Influence and Manifestation, or instruction, yea they shall on the contrary perceive, that if they attempt to measure the Works of God by their natural Reason, that they are at a loss in themselves, and do not understand any thing at all of the Spiritual, for which cause they do by their Prayers and Supplications continually desire to be

be enlightened, making no esteem or account of their humane Wisdom.

They then who have felt the Operation of the Spirit, are certain, and do know, *that man*, if he be one of Gods Darlings, consists not only of *Soul and Body*, but also of *the Spirit* or Image of God: wherefore it is not said in vain by the Apostle, 1 Cor. 2. 14. *The natural Man*, $\psi\upsilon\chi\iota\kappa\omicron\varsigma$, that is properly the *Soullish* or *Animal Man*, to wit, he who is destitute of the Image of God, or who has not the Spirit, *receiveth not the things of God, because they are spiritually discerned*: And therefore it is, that the Apostle also distinguisheth, Chap. 15. 44. between a *natural* properly a *Soullish Body* and a *Spiritual Body*, the one whereof is governed by the Soul, and the other by the Spirit. And James 3. 15. *The Wisdom which is from above*, that is, which is Spiritual, is opposed to that which is *Earthly and Natural*, or *Sensual*, (but the word is here again *Soullish* and *Devilish*) in Jude again vers. 19. *The Natural* or *Sensual*, (but the word is again the *Soullish*) are opposed to *those that have the Spirit*. And therefore Peter saith, *Having purified your Souls by the Spirit*. 1 Pet. i. 22.

From all which places it appears very plainly, that the perfect or true Man does
not

not only consist of *Soul* and *Body*, but also of the *Spirit*.

And for as much as the ungodly, and Sinners do enjoy the two former, *Viz. Body*, and *Soul*, as well as the Faithful, and Believers, and yet do not understand those things, which are of the Spirit, it follows that they must needs want that by which Spiritual things are alone to be discerned, now that which discerns Spiritual things, is the Spirit, 1 *Cor.* 2. 14, 15.

§. 40. It is true, that often times the perfect man is in the Holy Scripture described by two Beings, to wit, the *Spirit*, and the *Flesh*, as this Apostle speaks at large, *Rom.* 8. of them that walk after the spirit, and not after the *Flesh*, and describes the regenerate as those which walk after the spirit, and mortify the *Flesh*, and the Ungodly, as walking after the flesh, and as not having the Spirit. And *Gal.* 5. 7. he saith, that he has the flesh and the Spirit, and that these two do War against each other, for the flesh (saith he) lusteth against the Spirit, and the Spirit against the *Flesh*, and these are contrary the one to the other, by reason of which conflict, which the Apostle felt in himself, he complained *Rom* 7. that after the inward Man, that is, after the Law of his mind, or after the Spirit, he delighteth in the Law of God, but that the
Law

Law in his Members, that is to say, the *Flesh warreth against the Law of God*. And there are many other such like places in the Holy Scripture, which do oppose the *Flesh* to the *Spirit*: but in these and the like places, the scope is not so much to teach us how many parts there are in a perfect Man, as to shew us that Man, who is not yet perfectly born again, has a Warfare against his *Flesh*, that is, against his unregenerate part.

But no man can be so foolish as to think, that when it is here said, that the *Flesh* lusteth against the *Spirit*, that we must thereby understand only the *Flesh* or *Body*, but that under the Term *Flesh*, is to be understood that part of Man, that is called the *Soul*, which desireth and thinketh, and lusteth, and it is notorious, that this is usual in the Holy Scripture, by the word *Flesh*, also to understand the *Soul* from hence, because, when the Holy Scripture opposes the new birth unto Sin, it uses the Terms *Spirit* and *Flesh*, as was 'just now shewed. But it does not only oppose the *Spirit* to the *Flesh*, but also the *Spirit* to the *Soul*; or to the *Soullish* part, as we but just now shewed in 1 Cor. 2. James 3. &c. From whence then it evidently appears, that the fleshly part is included in the *Soullish* part, and that by the fleshly part is meant the
Soul,

Soullish part. And that the Soul has fellowship with the Body, and does continually co-operate with it, we will shew in the next Chapter, where we shall particularly Treat of the Soul.

§. 41. But here some body will perhaps say, that the Holy Scriptures makes mention of more than three parts of Man: as of the *Spirit*, of the *Mind*, of the *Heart*, the *Soul* and the *Body*; The *Hebrews* call the three parts of man chiefly by the names of רוח *Ruach*, the *Spirit*, נפש *Nephesht*, the *Soul* נשמה *Neshamah*, the *Breath*, אדם *Adamah*, that out of which the *Body* is made: As to the *Neshamah* this is properly no part of Man, but it is that from whence the *Soul* proceeded (as shall be shewed in that Chapter, where we shall expressly treat of the *Soul*) for which cause *Neshamah* is sometimes used for *Nephesht* the *Soul*, as is to be seen in *Isaiah* 57. 16. In the *New Testament* we meet with three Parts of Man, more especially under these Denominations πνεῦμα *Pneuma*, the *Spirit*, ψυχή *Psyche* the *Soul*, φρων *Phreen* the *Understanding*, νους *Nous* the *Mind*, καρδία *Kardia* the *Heart*, σαρξ *Sarx* the *Flesh*. But what part is to be understood by these Terms we must learn from the Circumstances of the places themselves, where we meet

meet with them, as for Example, the *Understanding* belongs to the Soul, which is common to all Men; for whether a Man has a good or bad understanding, however it ceases not to be the understanding: in like manner the Soul is meant by the heart, because the Heart is the Seat of the Soul, and therefore our Saviour saith *Mat. 15. 18, 19. Those things that proceed out of the mouth, come forth from the heart, they defile, for out of the heart proceed evil thoughts, &c.* So that for the most part (I say not always) for sometimes the heart is also used for the Spirit as well as for the Soul, because it is the receptacle and habitation of both) but for the most part, I say, by the *Understanding*, and the *Heart*, is meant the Soul.

CHAP.

CHAP. III.

Of the Image of God, or the Spirit.

§. 42. **S**Eing it is easie to be understood from the precedent Chapter, that a perfect Man consists in these three, to wit, *Spirit, Soul and Body*, we are here especially to consider, what the *Spirit*, or the *Image of God* is, and the properties thereof, as also, the necessity of the Sinners being restored to his former State.

§. 43. Every body knows, that when an Image, Picture, or likeness of a Man is made, that it must resemble him after whom it is made, because if it have no resemblance of him whom it represents, it could not be called his Image, Picture or likeness.

§. 44. Seing then that Man is made after Gods Image, man must needs bear the Image or Likeness of God.

§. 45.

§. 45. Now this Image which Man has of God, cannot be or consist in the outward Shape of his Body, but in his Spirit, because God is a Spirit, and has no bodily or outward shape, form or figure at all, as is shewed already §. 12.

§. 46. But because we Sinners have lost it, and so cannot, as *Adam*, know what manner of Being the Being of God is, by contemplating the Image of God which was in him, it is needful that we first enquire at the Holy Scriptures, how the Divine Being is there described, that so from the Divine Being we may learn what the *Image* or *Likeness* of God must needs be.

§. 47. The Holy Scripture then describes the Being of God not only to be a Spirit, but also shews, what manner of Spirit it is, to wit, that God is a *Light*, and *Fire*, Micah 7. 8. *The Lord shall be a Light unto me.* And 1 John 1. 5. it is in exprefs words said, that *God is a Light in whom there is no Darknes at all*, to wit, in opposition to the Created Spirits or Lights, which do consist not only of a Fiery, but also of a Spiritual-watry Substance, as shall be shewed in the Chapter of the Life, or of the Soul. And' Deut. 4. 24. *Hebrews* 12. 29. *The Lord your God is a consuming*
G
Fire,

(68)

Fire, and many times when God appeared to his People, it was in a *Fire* or *Light*.

§. 48. We shall as we go along, discover our Thoughts, why God is said sometimes to be a *Light*, and sometimes to be a *Fire*, and leave them to the further consideration of the Reader.

We shall every where find, that where God is said to be a *Fire*, he is represented as a Judge executing Punishment, and on the contrary, that he is called a *Light*, where he displays his Grace, Favour and Mercy, by which Grace man is saved: And thus God can be both a *Light* and a *Fire*; a *Light* to them which purify their Hearts, and that have put on the new Man; and so are capable of enjoying of Gods presence by vertue of the Spiritual Fellowship which they have with their God: Whereas on the Contrary, he is a *Fire* to those which have not mortified the old Man, or their flesh, so that their impurity, to wit, their Carnal corruption cannot stand before the pure, and clean *Divine Fire*. But that we may shew this yet more plainly even in Nature it self; we must consider that one and the same *Fire* is to some Subjects, and in some respects a consuming *Fire*, and to others not so, nor able to do the least damage to them; as is most manifest in the
im.

imperfect Metals, which are consumed in the *Fire*, whereas on the contrary the perfect Metals, as Gold and Silver, are not in the least to be consumed by *fire*, so that the *fire*, with respect to Gold and Silver, is properly no *fire*, to wit, no fire that consumes them or burns them, as it does burn the imperfect and impure Metals.

§. 49. Therefore from the Description which the Holy Scriptures give us of the Being of God, it is evident that it is *a Light* and *a Fire*.

§. 50. Seing then that the Being of God is *a Light*, and that man is Created after the Image of God, it must needs follow that this Image in man is also *a Light*; for (as is said §. 45.) because the Divine being is a Spirit, which has no outward shape or form, the Image must needs also be a Spirit, and such a Spirit as bears resemblance to that which it represents, to wit it must be *a Light* as God is *a Light*.

§. 51. Now that the Image of God, or Spirit of the mind (as its called *Eph. 4.*) consists in an inward Light, the Holy Scripture teaches us, for *he that putteth on the new Man, which is Created after God, and who is renewed in knowledge after the Image of him*
G 2
that

that Created him, Col. 2. 10. is said Eph. 5. 8. *To be Light in the Lord, ye were sometimes Darknes, but now are ye Light*, Col. 1. 12. *which has made us meet to be partakers of the Inheritance of the Saints in Light.* And that inheritance, saith the Apostle, *is the Light & this Light* saith the Psalmist Ps. 97. 11. *is sown*) for the Righteous, and Ps. 112. 4. *unto the upright there ariseth Light in the Darknes.* And thus are the Faithful said to be *Children of the Light*, 1 Thes. 1. 5.

§. 52. But that it may yet further appear, that the Image of God is *Light*, which Image or Light they receive, who do mortify their flesh with the Lusts thereof, it will be needful to enquire into the properties or Nature of the Image of God, and of that Light, which is given to the Faithful, that so it may farther appear from the consonant properties thereof, that the Image of God is *a Light*, and even that very same Light, which the Faithful do receive.

§. 53. When God had Created man after his Image, it is written Gen. 1. 26. *And let them have Dominion over the Fish of the Sea, and over the Fowls of the Aire, and over the Cattle, and over all the Earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the Earth.* From whence it is evident, that the Image of God makes men meet to Rule over the whole

whole Earth : And thus also it is said of the Faithful *Pf. 25. 13. That his seed shall inherit the Earth*, and *Pfal. 37. 11. The meek shall inherit the Earth* ; which is again repeated *Mat. 5. 5. Now what is it, to possess the Earth ? but to be Lord and Master of it, as it is the Right of an Heir to be. And Mark 9. 23. Our Saviour says : If thou canst believe all things are possible to him that believeth : and what he meant by all things, our Saviour shews at large Mark 16. 17, 18. And these Signs shall follow them that believe, in my name shall they cast out Devils, they shall speak with new Tongues ; they shall take up Serpents, and if they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them, they shall lay hands on the sick and they shall recover. And Luke 10. 20. The Spirits are subject to you ; and such other places, which do evidently shew us, that the faithful that have received the Light have the same Power as Man had before he lost the Image of God.*

§. 54. And as Man that was Created after the Image of God, had Power to fill the earth, and to subdue it, *Gen. 1. 28. So those that have received the Spirit or Light, are said to mortify and subdue their Flesh, that is the Earthly part, and to make it Spiritual. Rom. 6. 6. Knowing this, that our old man is Crucified with him, that the body of sin might be*

be destroyed. But it is more properly rendered: *weakned or impeded in its working.* And Rom. 8. 11, 12, 13. *But if the Spirit of him that raised up Christ from the Dead, shall also quicken your mortal bodies by his spirit that dwelleth in you. Therefore Brethren, we are Debtors not to the flesh, to live after the flesh: for if ye live after the flesh, ye shall die, but if ye through the spirit do mortify the deeds of the Body ye shall live.* And Gal. 5. 24. *And they that are Christs have Crucified the flesh with the Lusts.* And this is treated of at Large Eph. 4. Col. 3. &c.

§. 55. Now before man had sinned, while the Image of God yet shined in his inward parts, how great Wisdom and Knowledge in all things did attend him continually? It must needs have at least been such, that he did not only see through the external Objects, the frame of things, but also must have had knowledge of their most inward state, to wit, of the Spirit, or Life of every thing, because he understood how to give Names to all things according to their Natures, Gen. 2. 19. *And when the Lord God had formed out of the Ground every Beast of the field, and every Fowle of the Aire, he brought them unto Adam to see what he would call them, and whatsoever Adam called every living Creature, that was the name thereof.*

Now

Now he that knows the nature of any thing, he has knowledge of its inward spiritual Being, of its qualifications and out-working; on the same manner it is also said concerning the *Light and Spirit*, which the Faithful do receive and enjoy, to wit, that he gives them wisdom to discern Spirits, and all spiritual Gifts; and therefore the Apostle calls it *Eph. 1. 17, 18. The Spirit of Wisdom and Revelation in the knowledge of him, enlightning the eyes of the understanding.* And this Spirit of Wisdom is by Solomon very gloriously described in the *Proverbs* throughout, and the Fruits thereof, in the 2d. Chapter he shews what Profit they reap, *that incline their Ears unto Wisdom, and that apply their hearts unto understanding; to wit, that they do thereby understand the fear of the Lord, and find the knowledge of God, &c.* The Apostle also 1 Cor. 12 describes this Light or Spirit to be that which gives the word of *Wisdom, the word of Knowledge, the gifts of Healing, the Working of Miracles, Prophecies, the discerning of Spirits, many Tongues, and such like.*

§. 56. Which consonant properties do therefore plainly shew, that the Spirit of the Light, which the Faithful do receive from God, is that very same Image of God which the first man lost by Sin: For

1. As

1. As the first man had by the Image of God Dominion over all things, so do the Faithful by the Spirit or Light, Rule over all things.
2. As the first Man had Power by the Image of God to subdue the Earth, so do the Faithful by the Spirit subdue their flesh, and the Lusts thereof.
3. And so are the Faithful inwardly enlightened by the Spirit, that they have the discerning of Spirits, and do know hidden things, just as *Adam* had by the Image of God, a knowledge of the Spirits, and of all Creatures.

§ 57. Thus far then have we shewed, that the Image of God consists in an inward Light, and that this Light is the same, with which the Faithful are enlightened: Yet permit us here, Reader, who mayest read this more out of curiosity, then out of any love to truth, further to enquire who and what manner of thing this Image of God is, that a man that loves God, and seeks to live in Christ, may go on in this way more and more to search out the hidden Wisdom of God, which he does so abundantly make known in the Holy Scriptures to them that seek him.

§ 58. The Holy Scripture does not only

ly say, that the Image of God does consist in a *Light*, but it does also teach us, *who it is that is the Image of God*. The Apostle saith, 2 Cor. 4. 4. *Lest the Light of the glorious Gospel of Christ, who is the Image of God, &c.* And Col. 1. 15. *Who is the Image of the invisible God*. So that hence it is manifest, that Christ is the Image of God: and as we have already shewed, that the Image of God is a *Light*, so it is also said of Christ John 1. 9. *That he is the true Light that enlightneth every man that comes into the World*. And Chap. 8. 12. Then spake Jesus unto them, saying, *I am the Light of the world, he that followeth me shall not walk in darkness, but shall have the light of Life*.

§. 59. And because Christ is the Image of God that enlightens every man, therefore it is said, that the Spirit of Christ is in the Faithful. Gal. 4. 6. *And because ye are Sons, God has sent forth the spirit of his (Gods) Son into your hearts*. And Eph. 3. 16. 17. *That he would grant you to be strengthened with might, by his Spirit in the Inner-Man: that Christ may dwell in your hearts by faith*. And Rom. 8. 29. *He that has the Spirit of Christ is conformed to the Image of his Son*. So that from these places, and many more (which every one can observe in the reading of the Holy Scripture) it is manifest,
that

that the Image of God in man, *is the Spirit of Christ*, which Spirit because it proceeds from Christ, who is the Image of God, is that Image of God which Man possesses.

Now how Christ can be said to be the Head, and the Faithful his Members, which are all guided by the same Spirit, every true seeker can understand in himself, when he considers that Christ is the Image of God, who gives his Spirit to all the Faithful, by which they are all Members of one Body, which is Ruled by the Spirit of Christ.

In like manner may easily be drawn from this Spring, how Man can be said to be *Gods Off-spring, and that we live and move, and have our Being in God*. But every one that has the Spirit of Christ, will best understand in his Inner-Man, how that Spirit gives him Communion with God.

§. 60. And seing Christ is the Image of God, who gives his Spirit to Man, that he may be a perfect Right Man, it is necessary that we do hence shew the necessity of the satisfaction of Christ, to reconcile fallen Man to God again.

§. 61. Reason teaches us, *that nothing can attain to its former perfection, except it recovers*

covers again that which it formerly possessed, to wit, that which was the cause of its perfection. Yea this is evident in all Machines, and Mechanick Instruments; all which clearly shews us, that when they come to want that which once they had for the performing of the Work, to which they were framed, that either that Work does cease, or is not performed so perfectly as before.

§ 62. If then we do well consider this universal Truth, and bring it over, or apply it unto Man, it is certain, that seeing Man was Created so upright, that he had that capacity of being united to his God, the Sinner must receive the same capacity, that he may be united with his God again.

§. 63. Yea it is impossible that he should receive any other thing instead of it, but that very thing which he lost, because if he should receive any other thing instead of it, he would want that which is required to a perfect Man, and would receive something which he had not in the Creation, and so the best part that Man had received from God in the Creation would consequently be useless.

Besides, when it is said that God Created Man upright, *Eccles. 7. 29.* there must
needs

have been in man all that was necessary for the attaining of Gods end ; that the Sinner then may perform what God intended by him, he must receive again that which God gave him in his Creation to that end : Even not any thing better, nor any other thing can he receive, because God at first made all things after the most perfect manner in the Creation, according to §. 30. So that it is impossible that the Sinner can receive any thing better, or any other thing from God, but that very thing which he received of God in the Creation ; because, if he should receive any other thing, that thing could not be better, but worse, and so he would not be able to fulfil what God aimed at by him, because God Created man, after the most perfect manner.

From all which it then follows, that the Sinner cannot be made perfect in another way, but by receiving again that very Image of God which he lost by Sin.

§. 64. Now that the Salvation of the Sinner consists in the recovering of this Image of God, the Holy Scripture does so abundantly testify, that there is nothing held forth unto us more in the Holy Scripture, than that our Salvation does consist in the receiving of the Spirit and Image of God again, as is manifest from the places by us
already

already cited, §. 51. 53, 54, 55. and of which Eph. 4. and Colos. 3. &c. do treat.

§. 65. But now altho' we have discovered that the Sinner cannot attain unto Salvation, unless he receive again the Image of God, yet this difficulty still remains in the case, to find out, *how and by what means the sinner can recover this Image of God again?*

§. 66. It is then an universal truth in Nature, *that nothing can be meliorated nor united with another thing, so as to bring forth fruit thereby, except there be a previous suffering, dying or mortification.* (To wit, such a suffering, death or mortification as tends to melioration, not such a death as tends to putrefaction, for there are these two sorts of Death, as for Example, the Seed passeth through a death, in order to the bringing forth of Fruit, and sometimes it dyes so, that it remains in putrefaction, out of which no new Seed but Worms are generated.) Now that such a dying or mortification must go before the Union is manifest in Trees, whose Twigs or Buds when they are Ingrafted, or Inoculated, they dye as it were, and wither, before they can unite with the Tree, in like manner can no Seed unite with the Earth, so as to bring forth Fruit, unless it first dyes, and ther-e

therefore it is, that our Saviour saith with respect to the profit which was to accrue unto sinners by his Death, *Iohn 12. 24. Except a grain of Wheat fall into the ground and dye, it abideth alone*, that is, it cannot then be united with the Earth to Multiply; *but if it dye it bringeth forth much fruit*, and *1 Cor. 15. 36. That which thou sowest is not quickened except it dye.*

§. 67. Now to bring this Universal truth home unto fallen Man, (how he fell, we shall shew hereafter) we shall find that the Scripture does expressly teach us, that no man can attain to the Image of God again, so as to bring forth Fruits of Righteousness, but by a dying as our Saviour testifies *Iohn 12. 24.* under the similitude of a grain of Wheat, not only applying this unto himself, that he must dye, in order to acquire unto himself a Church or People, but he also applies the same to them which will be his Followers. For *Verse 25. 26.* Our Saviour saith, *He that loves his life shall loose it*, that is, he that fears to follow me for fear of Death, he shall dye without bringing forth Fruit: and *he that hateth his life in this World, shall keep it unto life Eternal*: that is, he that fears not death, but will chearfully deliver up himself unto death for my name sake, he shall by his death bring forth Fruit, which

which shall not change, but abide for ever. And therefore Christ adds : *if any man will serve me let him follow me* ; that is, let him give himself chearfully up unto the death, as I do now give my self up unto it. And very expressly saith the Apostle, *Col. 3. 3. Concerning the Faithful; ye are dead, and your life is hid with Christ in God. And V. 5. Mortify therefore your members which are upon Earth, &c.* Now because suffering and Death must go before Glorification, the Apostle saith, *Act. 14. 22. that we must enter into the Kingdom of God through much tribulation.* Moreover if we consider the manners of Speech used by the Apostles, concerning the manner, how the Sinner recovers the Image or Spirit of God again, how emphatically do they represent unto us, that no sinner can attain unto any fellowship with the Spirit, *except a man be born again, he cannot see the Kingdom of God; that is, if a Man be not born of Water, and of the Spirit,* as our Saviour there further declares *Vers. 5.* Now this is certain that no body can be born again, except he first die (how this Regeneration is to be understood, we shall shew hereafter in its proper place) and *Titus 3. 5. he hath saved us by the washing of Regeneration, and renewing of the holy Ghost, which is 1 Peter. 1. 3. called being born again through the Resurrection of Jesus*

Jesus Christ from the dead. Now this is certain, that if we be born again by the Resurrection of Jesus Christ, we must first have been dead; wherefore from all this it is manifest that no man can recover the Image of God again, but through suffering and death.

§. 68. But now for as much *as no Sinner has any the least power to give unto himself Salvation*, according to the Testimony of the Holy Scripture, and that every one finds in his own experience that he is incapable of conferring upon himself any perfection, it follows, *that that Being which restores him, must be more perfect than the Sinner himself, and yet such as can unite, and have fellowship with man.*

It must be more *Perfect* than the Sinner, because if he had no greater Perfections than he, he could not impart unto the Sinner that which he himself should want.

It must also be such, *as can be united*, and *have fellowship* with man, because that which cannot be united, or have fellowship with another thing, cannot make the thing better, or change its state for the better, because all Melioration doth require the most close union; that is, a Spiritual union with the life of that thing which is changed, for the Life being the worker of all our Acti-

ons, and the former of its own body (as is proved in the Observations of Bar. *Van Helmont* concerning Man, §. 34, 35, 36, 37.) it is necessary, that this Union be with the life it self, that so the life may come to change its former working.

§. 69. Moreover, *This Being that should thus change the sinner, must not only be perfecter than he, but it must also be so perfect, that it can give perfection unto other Beings.* Or else it would be of no use to the Sinner; as for Example, It is manifest, that Gold has more perfection in it than Iron has, but Gold cannot therefore communicate its perfection to the Iron, while it self remains meer natural Gold, because it has no more perfection than it self stands in need of to be Gold: in like manner we say of all Creatures or Created Beings, none excepted, that altho' they had more perfection than the Sinner, yet they cannot communicate their perfection to the Sinner, because they possess no more perfection than just belongs to their own Beings.

Besides, Seing Man is the head Work, or most excellent piece of all the Creatures upon Earth, as is already proved: it is impossible that any Creature can give him any perfection: from whence necessarily follows, that no Creature can restore him

to his primitive Perfection and integrity.

§. 70. Stand here still with me, O thou truth loving Reader ! and contemplate what manner of Being that must needs be then, which according to the order of Nature in all things) must restore the Sinner to his pristine state ?

§. 71. In the 68. §. we have proved, the Sinner must be restored by a more perfect Being than himself, and not only so, but that it must have power to impart of its perfection unto the Creature ; but this is impossible for any Creature to do, according to §. 69. yea this being must not only be so perfect, but it must be such as must have fellowship with, and be united unto Man according to §. 68.

§. 72. All which being well considered, every one must needs confess *that none other is capable to restore man, but his Creator alone.* Because the Creator has not only more Perfection than man, but can also confer it upon his Creatures ; which is evident from hence : Even because he gave unto all the Creatures their Perfection in the Creation; nor can we conceive any thing in the whole Frame of Nature, that can have greater Fellowship and Union with Man than his
Crea-

Creator? because the Creature depends upon the Creator, and the Creator does continually uphold his Creatures.

§. 73. Thus far then it follows, beyond all Contradiction, that the sinner cannot be restored, but by his Creator; But seing (according to §. 61.) that nothing can come to its former perfection, unless it recovers that which was the cause of its perfection; yea, that it is impossible, that the sinner can by any thing else recover his primitive perfection, but by that very thing alone which he lost, as is proved §. 63. and his pristine or Primitive Perfection having consisted in the Spirit or Image of God, according to §. 63. 64. and seing the sinner cannot be restored to his former Estate, but by his Creator, according to §. 71. 72. and that he cannot receive any thing else but the image of God, which can bring him thereunto, according to §. 63. 64. it necessarily follows, *that the Creator must himself be that Image of God, which man stands in need of.*

§. 74. Now it is manifest to every one that reads the Holy Scriptures, that Christ is said to be the Creator of Heaven and Earth, as may be seen Col. 1. 16. *By him were all things Created, &c.* Seing then, that

the Holy Scripture shews us, that Christ is he which Created us, then he must also needs be that Image of God, which Man had in the state of his uprightness and integrity; and that Christ is that Image of God, we have already proved at large §. 58. 59.

§. 75. From all these then it is manifest that none can restore us to our first perfection *but Christ alone*, who was the Image of God in us, which became darkened in us through Sin. But for as much as §. 66. 67. it is proved, that nothing can be united to another thing, but through suffering, and death, it is of great consequence now to shew: *how and on what manner sinners may, and by no other means can be united to God.*

§. 76. Now to search out in what manner sinners must be united to the Image of God, it is not only enough, that we know that Christ is our Creator, and the Image of God, which alone is able to restore the sinner into his Perfect State, but we must *also well mind this truth*, in order to a further discovery of the Union betwixt the Sinner and the Image of God, *viz. That nothing can unite except the things which are to be united, do meet, to wit, the uniter, and that which is united.* So that we must here consider, what

what manner of thing that must be, which is to be united with Christ, who is the Image of God (that sinners may thereby be restored unto the state of Integrity again, to wit, to have fellowship again with God) from whom it had separated, and estranged it self by sin.

§. 77. It is manifest from §. 73. that sinners cannot be again restored unto their former state of Integrity, except they obtain that which they lost, now we do further say on the other side, that that which was lost, cannot be re-united unto man again, except the nature of man can and do receive it. For if it were some thing, that were either too mean or too Glorious for man to receive, then it could not be united unto man, but unto some thing else; and *therefore it was that Christ could not be united unto any thing else but unto the nature of man,* that he might restore mans nature into its former state : For had Christ been united with any thing else, but the nature of Man, he could not thereby have restored man; but only that with which he had been united, and consequently his Union with any other thing would have been of no advantage to the sinner at all, nor could have united him with the Image of God : but this is that, which we are now to prove according to §. 75.

§. 78.

§. 78. Now altho' it be clear from §. 76. That Christ was to take upon him the Nature of Man, that he might restore unto sinners the Image of God, yet is that not enough, that Christ should only have taken upon him the Nature of Man, but it was also most necessary, *that Christ should take upon him the nature of such a man, as of whom all mankind does depend, and from whom all men did come, or are descended.* Because the Nature of all things teaches us, that the whole race of any thing cannot be Meliorated, except the Source or Root be amended, from whence the whole Race, or all the Branches are descended; as for example, when a whole Tree does not bear good Fruit, it is necessary that the whole Tree be amended by Transplantation, and cutting off the Branches and Pruning the Roots, and not one or more of the Branches only; Because the Tree bears the Branches, and the Branches not the Tree; Wherefore, altho' some of the Branches should be cut off, and Grafted upon another Tree, those Branches which are so cut off, and re-engrafted, would in themselves be amended, but not the whole Tree from which they were cut off.

To make this plain, there is no better way, than for us to make a Trial from our own Selves: As for Example, When the
whole

whole Man is sick, and out of Order, so that the Distemper proceeds from the Life it self, and not from the unsoundness of any one of the Parts or Members, the Query is, Whether any one can be so foolish, as to endeavour to restore his whole Being unto Health, by cutting off an Arm or a Leg, or by applying Remedies to them? Verily no, but he will endeavour to remove the Cause by such Means, as the Life can receive, or as can be communicated unto it, because the Life is the Former of our Body, (as I have proved in the Considerations of *B. Van Helmont* concerning Man, in §. 34. 35, 36, 37, 38.)

Now to apply this to the Case in hand, I say then, that if Christ had not taken upon him that particular Humanity or the nature of that Man, from which all men are descended, he could not have restored unto all men the Image of God again, but only unto that particular Man-hood alone, unto which he was united, and yet, that all men must recover the Image of God again, we shall shew hereafter. Just as a Branch which is Ingrafted upon another Tree, is thereby indeed amended, but not the whole Tree from whence it was cut off. It is a thing contrary to Nature, that all the parts of any thing should be bettered any where, save in their Original or Source;
Yea

Yea this is contrary to every (even the very least) thing in Nature. For as much then as this is an universal truth in Nature, therefore it must also needs be a truth in the bettering of Mankind; because Mankind stands united, and subjected to the same natural Order, as all other things, and without which Order man cannot produce any thing. Therefore, that Christ might reunite Sinners to himself, he was to take upon him that individual Humanity or Manhood, of which all men are descended.

And if we diligently examine the Argumentation which the Apostle holds *Rom. 5*. We shall find that the Apostle signifies, that Christ did take upon him *such a Manhood*, or humane nature, as by which all men could be saved; such as was that of *Adam*, by whom all Men became Sinners. For the Apostle shews not only *Vers. 10. that being reconciled, we are saved by the Life of Christ*. But also *Vers. 11. that we joy in God*, to wit, that we are assured that God is our Father, who will communicate unto us of his Glory, and the cause of this our Joying is, *that we have received the Atonement by Christ*.

But some will perhaps ask here: how or on what wise could Christ reconcile us.

This Question the Apostle answers in *V. 12*. saying, *Wherefore*, (that is, on this wise,) *as by one man sin entred into the World*,
 59 and

and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men, in whom all have sinned, that is to say, just as by one man sin came into the world, so on the very same manner is the Atonement made by one man, to wit, by Jesus Christ; and that as by sin, death, so by the Atonement, Life is received; and as death is so passed upon all men, so is the righteousness of Jesus come upon all men unto justification of life. And as death is passed upon all men, because they all sinned **In Adam**: For *ἐν* cannot here signify [for that] as in our English, but in whom, as in the States Dutch Bible, nor can it have any relation to any other, but to that one Man, *Adam*, (especially if you add unto it V. 18. 19.) So there is the very same reason of the Atonement of Christ. For Christ has made the Atonement for all men, because they are all justified in Christ. Now this is plain, seeing the Apostle draws here a Parallel betwixt *Adam* & Christ, that that Parallel must hold so far as he makes it to go. Let us then see how far this Parallel which he makes betwixt Christ and *Adam* v. 12 must go.

It is certain, according to this saying of the Apostle, *That sin entred into the World by Adam, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men, because they all sinned in Adam*; that the true reason here rendered, why all Men are Sinners; is, *because they all sin.*

Sinned in Adam: Now if they did all sin in *Adam*, they must all have been in *Adam*, and must all have depended upon him, as upon their Head, and Beginning, or else they could not all have sinned in *Adam*. Just thus must this Parallel hold also in Christ: Righteousness is obtain'd through Christ, and this Righteousness comes upon all because they are justified in Christ. Now this must be as true in Christ as it was in *Adam*; to wit, that seing all men depended upon and were hid in *Adam*, and therefore did sin with him, so also must all men be dependent in Christ, as on their Head, that is, they must depend on his humane Nature, or manhood, as well as on that of *Adam*, if they are to be all justified in him: For seing, as §. 76. nothing can be united to another, except the Unitor, and the thing united do meet in one; and seing man cannot be restored to his former state, except the image of God takes Manhood upon him According to §. 77. it must needs follow, that if the Image of God, to wit, Christ takes upon him such a Manhood, as whereby all men are justified; that that Manhood must be that of the first Man, of whom all men are descended, and have received their life, because that otherwise all men could not be partakers of righteousness by the Manhood of Christ, and

and for as much as all men are descended of *Adam*, and so do depend upon him, as upon their beginning, from whom they are Born, so Christ could not justify all men in him, except he took upon him *Adams* Manhood, of which all men are dependent.

And for further confirmation of what we say from that which the Apostle says, to wit, That Christ must needs have taken upon him the Manhood of *Adam*: in the first place we must consider, that the Apostle in the 14. V. saith: That *Adam* was a Figure or Type of Christ, and consequently Christ must be a man, or must take upon him a Manhood, as the Apostle affirms V. 15. where he calls Jesus Christ a Man. 2d. As death reign'd over all men by one, to wit, by *Adam*, so life reigns over all Men by one, to wit, by Jesus Christ, V. 17. Therefore the Antitype must also agree in this respect, according to the saying of the Apostle, that is, Christ must be the Head of all men, as well as *Adam* was; and that the Apostle proves very strenuously V. 18. 19. Because *that as by the one transgression of Adam all men are made sinners, so also by the one righteousness of Christ all men receive life.* If then all men do receive life by the one righteousness of Christ, then Christ must be the head of all men, as well as

as *Adam* was; and all men must descend from him, as well as from *Adam*; because else Christs righteousness could not come upon all men, which yet the Apostle does most expressly affirm, V. 18. whence then does undoubtedly follow, that seeing *Adam* is the Head of all Mankind, and seeing Christ has done the same thing that *Adam* did, to wit, in the Antithesis, that the Man Jesus Christ must needs also have been the Head of all Mankind: And for as much as none but *Adam* can be the Head of all Mankind, it must needs follow that Christ must have taken upon him *Adams Manhood*, that he might justify all men by his own Righteousness.

Objection I. But in Answer to this Consequence which we draw from *Rom.* 5. That Christ cannot have taken upon him other Manhood but that of *Adam*, if all Men be so justified in Christ as they all sinned in *Adam*, it will be said that that manner of Speech, that *all men are justified in Christ*; is not to be taken for all, & every man, one by one, but for all those which are Born of Christ to wit, for the Faithful: For the Apostle makes here an Antithesis betwixt *Adam* and Christ, and betwixt those which are born of *Adam*, and those which are born of Christ; so that those which are born of Christ, are not born of him after the flesh, as those which are
born

born of *Adam* are, but after the Spirit, and consequently, that it does not necessarily follow, that Christ must needs have taken upon him the Manhood of Adam, because they that are born of Christ, are not born after the Flesh but after the Spirit.

Answer, For Answer to this Objection it is to be observed, First, That the Apostle does not say, that as all that are born of *Adam*, are become sinful by *Adams* Fall, so all that are born after a Spiritual manner of Christ, are justified in him, but he says without any Limitation at all, That as by *Adams* Fall all men are become Sinners, just so by Christs Righteousness are all men justified: So that he here opposes these two Effects or Out-workings, to wit, The Transgression and the Free Gift of Grace, each to other, and shews that as the one has made all Men Sinners, so the other has made all men Righteous: Now where the Apostle makes no distinction as well with respect to the Righteousness of Christ, as with respect to the Fall of *Adam*, by what Justice can we then limit the word ALL, and that in one and the self same Argument, without offering Violence to, and wresting the Words.

Secondly, It is manifest that Christ as Mediator, was Man, if then those which are said to be born of Christ, are only born
of

of him Spiritually, to what purpose did Christ take the Manhood upon him? Verily Christ needed not to have taken Manhood upon him to effect such a Spiritual Birth, as they that make this exception do understand by this Birth, as is already shewed more at large in the fourth Objection.

Thirdly, The Apostle does not here oppose the Spiritual Birth of Christ, to the Fleshly Birth of *Adam*: For Christ is not here opposed unto *Adam*, with respect to his Spiritual Being, but with respect to his Manhood: For the Apostle says, V. 15. *The Man Jesus Christ*, and 1 Cor. 15. 21. *For since by Man came Death, so by Man came also the Resurrection of the Dead*, by man I say, so that *Adams* Manhood is here opposed to the Manhood of Christ: and as all Men Fell in the Man *Adam*, so must all Men also be justified by the Man Christ, and consequently all men must as well be born of Christ as of *Adam*, and that in the same manner, because they are all justified in Christ after the same manner, according to the Apostles Doctrine: As all men Fell in *Adam*, so in Christ are all men restored again: Wherefore this exception cannot be admitted here, to wit, that Christ Regenerates all those whom he justifies only after a Spiritual manner, and not as those
that

that are born of *Adam*: ſeing then all men can have none elſe but *Adam* for their firſt Father, and yet that Chriſt juſtifies all men in that manner, viz. by his own Righteouſneſs, it neceſſarily follows, that the Man Jeſus Chriſt, could not thus juſtifie all men by his own Righteouſneſs, except he had taken upon him the Manhood of *Adam*, for the reaſons rendred in the former §. 78.

Fourthly, I would willingly underſtand of theſe men, which ſay that all that are juſtified are generated by Chriſt only after a Spiritual Manner, what they mean by that manner of Speech? Do they thereby mean that the body proceeds from a ſpiritual beginning (as we have ſhewed in §. 27. as alſo in the *Conſiderations* of B. *Van Helmont* concerning Man §. 93. 94.) continually formed by his Life, which is a Spirit, (as we have ſhewed in the aforeſaid *Conſiderations* §. 13. 34, 35, 36, 37.) ſo that the Body muſt be obedient to the direction of its Life, then I willingly grant them, that the Faithful are born of Chriſt after ſuch a ſpiritual manner. But then they muſt withal grant me, ſeing the bodily proceeds from the Spiritual (as we have juſt now ſhewed) that there muſt needs be a fellowſhip betwixt the Spiritual and the Bodily, that is, that they do both come from one and the ſame beginning Originally

nally; and consequently, that the Spiritual must always contain in it that from whence the bodily does proceed, or else there could be no fellowship betwixt these two; If then there be somewhat in the spiritual which can also become corporeal, then this manner of Speaking, concerning a spiritual birth, signifies nothing else but this, that that, out of which the Body is formed, is Born of Christ as well as the Life or Spirit of Man; and then man is born of Christ as well as to his Body as to his Spirit: And then this spiritual Birth will not at all differ in this sense from the Birth out of *Adam*, because those which are born out of *Adam*, are also generated out of the spiritual. (Now that all Births are first spiritual, that is, that they do proceed from a spiritual beginning I have proved in the *Considerations of B. Van Helmont concerning Man* §. 93. 94.) But because Men now a dayes are Carnal, and unacquainted with that which is spiritual, they are utterly ignorant, that all things must needs have come from a Spiritual beginning.

Fifthly, Suppose yet that we granted them the Point, to wit, that those which are justified in Christ are born of Christ only after a spiritual manner: Does this spiritual birth relate to the Soul alone, or to the Body also? That is, to the whole
Man

Man? Seing the Apostle saith, that the *Man* (and not the Soul alone) *is justified*; Now if the Bodily as well as the Spiritual must be justified, has not Christ then generated the Bodily as well as the Spiritual? Verily yes, or else the whole man could not be justified and consequently, if the Bodily be justified as well as the Soulli^h part then it is absurd to say, that Christ hath generated the Righteous only after the Spirit, seeing that if he justifieth the whole Man, he must have generated him after the whole Man, that is, as well after the Body as after the Soul. Wherefore those that make this exception: that Christ has generated men after a Spiritual Manner, in Opposition to the Generating of or from *Adam* must either contradict themselves or confess that they speak they know not what.

Objection II. But it will be again replied here; that all men were hid or lay dormant in *Adam*, when they sinned in him, but that all men were not so in Christ, because that there were many thousands alive in the Body subsisting of themselves, and consequently that Christs Righteousness did not pass over unto all men, so as *Adams* sin did.

Answer. As to this Objection, to wit: That all men were not so hid in Christ as in *Adam*, I grant it, because *Adam* was the first Man of this World, and when he sinned had not begotten any Children; whereas on the contrary, at the time of the Incarnation of Christ, there were many thousands upon the Earth, and consequently did subsist of themselves: But hence it doth not necessarily follow, that therefore the Righteousness of Christ could not pass unto all men, as the sin of *Adam* did. But only this would necessarily follow thence: that because many men did live in the time of Christ, but when *Adam* sinned, that there were none out of him and *Eve*, alive in the Body, that therefore at that time, when Christ wrought that Righteousness, all men were not hid in him just after the same Manner as they were hid in *Adam*, out of whom all men were afterwards to be generated. But this Consequence contradicts not our Position: Yea, tho' it seems to say something, yet in very deed it says nothing. For when they say that all men were not hid in Christ, as they were hid in *Adam*; I desire to know what they mean here by the Word *hid*? Do they mean that all men were so hid in *Adam* as things are shut up in a Hutch? Then I readily confess that all men were not so in Christ

Christ : And if this be their meaning of the Word *hid* here, then I say, that it is impossible that all men should be made Sinners by *Adams* sin, because there would then be no more fellowship betwixt *Adam* and his Successors then there is betwixt the Chest and the things that are therein lockt up : But if by the Word *hid*, they mean nothing else here (as it cannot here signifie any thing else) but that all men bein derived from *Adam* as from their Stem or Root, are Partakers of his Life, just as the Tree and all its Fruit were hid in the Seed, that is, that the Life of the Tree and its Fruit was in the Life of the Seed, and so that they have fellowship with each other, with respect to their Spiritual Being, which is the Former and Maker of the Body, (as is proved in the *Considerations concerning Man*, §. 13. 34, 35, 36, 37.) then it will be very easie for us to prove, that the righteousness of Christ could pass over unto all men in the same manner as the Sin of *Adam* did to all his posterity, that is to say : If Christ did take upon him the Manhood of *Adam*, which is here the Question ; For seeing that all men are descended of *Adam*, and so are a part of him, as belonging to him, because they do partake of his Life (just as all that which is brought forth in this

world, remains always apart of this World, it seems to subsist of it self) it is certain, that they always remain a part of *Adam*, and have fellowship with him, altho' they seem to subsist of themselves, because the Life which they have received from *Adam*, which life being once taken away, they could subsist no longer, because their life is rooted in *Adams* Life: This being so (as it is no otherwise throughout the whole frame of Nature) that the Producer, and the Produced are in a continual fellowship, because the one partakes of the life of the other) I say, that seeing Christ did take upon him the Manhood of *Adam*, and so obtained Righteousness for *Adam*, he also obtained Righteousness for all *Adams* Posterity, as they being the Parts of *Adam*, altho' they do not yet all actually feel it, yea tho' the greatest part of them depart from Christ, and lye sunk and drownd in all manner of ungodliness.

Objection III. But it will again be alledged here : that all men do now subsist of themselves, and consequently, that men are not therefore saved ; because Christ hath taken upon him *Adams* Manhood.

Answer, This *Objection* consists more
in

in Words that signifie nothing, than in truth. For what do they mean by *all mens subsisting now of themselves*? Is this their meaning, that all men are now brought forth without Generation? Or that being begotten and brought forth by their Parents, they are arrived to those Years, and to that growth, that they know how to govern, and to uphold themselves? This last will doubtless be the meaning of these Words, and not the first, because there is no man born into this World but by generation, which being so, it is for ever true, that tho' men can Rule and Govern themselves, and in that sense do subsist of themselves, that nevertheless every man hath his beginning of being a man, from his Parents, and so does continually remain a part of his Parents, with respect to his bodily Being, for if that life which he received from his Parents should fail, his Body would Perish; and so *Adam* being the Stem or Root from whence all men are descended, and which consequently do retain in them continually a part of *Adam*; it is very easie to understand, that when *Adam* receives again the Image of God, that his Posterity are thereby put into a capacity of receiving the Image of God again, by vertue of their Fellowship with *Adam*.

Be-

Besides, when they say, that it is not needful, that Christ should take upon him the Manhood of *Adam*, that he might restore unto all men the injoyment of the Image of God, because there were thousands of men subsisting of themselves at the time of Christs Incarnation. I answer, That if thousands do subllit of themselves, then Christ could not satisfy for them, because he could not then have had any Fellowship with them, and therefore could not by his Death have reconciled the World to God: But that he must have taken upon him the Manhood of so many men, as he should have reconciled unto God, and so have suffered and dyed as oft as there are men upon the Earth, because nothing can be bettered, except the restorer and the restored be united according to §. 76.

Objection IV. But here again this Evasion will be made use of, *Viz.* That Christ could obtain Salvation for so many men, because he is the Son of God, who is so mighty, that he could by his Spirit bring many Children to Salvation, and consequently, that it was not needful that he should take upon him *Adams* Manhood as the Root from whence all mankind is descended, that he might thereby unite all mankind to God again.

Answer,

Answer, To this evasion I answer, That it is indeed true, that Christ is so mighty, that being the Creator of man he could restore men again to the state of Integrity by his Spirit, and this we have our selves proved §. 68. 69, &c. But that's not the Question here, who it is that alone can restore man; but we are here enquiring on what manner, and by what means or way Christ could restore Sinners as may be seen from §. 76. Now the Means to reunite sinners to the Image of God again, I say, is that Christ should take upon him manhood, as is proved §. 77. therefore we are here only to consider: whether this means, that is, whether that Manhood, which Christ did take upon him, be a fit means, whereby all men could be united again to the Image of God or no? Therefore this exception has here no place, and this our answer might very well suffice.

But to discuss this Shift a little more narrowly, I say, that if Christ has imparted Salvation unto all Mankind only in vertue of his Spiritual, and Divine being, then he needed not to have become man and to have suffered death, and that Christs becoming man would then have been utterly needless and of no advantage unto sinners, because Christ had that his Divine power,
be-

before he became man, and did not receive it by his becoming man.

But if they will say, that Christ, as the Saviour was to become man (as we ourselves have proved the necessity thereof s. 76. 77.) I ask such, what Advantage Christs becoming man has effected? And whether Christ, by becoming man, could reconcile all men, if he had not taken upon him the Manhood of *Adam* as the Stem or Root of all Mankind? the contrary of which we have just now shewed.

Objection V. But against this Position: that Christ must needs have taken upon him *Adams* Manhood, to reunite all men to the the Image of God again; this Objection will still be made, *Viz.* That if Christ had taken upon him *Adams* Manhood, and so had united *Adam* again with the Image of God, he should at the same time have also united all men with the Image of God; because they are the Parts of *Adam*, as we ourselves have affirmed in answer to the former Objection, and yet we see nothing less than that all Men are one with the Image of God, but daily experience shews us the contrary, and consequently that it seems to no purpose, that Christ should have taken upon him the Manhood of *Adam* more than that of any other Man.

Answer,

Answer, But this Objection discovers more th. Objectors ignorance of the Order of Nature, than any real Contradiction; for Example, is it not evident from Experience, that the Fruit of a Woman with Child is a part of her? Verily yes, because she does some times change the form thereof in the Womb; wherefore her life has the direction over the Life of her Fruit, while it is in the Womb; But when she has brought forth her Child, then she has no longer power to change her Child according to her passion; but then the Child stands upon its own bottom; and its own life must preserve it so as that it has then received an own out-working, But altho Children, and Aged People have an out working, yet they do not therefore cease to be a part of their Parents; and consequently do always retain an Union with them, altho' they do also stand upon their own bottom (for these two things must be well minded here, to wit, that they have their Corporeal Being of their Parents, and so far are a part of them, as *Adam* said of *Eve*, *She is Bone of my Bones*, &c. and yet that they also have their own out-working) because they are always in Union with their Parents, and yet have a power to Work of their own,
 accor-

according to §. 27. Yet they cannot come into that state of their Parents, altho' they do partake of their bodily Being, unless their own out-working do incline to come into the same state, in which their Parents are : As for Example, when the Apostle saith 1 Cor. 7. 14. *The Unbelieving Husband is Sanctified by the Wife, and the Unbelieving Wife is Sanctified by the Husband* : Does he here signifie, that when the Unbelievers are Sanctified by their believing Husbands or Wives, that they do thereby become Believers, or does he only signifie thereby, that when two are become one Flesh, and so do enjoy each others Spirit through love, the Unbeliever becomes Sanctified through that Spiritual Fellowship, which they have one with another ; that is, That the Unbeliever receives by the Spirit of the Believer who is his Co-partner, a Capacity or meet occasion of becoming a Believer, if he does also co-operate thereunto, but not else ? In like manner when the Apostle saith, in the same Verse, *That the Children are Holy* which are born of a Believing Father or Mother ; does he mean that the Children do thereby become Believers ? surely no, for that would contradict all Sense and Experience : For do we not see, that the Faithful *Abraham* had an unbelieving *Ishmael* as well as a believing *Isaac*, and that

Isaac

Isaac had as well an *Eſau* as a *Jacob*? But the Apoſtle ſignifies thereby, that there is in them a Seed, Beginning or Principle of coming to the Faith, but yet that Principle or Seed never brings forth Fruit, if they themſelves do not join unto it, and cooperate with it; juſt thus it is now with *Adam* and his Poſterity; that Participation, which they have of *Adam*, gives unto all Men by vertue of that Union, which they have with *Adam*, that they are ſo far Co-partners of the Divine Image, in ſo much and as far as they are a part of *Adam*, and ſo have the ſeed or Principle, that the Image of God can Work in them, but becauſe as they have their own out-working, (for which reaſon alſo Faith unto Salvation is required of every one) ſo they do not feel the Working of the Image of God in them, till their own out-working, and deſire inclines thereunto, viz. That the Image of God may Rule in them inſtead of their own Carnal Drivings, Luſts, and Self Wiſdom, which do (as long as they bear Rule in them) hinder the Spirit of Chriſt from bringing forth Fruits in them, altho' their Origine, to wit, *Adam* be actually and effectually reunited unto the Image of God again.

Objection VI. But it will here again be
Object-

Objected : That Christ, as to his humane Nature or Manhood was without Sin, but that *Adam* was sinful, and that therefore, if Christ had taken upon him *Adams* Manhood he could not have been without Sin, but would therein have also partaken of *Adams* Nature.

Answer, True it is, that *Adam* was a Sinner, but that it is also true, that Christ took not *Adams* Manhood on him till after *Adams* death, viz. About four thousand years after his Creation, so that *Adam* suffered and dyed before Christ was united unto *Adams* Manhood. Now because nothing can become better, but by Suffering and dying according to §. 66. 67. And seeing *Adam* did Suffer and dye, it does not necessarily follow, that because Christ took upon him *Adams* Manhood, that therefore he must take upon him also his Sin, and so would not be without sin the more, because Christ was born neither according to the Will of the Flesh, nor according to the Will of Man, and consequently had not the principle of Sin in him.

§. 79. Seing then that Christ did take upon him the Manhood of *Adam* that so he might thereby redeem Sinners from their sins, *It was also necessary that Christ should suffer that for Sinners, by which they were to be*

amen-

mended. Now this amendment was to be
 through death, as it is said *Gen. 2. 17. Dy-*
ing thou shalt dye, and *Rom. 5. 12. By Sin*
came Death. Now tho' death be the pu-
 nishment, and consequent of Sin, yet doth
 this Punishment tend to the bettering of
 the Sinner : For no punishment, if duly
 administred, can aim at any thing, but the
 bettering of the Transgressor, and the
 bringing of him off from his former ways.
 Verily no Father will Punish his Child, nor
 any equal Judge a Transgressor, but with
 intent to Frighten him from his Wicked-
 ness, and to make him the better for it.
 If then a Worldly Judge does by Punish-
 ment, aim at the reclaiming of Transgres-
 sors from their evil ways, how much more
 then shall the most perfect Being which is
 Righteousness it self, by punishing sinners
 design their amendment, and the making
 them to desist from their Unrighteousness.
 Is Punishment then only in Order to the
 Conversion of the sinner from his sin, and
 thereby to reunite him to the Image of
 God ? And is Christ the only one that
 can relieve Sinners ? according to §. 73.
 4. And did he to that very end take Man-
 hood upon him ? according to §. 77. and
 particularly that very Manhood from
 whence all Mankind are descended ? ac-
 cor-

cording to §. 78. that so by his becoming
 man, all men might be delivered from sin,
 and reunited to the Image of God again?
 then must Christ needs have undergone
 that by which the Evil must be amended,
 and the sinner again be made one with the
 Image of God, unto which there is no
 coming but through Death, according
 to §. 66. 67. *Wherefore seeing that Christ is*
the Saviour, or the only one that can free us
from sin, he could do it by no other means, or
in no other way, nor deliver us from the
yoak and bondage of Sin, but by suffering
Death.

If now the Pious Reader well weighs
 what we have in this Chapter shewed
 concerning the Image of God, where
 in it consists, of what use and advan-
 tage it is unto man, who this Image of
 God is, viz. Christ, and that this Image
 of God alone is he, who alone can free
 the sinner from Sin, and that in Order
 to it, he was to take upon him the
 Nature of Man. and to suffer Death, we
 cannot in the least doubt, but that he
 will be fully satisfied and Believe, not
 from Tradition, but from Knowledge
 and Assurance, that Christ alone, and
 none other but he, is the only Saviour

and that out of him there is no Salvation to be Obtained; as also, that so long as a Man has not recovered the Image of God, so long darkness bears Rule in him.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Soul or Life of Man.

§.80. **A**S Man in the state wherein he was Created by God, had in him the Divine Image, so God also bestowed upon him a *Soul* or *Life*, which differs from the Image of God, and cannot be taken for one and the same Essence. For if we consider the Properties of the Spirit, or Divine Image, and what excellent Privileges and Advantages it affords Man, as hath been shewed §. 53, 54, 55, and 56. and on the other hand reflect how few at this day are possessed of the Image of God, tho' they have a Soul or Life; we shall not need any other Argument besides that of daily Experience to convince us, that the

the Spirit or Image of God differs from the Soul or Life of Man, according to what hath been declared §. 39.

§. 81. In order therefore to our being informed what the Soul of Man is, it will be necessary to enquire into the Properties of it.

Now the Properties of the Soul are, that it Reasoneth or Discourseth, Understandeth, Willeth is self-Conscious, and as long as it is joined to the Body doth uphold and continually guide and govern the same, according to its Will, and the like. That these are the properties of the Soul every one finds in himself, and is conscious of it, if he be considerative and reflects upon his own Actions. For as to Bodies they can neither Discourse nor move themselves as is visible in dead Carcasses: So that these forementioned Qualities are no Properties of the Body, but of the Soul; because when the Soul Operates no longer in the Body, these Properties cease also.

§. 82. In Order therefore to make some Discovery of the Nature of the Soul from these its Properties; it will be necessary that we consider every one of them apart, that so from these we may be able to infer or conclude what kind of Essence that is
from

from whence they flow : For as a Tree is known by his Fruit, so may other things be known by their Effects.

In the first Place then, *The Property of the Soul is that She Discourseth and Understands*, that is, that the things that are present with her, or represented to her from without, are considered or weighed by her, whether they be Good or Evil, to her Profit or Loss, and such like. Moreover, those things whereof the Soul Reasoneth or Discourseth, must be either thoroughly known to her, or in part, for of things she is altogether ignorant of, she cannot Discourse at all. And if the things she Discourseth about, be either thoroughly, or in Part known by her, then hath she also a Comprehension or Understanding of them, and what she doth Comprehend she hath also an Image or Idea of, as hath been shewed §. 15. Now this Image or Comprehension, and the Souls considering and Pondering of the same, takes up no Room at all in her; because a man comprehends many thousands of things without encreasing the bulk of his Body, notwithstanding that the things he comprehends be much greater than it. Whence it is evident, that Reasoning, and Understanding take up no space, how great or ample soever the thing may be that is comprehended.

hended or understood. But on the contrary that the Understanding can comprehend great things as well as little, and consequently that she is neither great nor little: Now that which is neither great nor small, and yet comprehends things great and small, cannot be Corporeal but Spiritual, forasmuch as all Bodies are either great or small. What hath been said here of Reasoning or Understanding, the same may be said of the other Properties; For *to be self conscious*, is to reflect and be convinced that we have done or omitted these or the other things. Now this Conviction is not any thing that is Bodily, but a Spiritual Being, because there can be no Conviction without a Comprehension or Understanding of the Matter we are convinced of: So likewise that Property of the Soul, whereby she supports or upholds the Body as well as guides and directs it, is not Bodily but Spiritual. For this Upholding consists in preserving of the Body in its Pristine Form and State, which Action of Preservation, cannot be Corporeal, because it includes a Superintendence or Direction whereby Nourishment and Support is given to every Member according to their different state and requiring. And this direction is the Beginning and Principal thing in the upholding or Support of the

Bo-

Body. For tho' many Bodies should be joined together all manner of ways, yet will they never be able to support or uphold one another, so that the Direction whereby our Food is prepared, and transmuted in such a manner, that our Body is fed and maintained by it, is not any thing that is Corporeal but Spiritual. Of which Direction or Superintendence we have treated at large in our *Observations concerning Man*, §. 34. 35, 36, 37, 38, 39. And as this Direction which superintends the Nourishment and Support of the Body is Spiritual, so likewise is the Guiding and Governing of the Body Spiritual also, for as much as it proceeds from the Will, and is immediatly effected or executed in the Body at the same instant that the Soul or Life wills and thinks it. Which is an evident Argument that this Rule or Governance must needs be Spiritual and not Corporeal, for as much as not the least moment of time Intervenes between the Intent or Command of the Will, and its Execution, which could never be if the Efficiency or Operation of the Will were Corporeal. But of this Direction of the Body see the foresaid *Observations concerning Man* §. 91.

§. 83. Seing therefore that the Pro-

properties of the Soul are not Corporeal but Spiritual, it follows that the *Soul* or *Life*, is a *Spirit* and no Body, because all her Properties are Spiritual. Now that the Life is a Spirit, I have demonstrated in the forefaid *Observations*. §. 34. 35, 36, 37.

§. 84. The *Soul* or *Life* therefore being a Spirit cannot die, or be changed, as Bodies are: For when we say that Bodies are *changed* this imports an Increase or Decrease of those parts that before were united: And to Die implies nothing else but this, that the Bodies are no longer maintained in the form and condition they were in before, but are Subject to alteration. So that all Dying includes a Change or alteration, (of which see the *Considerations concerning Man*, §. 32.) Now certain it is that whatsoever changeth its form or appearance, doth include Divisibility, whereby the parts that before were united, are now separated from one another, and whatsoever is subject to Divisibility, must be a Body, because Divisibility is the Property of Bodies which consist of many Parts. And forasmuch as according to §. 83. the Soul is a Spirit, therefore neither can the Soul die or be divided, or ever change its Essence.

If any one Object here, that she sometimes

times changeth her operations, and by this means grows either better or worse, and that this change is a kind of Dying; this we are very willing to grant, as long as by this Word, Change, or Dying, is not meant or understood that the Essence of the Soul dies, in like manner as Bodies do; but only this, that the Soul being Conscious of her evil Deeds, repents of, and suffers for them, and by this means becomes changed in her Operations, or manner of Acting. All this we readily grant, as having plainly asserted the same concerning all Lives, viz. That they are meliorated by Suffering, §. 66. and in the *Considerations about Man*, §. 32. in these Words, *Or that the Life for a time ceaseth from its Operation of bringing forth Fruit, by which cessation of her Activity, she either changeth her Operation, or brings forth better Fruit then before, &c.* But such a change or dying as this with regard to the Soul, doth not in the least import that her Essence is changed or divided as that of Bodies is, when their Forms are changed.

§. 85. And for as much as the Soul, with respect to her Essence, is Unchangeable and Immortal, *so neither can she be Annihilated*, because no Annihilation can be without dying, seeing that every thing as long as it
lives

lives hath its Essence, and consequently is not Annihilated, or reduced to nothing. And for as much as the Soul Lives continually according to §. 84. consequently it cannot be Annihilated : For seing that all things are ever present to God as in §. 14. it follows that the Soul cannot be Annihilated, because the Annihilation of a thing, is that whereby it ceaseth to be, or is the reducing of a thing to nothing : If therefore the Essence of the Soul could be Annihilated, then all beings could not be continually present to God ; but more Essences would be present to him at one time then at another, which is repugnant to the Divine Attributes, according to §. 14, 15. For as much therefore, as all Beings are perpetually present to God, it follows, that the *Soul* or *Life* cannot be Annihilated.

Moreover, seing that all Beings are in God without beginning, and consequently have neither beginning nor ending, as hath been demonstrated §. 24. 25, 26. therefore it follows that the Soul hath no end.

And when we consider that the Essentiality of Bodies cannot be Annihilated, tho' their form be changed, because they were not produced out of nothing, according to §. 27. and in the *Observations concerning*

cerning Man §. 32. how much less can the Soul which is not subject to Mutation, as Bodies are, being a Spirit) be obnoxious to Annihilation ? Especially if we consider that she is the Framer of the Body, as in the foresaid *Observations* in §. 37 38. hath been shewed. For my part, I confess that it is altogether inconceivable to me, how any thing that is Essential should loose its Being and become nothing ; and they that Imagine such a thing do not know what Annihilation imports ; only they conceive, that the Soul dies like a Body, that is separated into its parts (the contrary whereof hath been made out § 84.) and this is that they call Annihilation. For as to that which Annihilation properly imports, thereof they can frame no Image or apprehension, for otherwise they would have an apprehension of nothing : For Annihilation signifies the turning of a thing to nothing.

But some perhaps will say, true it is that the Being of a Soul is not Annihilated; but that the Soul when the Body dyes returns to the Universal Spirit, But first we are to consider what is meant by the Universal Spirit, for if thereby they understood the Divine Essence, as if Souls were a part thereof : this is impossible for the Reason alledged §. 4. and
more

more especially because the Soul is mutable as to its Thoughts, Will and Works; whereas the Divine Essence is unchangeable in all these, as is shewed §. 24.

If by the Universal Spirit they understand the Essence of the World, neither is that possible, because this supposed, Spirits would be Divisible; which cannot be, as hath been shewed §. 83. 84. because the Soul is a Spirit, and therefore not subject to partibility.

§ 86. For as much then, as it follows from the Attributes of God, that the Soul cannot be Annihilated, because she hath been without beginning in God, we may by the same consequence conclude, that she is not Created out of nothing: For to Create a thing out of Nothing imports, that the thing Created did receive the first beginning of its Being in that Creation, but this cannot be, because all Souls have been without beginning in God, and therefore before the Creation, as may be seen § 24. 25, 27. Where the Creation is treated of, and where it is shewed what Creation doth import or signify, and what not.

§. 87. Seing therefore that Souls are without beginning, we are to understand and take Notice, *That all the Souls that belong to the World, were in the Creation at once, and altogether Created by God: That*
is

is, Souls were put in such a state or condition in the Creation, that they might subdue what is Tangible, and Visible, and have Dominion over it; for that the word *to Create*, can in no wise signify the production of a thing out of nothing, hath been abundantly shewed in § 27.

Now that all Beings appertaining to this world must have been Created at once in the Creation is a consequence deducible from the Divine Wisdom, as hath been shewed § 30. 31. and have also demonstrated the same at large from the Nature of the Creation, § 32. And for as much as the Soul belongs to man, and Man is a part of the World, therefore it necessarily follows, that all Souls were Created by God at once in the Creation.

§. 88. But to evidence the Impossibility of Gods Creating new Souls every day, we will enquire a little what Absurdities do inevitably follow upon this Position, that God Creates the Soul in the Body at the instant of Generation.

First therefore, if God daily Creates Souls, I would demand of those who grant according to the Holy Scriptures, that we all sinned in *Adam*, how according to their supposal of Gods daily Creating Souls, there can be any Truth, or so much as any possibility

bility in this Assertion. For is it not certain that man consists not only of a Body, but also of a Soul? And is it not of equal Truth, that the Soul is much more worthy, and excellent than the Body, seeing that she is immortal and doth govern the Body, pursuant to her Will and Thoughts? §. 81. 82, 84. Seeing therefore that Man consists, not only of a Body, but also of a Soul, and that the Soul is much more excellent than the Body, and the same which thinks, desires, or lusts, and that lust or Concupiscence is the first Rise of Sin. The Query is therefore, if so be all Men have sinned in *Adam*, and are partakers with him in his sin; whether I say, if this be so, all Souls must not of necessity have been in *Adam*, for as much as they are the most Excellent, and by many degrees the most valuable Parts of Man, and the Governours of the Body?

If any one say, that it is not necessary that all Souls should have been in *Adam*, at that time that he Sinned; for that God hath imputed the sin of *Adam* to his posterity, because they all as to their Bodies descended from him. But I would demand of those what Reasonableness or Equity there would be in this, if God, in Creating Souls, should make them sinful; for the Souls of *Adams* Posterity could not sin, nor consent

consent to his sin, because according to their Opinion they were not yet Created; and therefore cannot be now Created sinful, by an imaginary Imputation of *Adams* sin. Neither do those who Preach these devised Fables, ever consider how repugnant the same is to the Essence of God. For what will become of the Divine Justice, if God punisheth men for a sin which was committed some thousands of Years before ever they were Created, and consequently could not be guilty of, or accessory to the same? That this is absolutely inconsistent with Gods Justice, see §. 35.

But perhaps it will be said that Souls are therefore Created sinful by God, because they are to be joined to a sinful Body, descended from *Adam*. But let these shew me the least shadow or appearance of Reason there is in this Consequence, *viz.* That God Creates Souls sinful, because the Bodies to which they are to be joined are so. For my part, I boldly assert, that no man will ever be able to give me any Reason coherent in all its parts, and consistent with the Divine Wisdom, why the Chiefest part of Man should be made sinful, because the Inferior and servile part is so. But suppose we should grant them this their absurd Position, *viz.* That Souls be-
cause

cause of the Sinful Bodies to which they must be joined, have sin imputed to them by God, and are therefore Created sinful; yet I further demand of them, what reason there is, why the Souls when they are first Created, at the instant of Generation, are thrust into sinful Bodies? Or what Communion or Fellowship they can have with such sinful Bodies? Seing that they never committed any sin? Will they say that God would have it so? Then it must follow from hence, that as soon as God hath Created a sinless Soul, his Will and Pleasure is immediatly to send it into a sinful Body. But what Reasonableness or Equity would there be in this, that God should punish a Soul and make it sinful by Imputation, before ever it had committed any sin, and this meerly for the sinful Bodies sake in which she is to dwell? Moreover when they say that *God doth not bestow this Perfection upon Souls in their Creation, because of the imputation of Adams Sin?* Do not they hereby intimate, that God doth not Create Souls perfect. viz. So as *Adams* Soul was Created, for this must be their meaning, when they say that God now Creates Souls perverse (for imperfect is the same as perverse,) but why then do they tell us upon other occasions, that

that whatſoever God Creates is very good, and that God is not the Author of ſin? For theſe things are contradictory to one another, and by this means the Divine Attributes are made to oppoſe and claſh againſt one another.

In the ſecond place, when they aſſert, that the Souls are then firſt Created when their Bodies are Generated by their Parents; what ſhadow of Wiſdom or Reaſon is there in this, that whereas the Souls are Created at once, and all alike, that one Soul ſhould be thruſt into a Beggars Body, or into ſome other more miſerable Circumſtantiated Lodging, and another advanced to a Royal State and Dignity by means of the Body to which it is preferred; that one ſhould be Born of Good, and another of Bad Parents, when yet in worthineſs they are all of them equal, and all of them alike pure and ſinleſs? Will they ſay that God does this according to his Free-will, and that he may and can thus differently diſpoſe of Souls at his good pleaſure? But indeed we ſhall find the quite contrary when we come to examine this matter, and that God neither will nor can do any ſuch thing, as hath been ſhewed §. 22 and 36.

Thirdly, If it be ſo that the Soul is
Crea-

Created when the Body is begotten, then it will follow, that when the Act of Generation is performed in Whoredom, or Adultery, that God must Create a Soul for the Body so Generated, and so the Creation of the Soul will depend on the Generation of Men, because the Soul according to them, is never Created but at the Generation of the Body.

Fourthly, Seing that the Body is Generated by the Parents, and consequently must have been in Being before it was Generated; for as much as the least Body is now produced, the Essentiality whereof was not in the Creation, no reason can be alledged, why God should not as well have Created the Souls of Men in the beginning, as that which is corporeal.

But then it will be asked, where were then all those Souls that were Created together in the Creation, seing they had not yet any Bodies of their own? To which I answer, that they were all in Adam as in their first Parent from whom they afterwards came forth. But this will be thought very strange, that so many thousands of Souls should be in one Man. Yet is not this at all impossible, for how many thousand Images of men and other things

thing have we within us that we have seen. Now all these Images that we have received from abroad are likewise Spiritual Beings (as hath been shewed in the *Observations about Man* §. 44 45) and yet they take up no space in us. Wherefore as a man may have Millions of spiritual Beings or Images in him, in like manner he is capable also of having many thousands of Souls in him, because they also are Spiritual Beings, and therefore take up no Room in him, all which Souls by Means of Generation, are as it were wrought out from him, or brought forth to outward Manifestation in distinct Bodies and times. And to make this more clear by an Example, we see that a Tree brings forth its Fruit every Year, which it could not do, if the Life and Essence of those Fruits had not been in the Tree before their Production: so that this Life lies so long hid in the Tree, then till, according to the Order of Nature, the time of bringing forth Fruit be come.

§. 89. And for as much as from §. 87. it is evident that Souls were Created altogether by God in the Creation, this likewise overthrowes that Position, which asserts
That the Souls of Men are Generated by the
 Pa.

Parents, viz. After the same manner as the Body is: For as in Generation some part of the Corporeal Substance goes away from the Parents, so if they were Generators of the Soul, as well as of the Body, they would loose some part of their Souls in the Act of Generation, which is impossible, for that the Soul being a Spirit, according to §. 83. 84. must consequently be indivisible.

§. 90. Seing therefore, that the Soul or Life doth uphold and form its own Body, as hath been shewed §. 82. and hath existed together with it in the Creation §. 87. and consequently proceeds from one and the same beginning with it, it follows, *That the Soul must consist of the same Principles, whence the Body takes its Original.* For if the Soul did not consist of the very same Principles whence the Essence of the Body doth proceed, she could never have any Communion or Fellowship with the Body, because *two things of a different Original can never unite or Co-operate*, except it be by means of a third that partakes of both their Essences.

Moreover, for as much as Souls were in the Creation, as well as Bodies, and that whatsoever was in the Creation did proceed from one only, it follows that the Soul must

must consist of the same Principles whence the Body took its Original. Now Bodies consist of two things, *Viz.* Fire and Water, and this Fire and Water are Spiritual Beings in their beginning or Principles whence they proceed, as hath been shewed at large in the *Observations concerning Man*, from §. 7. to 21. And therefore we conclude that the Soul consists of a Spiritual, Fiery, and Watery Essence.

And that the Soul consists of these two, we may be further informed from hence, that the Soul in Scripture is also called **רוח** *Breath*, as is shewed §. 47. and *Gen.* 2. 7. it is said that *God Breathed into his (Adams) nostris the Breath of Life*, whereby is intimated that the Life or Soul doth enjoy, and make use of Breath or Aire, for the upholding, and support of its Actions or Operations, as every one in himself doth experience, that without taking of Breath or Respiration, his Soul or life cannot maintain or preserve the Body; as is shewed at large in the *Considerations concerning Man* §. 62. 63, 64, and 68. If so be therefore, that the Soul it self, is sometimes called Breath, and doth enjoy, or make use of Breath or Air, for the preserving of her Body, consequently the Soul must partake with the Air, and proceed from the same beginning as it doth. Now

it is certain that the Air consists of a Fiery and Watery Essence, as in the said *Considerations* §. 65. hath been shewed.

But against this it will be objected that if the Soul Consists of the same Principles, whence the Body Originally came forth, then it is not impossible but that the Soul may become Corporeal. To which Objection I answer, First, that it is no necessary consequence, because the Soul and Body proceed from the same Principles, that therefore the Soul may become Bodily: As will appear from this Example, Gold and Iron proceed from the same Principles, but yet we cannot conclude from hence, that Gold can be changed into Iron; because Gold is advanced to that degree of Perfection, that it always abides Gold, to which Iron hath not yet attained, and therefore is subject to Rust, and turns to earth again. But the Perfection of Gold appears in this, that it endures the Fire without loss or diminution, which Iron doth not, but is burnt and consumed by it. Yet on the other hand it is true also (that is, Reason teacheth us, and it must be true in Nature, tho' we cannot give an ocular Demonstration of it) that seeing Iron consists of the same Principles as Gold doth, it can also arive, through manifold dying, and suffering, to the same degree of Perfection

fection which Gold hath. And the same may be said concerning the Soul and that which is Bodily, that tho' they both proceed from the same Principles, yet doth it not follow therefore, that the Soul can become Corporeal, because she is arrived to that perfection which renders her indivisible and unchangeable in her Essence. Tho' it be a true Consequence that the Body forasmuch as it consists of the same Principles as the Soul doth, that it may arrive to that degree of Perfection as to become Spiritual.

In the second place, if so be the Soul or Life could become Corporeal, then might all things in time come to be dead, and without any Operation at all; which can never be, because God hath brought forth all things in Order, so that all things must be wrought out, that is, brought forth to manifestation according to due Order, (which cannot be done without activity) and not always continue dead and deprived of all Efficiency.

Thirdly, if the Life of every thing could become Bodily, then the Creatures might bring forth young of a different kind from themselves, viz. Sheep might bring forth Birds, and Men Sheep, &c. For there is no difference in Bodies but what they derive from their Life, which according to its

peculiar Efficiency and Property doth form its own Body. Besides, if the Life of every Creature could become Corporeal, then all of them would have the same Flesh and Form, forasmuch as they partake of the same Nourishment; but nature teacheth us the contrary, viz. That each kind hath its own Form and Flesh, whence it is evident that each kind doth unchangeably retain its own Life; as hath been shewed in the *Observations concerning Man*, §. 33.

But some will object, if the Soul of Man consists of the same Principles, whence the Life of other Creatures is derived, what Perfection then hath man more than they? to which I answer, that tho' all lives proceed from the same Principles, yet do they differ in their Efficiency and Perfection: for all Creatures have not the same understanding and Wisdom: And what Creature hath more Wisdom than Man, who can rule all Creatures? Besides Man hath this Prerogative also above all Creatures, who is made according to the Image of God, of which see Chapter 2d. and the *Observations concerning Man*, §. 46. 47. &c.

§. 91. Seing therefore that the Soul consists of the same Principles with the Body, whence may be understood, that the Soul
can

can work on the Body, so from the same head we may gather, *how the Soul may become Sinful* : as shall be shewed when we come to treat of the Fall of Man.

Only thus much I shall say here by the by, that it will be impossible for any Man ever to make out, how the Soul is become sinful, save only by this way : And because men know little of the Nature, and Essence of things, therefore it is that they torment and vex themselves with that old and long bandied Question, how or by what means the Soul is become sinful.

§. 92. But some Perhaps will Query, *Seeing that the Soul is become sinful, by what means can she be delivered from sin?* To which we answer, that the Soul may be meliorated, by recovering of the Image of God as hath been shewed at large §. 61. 62, 63. &c. The means whereby we may attain to this Melioration, and recover the Image of God, shall be shewed in the sequel.

§. 93. And because many things are to be considered in order to the full Elucidation of the State and condition of Souls, and whither they pass after Death, we will put off the handling this Question to that part wherein we intend to treat of the Restitution of a Sinner.

CHAP.

CHAP. V.

*Of the Body and the Principles
whereof it doth consist, together
with the State of Adam before
the Fall.*

§. 94. **W**E have shewed the necessity of the Souls consisting of the same Principles whence the Body is produced; as on the other hand it is as true that Bodies proceed from the same principles whereof the Soul consists: and that the Body hath its own proper Life, over and above its being governed by the Soul or its Principal Spirit. For when the Soul or Life doth cease from its former Operations, and that the Body thereupon dies, yet we shall find that these dead Bodies afterwards turn to Worms, &c. Yea experience teacheth us that sometimes the Hair and Nails of a Dead Body do grow, which proves that the Body hath its own Life besides the Soul or general Life, which up-
holds

holds the Body in its form or Essence, as long as she continues in her former Working or Efficiency.

§. 95. But some will ask why since the Body hath its own life, man doth notwithstanding stand in need of a Soul or Life. For answer to this, I desire the Enquirer to consider, that mans Body being fed by the Creatures, he enjoys and partakes of the Life of them, which Life of the Creatures, if it were not transmuted in man, so as to comply with the Operation or Efficiency of Man it could never be of use for the support or preservation of Man. To the end therefore, that the Creatures and their Life might be for the sustenance of the Bodies of Men, it was necessary that man should have his own life, and that the said Life should be Master, and dispose of the Life of the Creatures, according to its pleasure, as a General Governs and Commands his whole Army. Wherefore it is absolutely necessary that man should have a Soul or Life, that may superintend, Rule and Govern all the Lives and Spirits that are in his Body. Of the Soul or Central Spirit hath been Treated in the *Observations concerning Man.* §. 33. 51.

§. 96. These Principles now, whereof
the

the Body, and all Creatures whether Corporeal or Spiritual do consist, can be no more than two, that is, neither three nor four, for nothing is produced in the whole Universe, but from two different Natures, as we find in Fishes, Birds, Beasts, and Men, *viz.* That all Generation is performed by Male and Female, without any intervening third Principle different from the Male and Female Essence. So likewise neither Trees, nor any other Vegetables bring forth Fruit, but from the Union of these two Principles. For tho' the Sun, Water, Earth, Dung, &c. may be applied to Plants and Trees; yet will the Sun, the Water, Earth and Dung, be found to consist only of these two Principles, if they be resolved into their Parts. So that these will never constitute a third Principle, Essentially differing from the two former.

§. 97. These two Principles whereof all Creatures consist, are Fire and Water: And that all Animals and Vegetables consist of a Fiery Essence, experience teacheth us, in that they can be burnt. For the Fire that we make to warm our selves by (which is the Fire of the Creatures, mentioned in our *Considerations concerning Man*, §. 14.) must continually be maintain-

tained with Wood, &c. or else it would cease to burn: Wherefore that which is laid upon the Fire for Fewel, must have a Fire in it, which is capable of being excited. Yea, *it would be altogether impossible to make any thing burn if it had not a Fiery Essence in it.* That the Creatures have a Fire in them hath been shewed in the *Observations concerning Man*, §. 9. &c.

§. 98. And as all Creatures have a Fire in them, so their Bodily Principle is Water, for *the last thing into which Bodies can be resolved is Water*: And the Water, viz. the Heavenly and Spiritual cannot be reduced to another Essence, that is, into a Principle out of which the said Spiritual Water was produced at first, but continues always Water: so that the Water is a Principle from whence all Bodies derive their Original. Now that all Bodies proceed from water is very evident from this Experiment: A very credible Person, and good Friend hath told me, that he had met with a certain Water, which being distill'd with a gentle Heat did always produce Sand, which was left at the bottom of the Glass, and this notwithstanding that it had before been Filtred through Paper. So that Nature teacheth us, that Sand hath its Original from Water.

Be-

Besides it is well known that Sand and Stones are of the same Nature, and of kin to one another. For experience teacheth us, that many Fishes that have their Original from Water, do make Stones, as Oysters, Cockles and other Shell-Fish, whose Shells are Stone, as appears in this, that like Limestone, they may be burnt into Lime. Neither do these Shells grow outwardly or Circumferentially upon them, but from within, for it is observed that as the Fish increaseth, so the Shell increaseth also. We find also in Cockle Shells that are spotted, that they increase and grow bigger, according as the Cockles themselves do: Which is an evident demonstration, that the Shells of Fishes receive their increase or growth from within, viz. from the Life of the Fishes to which they belong. Seing therefore that Fishes consist of Water, and are nourished therein, their Shells must derive their Original from the same Water, and consequently this assures us, that Stones are the product of Water.

Nature also holds forth the same thing to us, for there is a Mountain in *Switzerland*, which gives forth Water from each side of it, the one whereof makes Stone, and the other wastes and consumes it. The same is also further
con-

confirmed by another Operation of Nature, for it hath been found that in some Mountains there is found a Water dropping from Stones, which in its dropping down is turned into Stone, whereof see further in the *Observations concerning Man*, §. 18 19.

And that all Bodies derive their Original from the Water I have also shewed §. 27. and in my *Observations*, &c. §. 7. 8. 18. &c.

§. 99. Seing that §. 27. it hath been said that all Essences of things are spiritual, and the product of that which is spiritual, it follows that Fire and Water, being the two Principles whereof all Creatures consist, they must be spiritual Beings also.

§. 100. To demonstrate therefore that Fire is a spiritual Being, let us first Observe that *Fire is the Life of the Creatures*. For experience teacheth that when any breathing Creature dies it turns cold, and is deprived of the heat and warmth it had when yet alive: Which may convince us, that seing the Life, as long as it continues in its Operation doth warm Man, or any other Animal, and sometimes cast him into extream heat, it must therefore be a Fiery Being.

More:

Moreover, Experience teacheth us, that things that are dead or mortified, as dead or rotten Wood, &c. doth not afford so much Fire, or does not burn so well, as Wood that is yet sound and alive; which is an Argument that the Life of Wood is a Fiery Essence.

Thirdly, Man experienceth in himself that the warmer he is (provided the Warmth be regular and natural) the more lively and chearful he is also, and on the contrary, the colder he is, the less fit he is to do any thing. Yea, there is nothing more notorious than that a Man that dies of a lingering Disease, grows still Colder and Colder, for proportionably as the Activity or Operativeness of his Life decreaseth, so the cold encreaseth, which most clearly demonstrates to us, that the Life is a Fire. The same thing is also made out in our *Observations concerning Man*, §. 11. 12. &c.

§. 101. Seing therefore, that the Fiery Essence is the Life of the Creatures, and that the Life hath neither Limitation nor Extension, consequently the Fiery Essence must be a
Spi-

Spirit, and this we have made out
 §. 82. 83. and in our *Observations con-*
cerning Man, §. 13. 34, 35, 36, 37. to
 which I refer my Reader.

§. 102. And like as the Fire is a Spi-
 rit, so is likewise the *Watry Essence*,
 which is the other Principle whereof all
 Creatures consist; according to §. 98.
 a *Spiritual Essence*. For tho' the low-
 er Waters be visible, and Tangible,
 yet is their Original spiritual, because
 the Waters partake with the Aire,
 and are one and the same Nature, and
 the Aire doth partake and is in Uni-
 on with an Essence that is so spiritual
 that it cannot be shut out any where,
 but pierceth through all Bodies, which
 spiritual Essence is by the Philoso-
 phers called *Æther*, or *Materia Sub-*
tilis, which is always in conjunction
 with the Aire. If therefore the Aire
 have in it such a spiritual Essence, it
 must also have Communion with the
 same, and therefore must be partaker
 of the Nature of that spiritual Essence.
 And as the Air is partaker of the Na-
 ture of the *Æther*, so likewise the Air
 Communicates with the Inferior Wa-
 ters, and this Communication teach-
 eth

eth us that the Inferior Waters derive their Original from the same spiritual Principle as the upper Waters do.

And for as much as the Images which Man sends forth from himself, are Spiritual Beings (as hath been shewed in my *Observations concerning Man*, §. 44. 45.) and no Images can be made of Bodies, it follows that the Bodies from whence Images do proceed, must have a spiritual Original or Principle and this Principle of Bodies is the Water, according to §. 98. from all which it is evident that the Aqueous Essence must be spiritual.

The Birth of Man also teacheth us the same thing; for seeing that every Humane birth must first be spiritual, before it can be corporeal; as hath been shewed in my *Observations Concerning Man* §. 93. 94. And that the Body is formed of the Female or Lunar watry Essence, as in the foresaid *Observations*, §. 95. hath been shewed: wherefore it follows that the Water in its Original or Principle must be spiritual.

Moreover it is a Fundamental Truth, that *nothing can be ultimately resolved into any other matter, except that whereof it doth consist*: When therefore the Scripture tells us,

us ; that that which is Bodily, must be made spiritual, doth not this plainly imply, that Bodies proceed from a spiritual Principle ?

If any one should say, that when the Scripture speaks of the Body, being made spiritual, it doth not intend thereby, that our Bodies should be so spiritualiz'd as to become invisible, and to penetrate other Bodies : We dare tell such a one to his face, that he doth not know what it is to be spiritual, nor what the Scripture doth signify by that word. Now that the Body may become spiritual, as to be invisible, and to penetrate other Bodies, we may learn from our Saviour, whose Body, after his Resurrection was so spiritual, that when the Disciples *had shut the Doors* upon them for fear of the *Jews*, he notwithstanding entered, and stood in the midst of them, *Ioh. 20. 19, 26.* Which is not specified in Scripture to no purpose, but to teach us that our Bodies must be made spiritual, even as Christ had made his such. Not that we are to understand here, that Christ by vertue of his Divine Nature made his Body thus spiritual, for this hath no place here; nor must we have recourse to the Divine Omnipotence: for if there were not a Principle of spiritualization,
or

or being made spiritual in all Bodies, God himself would never make Bodies spiritual. Our Saviour therefore was willing to teach us by his spiritual Body, that we as being his Members and followers, shall obtain such a spiritual Body, as soon as we are perfectly and wholly dead : And therefore the Apostle tells us, 1 Cor. 15. 42, 43, 44. That it (the Body) is sown in Corruption, it is raised in Incorruption ; it is sown in dishonour, it is raised in Glory : it is sown in Weakness, it is raised in Power : A Natural (properly Soulish) Body is sown, but it is raised a Spiritual Body. And V. 53. For this Corruption must put on Incorruption ; and this Mortal must put on Immortality. Which ways of Expression do plainly intimate to us, that that which is bodily must become spiritual ; and if it can be made spiritual, then consequently its Principle must be a spiritual Being. That the Water is a spiritual Essence I have shewed in my *Observations concerning Man*, §. 20.

But forasmuch as it hath been shewed §. 97. 98. That all Creatures consist of a Fiery and Watry Essence, and that these Essences are spiritual, according to §. 100. 101, 102. It follows that the *Essentiality of Bodies cannot be Annihilated* : Because the Essentiality from whence Bodies Originally do proceed , hath been in God with-
out

out beginning. Of which see more §. 24. 25, and 85.

§. 104. Seing therefore that the Creatures have their Original from these two spiritual Essences, the next thing we are to enquire into is , *What difference there is betwixt the Fiery and Watry Essence.*

It hath been shewed §. 100. that Fire is the Life of all Creatures ; that is, that which supports and upholds them in their Efficiency or Activity : To the end therefore that we may know wherein the Watry Essence differs from the Fiery, it will be necessary for us to enquire a little into the nature of the Watry Essence.

It is known by Experience, that the Fire and Water are two Essences , that stand oppolite to each other ; that is, the Fire Warms, and the Water Cools , so that the Water hath a contrary Operation or Efficiency to Fire ; for as the Fiery essence quickens, so the Watry kills, or is the Cause of Death. And thus much daily Experience teacheth us ; for when Cold, which partakes of the nature of the Watry Essence, gets the Dominion, and bears sway, then Death follows.

Moreover, that the Watry Essence inclines to Rest, and Death, in opposition to the Vivifying Fiery Essence, may be

M

hence

hence discernible; because the Watry cooling and Refrigerating Essence hath Dominion in the Night, as the Fiery in the Day : This being the Order which God hath established in the World, *viz.* That the Sun which is a Fiery Being, should Rule or have Dominion over the Day, and the Moon over the Night. *Gen.* 1.16. And for as much as the Moon is Refrigerative or Cooling like the Water, and hath Dominion over the Water, as hath been shewed in our *Observations concerning Man*, §. 25. Consequently it must be of the nature of Water. Seing therefore that the Moon is of the Watry Essence, and hath Dominion over the Night, and that the Night causeth Rest and Sleep, as hath been shewed in the aforesaid *Observations*, §. 26. and that Sleep is Death in a less degree, as hath been shewed in our *Observations*, §. 32. from all this I say, it follows, that the Watry Essence must be Operative of sleep and death.

From what hath been said we may also understand the contrary Observation of the Water, to that of the Fiery Essence : For as Heat and Cold, Life and Death are opposite to one another ; so likewise is Light and Darknes: Now it is evident that Light proceeds from the Fiery Essence, and therefore by consequence, the Watry Essence

sence must excite Darknes, because Fire and Water are contrary to each other, and therefore we must conclude that the contrary Effects of Light and Darknes are the Product of their opposite Essences.

§. 105. Now that *the Watry Essence includes Darknes in it self*, the Moon will inform us; which being a Watry Essence, Rules or bears Dominion by Night, over the Darknes, and therefore must partake of Darknes: For where there is a Dominion, there must also be a Union or Communication with that which is Ruled, and where there is a Union, there must be also a Participation of the same Nature.

Furthermore it is evident from the Creation that the Watry Essence doth partake of the Darknes; for *Gen. 1. 1, 2* It is said, that *in the beginning God Created the Heaven and Earth*: Where *Moses* intimates to us, through or by whom Created the World, viz. *in* or through *the Beginning*, ἐν ἀρχῇ in the Principle or Beginning as it is said *John 1. 1*. And this ἀρχῇ is the same with λόγος, the Word, viz. *Jesus Christ*, the Creator of Heaven and Earth, and *John* in the same place expressly tells us. And that *Moses*, *Gen. 1. 1*. intimates to us, by whom God Created the World, is evident from hence, because when God Crea-

ted the World, there was not yet any Beginning of time. Besides, what Wisdom can there otherwise be found in these Words, when it is said that *in the beginning God Created Heaven and Earth*, if by the Beginning be meant only the beginning of time, which can signifie no more than this, that when God Created the World, he did Create it. For all Men know, that every thing must have a Beginning of Being that thing which it is, when it is first produced ; this is self evident, neither can it be said of any thing, after that it is Created, that it shall yet be Created. So that there would be not only no Wisdom in these Words of *Moses*, but not so much as any passable Sence; should we take them in the meaning, according to which most men now a days do apprehend them.

But what is more, the Words will not so much as contain a Truth, if by [*in the Beginning*] we understand the Beginning of time ; for time is nothing else but a Limitation, we conceive in our thoughts, either according to the Course of the Sun, or the motion of any other thing from one place to another. Now where there is a Limitation or Measuring, there must of necessity be some Created thing, by or according to which the said Measuring is performed: so that it is evident there could be no time, till after the Creation of the world, And not be-

before it. When therefore it is said that *God Created the Heaven and the Earth in the Beginning, viz.* of time; then time must have been before the World was; because when God Created (if we may express our selves so) there was yet no Beginning of the World; but after that God had Created the World, then first it was that the World began to Exist, and consequently Time also; for as much as Time is nothing else but a Limitation taken from Creatures; and therefore a thing that is consequential, and posterior to the things that are Created, as hath been just now shewed. So that it would be altogether repugnant to the Nature of the Creation, if by the [*Beginning*] should be understood the beginning of time. Moreover, that by these Words *in the Beginning* is to be understood Jesus Christ; is a thing confirmed by many Authors, and particularly by *Leigh* in his *Critica Sacra* under the Word *תחלה*.

After that *Moses* therefore, *Gen. i. 1.* had shewed by whom the World was Created, he next tells us, *V. 2d.* how or in what condition the Earth was when God had Created it, *viz. Empty and void*, whereby is intimated, that all the Essences that were to come forth from the Earth, and belonged to the same, lay hid and

con-

concealed in the Earthly Essence, but were not yet fit to Operate, or bring forth Fruit, as appears from hence ; because V. 8. on the Third day, the Waters were first divided from the Earth, and then it was that the Earth brought forth her Fruit. V. 11. And the reason why the Earth was *Empty and Void*, and could not yet bring forth Fruit, was because *Darkness was upon the face of the Deep*: That is, the Fire or Light was yet hid in the Water or Darkness and therefore the Light could not as yet be Operative, and bring forth Fruits. And after that *Mose* had shewed, how all beings were hid in the Creation, and that in such a manner as that they could not yet orderly exert their Efficiency, which they began first to do in the days following ; he proceeds next to tell us, from what, and in what manner the Creation was performed, or carried on, and that in these words, *and the Spirit of God moved upon the Waters*: Whence it is evident, that all Beings were produced out of the Water, according to §. 98. and that by the Spirit. Now the first thing that was brought forth from the Waters was the Light, which was hid in Darkness, or in the Watry Essence. For V. 4. it is said, that *God separated the Light from the Darkness*: So that the Light was already, viz. before its Manifestation, but hid

hid in the Darknefs: For as much as all things were brought forth from out of the Water, by the Spirit, and the Light is said to come out of the Darknefs; & therefore we may infer from hence, that the Darknefs and the Water are to be taken for one and the same Essence; as *Moses* seems plainly enough to intimate with these words; *and the Darknefs he called Night*, V. 5. For experience teacheth us, that Night or Darknefs is the cause of Cold and Water. Wherefore we are to learn hence, that Darknefs Communicates with the Watry Essence. And when it is said V. 16. *And the lesser Light (the Moon) to rule the Night*, this informs us that the Moon is of the Nature of the Night or Darknefs; and this is true, for experience teacheth us, that the Moon Rules over the Water and gives forth moisture, as the Night or Darknefs also doth. All which Observations put together, do in my judgement make it very evident, that the Darknefs is one and the same with the Watery Essence.

And for a further proof that the Watry Essence is the Darknefs, we will here compare some Expressions of the Holy Scripture together, and see what understanding the same will afford us, with relation to this Matter. Man, as long as he lives and cleaves to sin, is said to be in Darknefs,

Iohn

John 3. 19. Rom. 2. 19. &c. and this Dark-
ness is the Essence of Bodies, as we are
taught, *Rom. 13. 12. Let us cast off the works*
of Darkness. Which Works of Darkness
the Apostle enumerates, *V. 13. viz. Riot-*
ing, Drunkenness, Chambering, and Wanton-
ness, Strife and Envy. In order to the
prevention of these, he adviseth us, *V. 14.*
not to make provision for the Flesh, for the
gratifying of its *Lusts.* Whence it is ob-
vious to understand that Flesh and Dark-
ness have Communion together. This is
that which the Apostle shews at large,
Eph. 4. and 5. Chapter, where he declares
that the unfruitful Works of Darkness
are the old Man, that is, the unsubdued
state of the flesh. And therefore, as the
Darkness is not good; so the Apostle *Rom.*
7. 18. tells us of the Flesh, that no good dwells
in it, with many other places to the same
purpose. From all which it is evident,
that that which is Fleshly and Corporeal,
is one with the Darkness, because that
which is bodily contains the Darkness in it
self. Now it hath been shewed §. 27. and
97. That that which is bodily consists of
the Watry Essence, or hath its Original
from it: And seeing that the Bodily part
is called Darkness, and that it consists of
Water, it follows, that the Darkness and
Watry Essence must needs partake with
each other, and be one.

§. 106. And like as the Fiery Essence is a Spirit, so also is the Water a Spiritual Being, according to §. 102. and therefore the Watry Essence hath its Efficiency or Activity as well as Fiery, as hath been shewed §. 104. And that all Fiery Spirits or Lives, have not all of them one and the same Efficiency is evident from what we read of the good Angels, which in *Heb.* 1. 7. are called *Flames of Fire*: Some of them also are called *Cherubims*, others *Seraphims*, that is Fiery Spirits, &c. The Apostle also *Col.* 1. 16. makes a more particular distinction between them, calling them *Thrones*, *Dominions*, *Principalities*, *Powers*, And *Eph.* 1. 20. 21. *And set him (Christ) at his own right hand in the Heavenly places, far above all Principality, Power, Might, and Dominion, and every name that is named.* If any one should say that there are only different Denominations given to Spirits, and that notwithstanding they may all of them be of one and the same Efficiency, I would demand of such a one, of what use then it is to give them these different Denominations: for if the Apostle designed only to express one and the same thing, by all these Names, then it is no better then a vain Repetition of so many words. Besides it is well known that Names are given to distinguish things from

from one another, and to express the Property, Use, and Operation of the Subject to which such a Name is given. When therefore the Holy Scripture makes a difference betwixt Spirits, and Spirits, by giving them divers Names, ought not we to conclude that these different Denominations signifie the various Properties and Operations of Spirits, as well as the Names of visible, and Tangible Substances, do express their Properties and Operations. To what purpose therefore is it to make this Childish evasion here concerning Spirits, in a matter which is a Received Truth in all other natural things, *viz.* That names are given to things to distinguish them in their Operations from one another. But these are the Fruits of our Modern Carnality, we will know of nothing but what is bodily, because we are altogether ignorant of what is Spiritual. But that the Angels have their different Operations is evident from the *Revelations*, where we find a description of several Angels, and their different Operations.

Neither do the Angels only differ thus, but continual Experience also teacheth us, that the Lives or fiery Spirits of the Creatures do differ from one another in their Operations; for all Lives do not bring forth the same kind of Bodies, but as the
Apostle

Apostle saith, 1 Cor. 15. *All Flesh is not the same Flesh, &c.* and why so, but because the Lives which form the Flesh and Body (as may be seen in the *Observations concerning Man* § 37. 38. 39) have not all of them the same Efficiency.

§. 107. And as all Fiery Spirits or Lives have not the same Efficiency, so neither are the watry, dark, or refrigerating Essences of one operation, as hath been shewed in the said *Observations*, §. 28. and 33.

The Holy Scripture also informs us, that the Watry Essence consists of many different Spirits, for since according to §. 105. the Watry Essence, and the Darkness are one, and the Scripture asserts the Darkness to be an Essence, and not a mere nothing, seing that it hath its own proper Operations, as appears *Rom. 13. 12. Let us cast off the Works of Darkness;* and *Eph. 5. 11. Have no fellowship with the unfruitful Works of Darkness.* Which proves that Darkness is a Being, because it hath its peculiar Operations, and what these works of Darkness are, hath been shewed §. 105. Neither hath the Darkness only an Efficiency of its own, but consists also of many Spirits, which have their Government, and Governours, as will be evident by comparing the following Places; *Luke 22.*

53. our Saviour saith, *This is the Power of Darknes, viz.* That whereby the Seed of the Serpent should bruise his heel. And this Power of Darknes our Saviour calls, *John 12. 31. and 14. 30. the Prince of this World:* which Prince *Eph. 2. 2.* is called *the Prince of the Power of the Aire, the Prince of the Spirit that now worketh in the Children of Disobedience.* And *V. 3.* tells us, that the operations of this Spirit are *the Lusts of the Flesh.* Whence it appears that this Spirit hath Communion with the Spirit of Darknes. Moreover, the Apostle Attributes to the Flesh, *viz.* To the Spirit of Darknes which Rules the Flesh, a Will, and Thoughts: And therefore when the Apostle saith, *Eph. 6. 12. We wrestle not against Flesh and Blood,* he thereby intimates to us, that it is not our outward Flesh and Blood that puts this force upon us, but the Spirit that Rules this Flesh, and therefore he immediatly Subjoins, *But against Principalities, against Powers, against the Rulers of the Darknes of this World, against Spiritual wickedness in high Places.* And these Spirits of Darknes the Apostle calls *Acts 26. 18. Darknes and the Power of Satan, &c.*

§. 108. From all which we conclude, that seing that all Bodily things are the Product of the Watry Essence, §. 97. and that

that the Watry or Dark Essence hath its Spirits §. 107. and the Watry is opposite to the Fiery Essence, §. 104. and that Man consists of these two Essences §. 90. 96, &c. that consequently he must have in him Spirits of Darknes, as well as Spirits of Light: which deserves well to be noted by us, for as much as hereafter it will make way for our understanding the Stile, or manner of Expression used in Holy Scripture.

§. 109. But it may be Queried here, seeing that Man consists of these two Spiritual Essences, and that they are opposite to each other, how then can there be any Union between them, so as to Co-operate towards, and bring forth one Birth? This Difficulty will be easily removed, if first we consider *what Unity is*: Know we therefore, that two Bodies, tho' they differ in their Nature, may notwithstanding be united together, and Co-operate in Unity, *viz.* when the one of them is made subject to the other, and in all respects complies with its Operations, so as that the one be Passive, and the other Active. And if such a Unity as we have now described were not between the Fiery and Watry Essence, nothing in the World could be able to subsist or operate any thing. And therefore our Saviour saith, *Math. 6.*

24. *No man can serve two Masters, for either he will hate the one and love the other, or else he will hold to the one and despise the other; ye cannot serve God and Mammon:* That is, the one or the other of them must have Dominion, but cannot both bear sway at once. And to the same purpose the Apostle tells us 2 Cor. 6. 14. that unbelief cannot have the Dominion in Conjunction with Faith, *what Communion hath Light with Darknes?* As if he should say it is not possible, that both these should bear sway together, for being contrary to each other, the one must bear Rule over the other, before that they can be united.

Now that there is a Union between these two in Nature, we find by experience, because as long as the fiery Life of each Creature doth perform its ordinary working, the Creature cannot in any of the Members of its Body suffer any putrefaction or Mortification: but as soon as the Life of a Creature is either over-ruled by another, or ceaseth from its wonted Operation, then the Watry Essence gets the Dominion, and causeth Death and Corruption in the said Bodies turning them to Worms. &c.

But some Perhaps will object that I have said §. 90. That no two things of a different Original can be united; and how then these two, *viz.* Light and Darknes can be uni-

united, feing they are contrary to one another? To which I answer, first, that it is a Fundamental Truth, that two things of a different Original cannot be united; but this is not the case here, for we do not say that Light and Darknes have a different Original, but only that they have a contrary working: Yea quite contrarily we do assert, that *tho' Light and Darknes be contrary to each other in operation, yet that they are of one Original, and therefore can be united together.* Now that the Light and Darknes, or the Fiery and Watry Essence have one Original, we may know by this, because the Light comes out of the Darknes, and because Darknes may be made Light, and Light Darknes. This we are taught *Gen. 1. 2.* where there was nothing but Darknes, and *V. 3.* The Light was first brought forth, *viz.* out of the Darknes, for *V. 4.* God *Separates the Light from the Darknes*; To which the Apostle Alledging, saith *2 Cor. 4. 6.* *God who commanded the Light to shine out of Darknes.* Forasmuch therefore, as the Light proceeds from the Darknes, and can lye hid in the same, consequently they must partake with one another, and be ally'd together or derived from one Original: For otherwise the Light could never be turn'd to Darknes, as *Matt. 6. 23.* *If then the Light*
that

that is in thee be Darknefs. And *Luke 11. 35.* Take heed therefore, that the Light which is in thee be not Darknefs. And on the contrary, neither would the Darknefs ever become Light, which yet is positively asserted *Eph. 5. 8.* *For ye were sometimes Darknefs but now are ye Light:* Neither would a Darkned Understanding ever be capable of being enlightned, which yet is asserted *Eph. 3. 18.*



Both these Objections are also answered in my *Observations concerning Man* § 31. 32. And therefore I shall no further enlarge upon them here.

§. 110. We shall only by the way take notice here, that if any one from the Existence of these two contrary Principles, whereof all Creatures subsist, shall conclude, that there must be two Gods, one Good and the other Evil, it will be a sign that he hath not considered that the Light may be made Darknefs, and the Darknefs Light, as is shewed in §. 109. And consequently that these two Principles do not suppose two Gods, but one only, because they are ally'd together, and consequently proceed both of them from one God. For if these Principles were not brought forth by one God, but the Effects of two several Deities, then it would be true, that these

these Principles proceeding from two different Causes, could not have any Communion or be united with one another : But seeing these Principles are United , this shews that they proceed from one God.

§. 111. Hitherto we have shewed that all Creatures consist of these two Spiritual Essences, and that these two different Beings consist of many Spirits : But because our Aime is to shew, how, or in what state Man was Created by God, we are to enquire what kind of Body it was that God Created for *Adam*.

§. 112. We have shewed in the 2^d. and 3^d. Chapters, that Man had in himself the Divine Light, viz. The Image of God, which did illuminate his Soul and Body. Neither had he only the Image of God in him, but he had also a Soul given him, of which we have Treated Chap. 4th. And besides these two, God formed Man a Body out of אֲדָמָה *Adamah*, Gen. 2. 7. which doth not merely signifie Earth, or Red Earth, (as now a days is supposed) but the Blood of the Earth. For why do our Modern *Interpreters* say that אֲדָמָה signifies Red Earth, but because דָּם Signifies Blood, and Blood is Red. But what wisdom is there coucht in this, that *Adams*
N Body

Body was formed out of Red Earth? For my part I know not what they can make of this. But we shall find a fund of Wisdom in this word, if we Translate it *the Blood of the Earth*. For  Blood, signifies the Life, or the Spiritual part of the Body, as is evident from those places of Scripture that expressly tell us that Blood signifies the Life, or Soul, *Gen. 9. 4. But Flesh with its Soul (that is) its Blood, &c.* and *Deut. 12. 23. Be sure that thou eat not the Blood, for the Blood is the Soul.* Whence we are taught, that *Adams* Body being formed of  it did consist of the Spiritual and Soulish part of the Earth, as before hath been shewed, that the Corporeal is brought forth from that which is Spiritual.

§. 113. But it is not sufficient for us to know of what the Humane Body was formed; but we must further enquire why God gave Man a Body.

§. 114. In order therefore to our knowing why God hath given *Adam* a Body, we must first of all enquire *why Man was Created by God?* To which commonly is answered, that Man was Created to Glorifie God, and to publish his Virtues and Praise, with other such like, which expressions

pressions do contain a Truth, if we understand what is required to the Glorifying of God. But that we may not from these Expressions conceive any Humane thoughts of God, as if he were delighted, as Men are with hearing himself praised : Let us enquire into the Bottom of what these words import ; and that by putting this Query, whether a man when he Glorifies God, be not operative ? To which the answer must be affirmative, because the least Action or Thought is an Operation : If therefore the Glorifying of God be a working ; the next thing we are to enquire is, wherein this Working consists : This Operation of Glorifying God, and Magnifying of his name, doth not consist in this, that God thereby receives more Perfection, or a greater degree of Worthiness, and that from his Creature, for as much as God is every way perfect, yea that Being from whom and through whom all things are brought forth, and are still daily maintained ; so that God can have no more perfection or worthiness now, than he hath ever had : for as much as to that which is perfect in all respects, nothing more can be added. What then is this Work or Operation of Man, whereby he Glorifies God, and wherein doth it Consist ? Mans Glorifying of God consists in

this, that he performs that which God hath Commanded him, which Command is exprest, *Gen. 1 28. And God said unto them be fruitful and multiply, and replenish the Earth, and subdue it, and have Dominion over the Fish of the Sea, and over the Fowl of the Heaven, and over every living thing that creepeth upon the Earth.* So that we see God commands Man to be fruitful, and this Fruitfulness consists in *Multiplying*, which Expressions are Repeated *V. 22.* Man was also commanded to Replenish or *fill the Earth*, that is he should exalt the Earth to that perfection, to which it was to be brought, and advanced, *viz.* to be made Spiritual and Glorious. And that this is the sence of these words, appears from hence, that man hath an Earthly Body, which is maintained from the Earth, for Man continually Eats and is nourished with that which is earthly, which Earthly Body and Food hath been given him to the end he might make it Heavenly and Spiritual, as abundantly shewed, *1 Cor. 15.* and *V. 54.* it is said, that the Sinners Mortal Body shall be made Immortal, and incorruptible, &c. Yea the whole Duty of Man towards his God Consists in this, that he make the Earthly, or Dark and Watry Essence (whereof his Body Originally consists, according to *§. 98.*) Light and

and Glorious, and by this means approach near to the Divine, and unchangeable Light, and attain to Communion with the same. And to the end he might thus fill the Earth, that is, make it spiritual, God also Commanded *Adam to subdue the Earth*: whereby is not meant that man should have the Earth under his Feet, go upon it, or Till and Cultivate it, for this Nature sufficiently teacheth us, neither was there any need of a Command to inforce it: but hereby is intimated to us, that it is the great duty of Man to have the Earthly and Dark Essence whereof his Body doth Consist, under his power and Command; so as that the said Dark Essence might not bear Rule over the Fiery, but on the contrary, that his Glorious and Illuminated Spirit, should Rule over the Dark or Watry Essence whereof his Body doth consist, and over the Spirits that are in it, (of which see §. 107.) and the word **קָבַץ** signifies to *have Dominion over, to Conquer, to bring into Subjection and Obedience*. And when we put such a Sence as this upon these Words, *Replenish the Earth and Subdue it*, we shall find them to hold forth Wisdom and Understanding, and such as doth excellently agree and comport with the Nature of Man, seeing that he consists of these two different Essences,

viz.

viz. The Fiery and the Watry. Neither is there any thing, throughout the whole Current of Scripture, more prest upon, than to mortify his Flesh, to Subdue it, and Glorifie it through the Spirit, as hath been shewed §. 39 40, 105, 107. So that the same thing which was Commanded to *Adam, Gen. 1. 28.* the Sinner must now obtain through much suffering, and manifold Deaths.

§. 115. Seing therefore, that it is the great duty, and Work of Man, pursuant to Gods Command, to Subdue that which is Earthly and Bodily, and to make it Spiritual, it was of absolute necessity that he should be partaker of the Earthly, in order to his Glorifying of it. And for this Reason Man had an Earthly Body bestowed upon him, to the end he should make that Body which is fed and maintained from the Earth Spiritual and illuminate its Darknes.

Moreover, God gave to Man *Dominion over the Fishes of the Sea, and over the Fowl of Heaven, and over every living thing that creepeth upon the Earth.* And this was necessary because man was appointed to fill or replenish the Earth, and to Subdue it. In the word Earth being also comprehended whatsoever consists of the Earth or
Wa-

Water, because the Earth hath its Original from the Water ; to the end therefore that Man might have Dominion over all these Creatures, it was necessary for him to have some Communion with them, that is to be partaker of their Natures, to the end that Ruling over them, he might in, and through the Glorification of his own Body Glorify them also, and make them Spiritual. And this could have been done in and through *Adam*, because he being the Head of the Earth, did Govern all things by his Spirit, and therefore was partaker of all Living Creatures, that so they might not be exempted from his Dominion. And that *Adam* was thus Partaker of all Living things appears from this, that Man to this day doth Partake of the Fish, Fowl, and Beasts, because he enjoys the same for his Food and Nourishment. Moreover, which is well to be minded, he was also to *have Dominion over every Creeping thing*, viz. Worms and other Insects, which Worms were not without him, for the Body consists of Worms, as appears, because when it dies it turns to Worms, and Worms are the first Life of all Creatures, because all things that die turn to Worms.

§. 116. And to the end we may more
clearly

Clearly Dissect the Condition and Qualities of *Adam*, we are well to consider, that *Adam* before the Fall, did not consist, nor exist as we Sinners now do; for we are part and Members of *Adam*, which were in *Adam*, (as is hinted §. 73.) we therefore being the Members of *Adam*, cannot have the same Perfection which *Adam* had, who was the whole, that is, who had all Men in himself: And in this respect the Apostle saith very well 1 Cor. 12. That *we all are one Body*, yet so as that we are Members of that Body, now the Members have not one and the same, or an alike powerful Operation, neither are all of the same worth and dignity; nor hath every Member separately the same worthiness with the whole Body. Whence we understand that none of *Adams* Posterity can have the Excellency which *Adam* hath, because *Adam* is the Head and Body, whereof all Men are only the Members, and every Member Separately hath only the Perfection of being such a Member, and not of being the whole Body.

Neither is *Adam* only to be considered as the Head of all Mankind, and by consequence, far more excellent than any of his Posterity, but such also was the Privilege of *Adam*, that he had the whole Earth and all Creatures in himself, that is,
that

that he Ruled over them all, and this could not be , except that his Spirit had dwelt in all things , and Govern'd them. And that all Creatures were in *Adam*, that is, that the whole Earth, and all living Creatures were upheld and Govern'd by his Spirit, in the same manner as the Central Spirit of Man, upholds and Governs his whole Body ; we learn from hence, because when *Adam* had sinned , the whole Earth was cursed for his sake, *Gen. 3. 17.* Now if so be the whole Earth and all that is in it, had not been a part of *Adam*, what consequence, or connexion could there be in this, that upon *Adams* sinning the whole Earth should be declared sinful? Moreover we Read *Rom. 8. 19, 20, 21, 22.* That the Creature, as with a stretched forth head waiteth for the Manifestation of the Sons of God: For the Creature was made subject to Vanity, not willingly, but by or through him who hath subjected the same, in hope that the Creature it self also shall be delivered from the Bondage of Corruption, into the Glorious Liberty of the Sons of God. For we know that the whole Creation groaneth and is in travel together until now. When the Apostle here saith, that the whole Creation, that is, the whole Earth is made subject to Vanity or Corruption, by him who hath subjected it, viz. to Vanity, can this possibly be understood of any one

one but of *Adam*? No surely, for God had Commanded *Adam* that he should Subdue the Earth, or subject it, but not to Vanity, but by Glorifying the same, as hath been said §. 114. tho he did the contrary. If therefore *Adam*, being the Head and Governour of the whole Earth, hath made the whole Creation, *viz.* the Earth subject to Vanity, is not then this our Assertion true and stedfast, that the whole Earth and all that is in it, is a part of *Adam*. Yea the Apostle saith as much in plain words, by calling Men, the Earth, and whatsoever is in the same *one whole Creation*, or Creature; thereby expressly shewing, that the whole Earth is not any thing separate from *Adam*, but belongs to him, and makes up one Creature with him.

§. 117. But altho' God, according to §. 115. Created Man to Replenish the Earth, and to subdue it, yet withal God so Created man, that he could do the same of his Free Will without compulsion, as was shewed §. 33. 34. and sad experience sufficiently convinceth, that *Adam* could transgress this Command of God. But of this we shall Treat hereafter, when we come to speak of Mans Fall, and by what and how it happened.

A
P R E F A C E
OF THE
AUTHOR of the APPENDIX,
To the
READER.

I Heartily Wish that all Persons to whom it shall happen to have, and Read the small foregoing Treatise of Dr. Paulus Buchius, would please to receive the same with as kind and good Intentions as the Aged Author of this Appendix Presents it to them: He having taken the pains to cause it to be Translated out of the Dutch Manuscript into English; It was the Request of his Friends (after that they had read the said Translation) that he would be willing to add thereunto an Appendix, which should yet more Illustrate the Doctrine of the Revolution of Humane Souls: To which desire of theirs he yielded,

'd, and (as you here see) he hath caused it to be done in haste, which therefore falls short of that clearness of Stile which his Friend who first wrote in Dutch the foregoing Book could have given it, to have made it more intelligible then it is at present : Also for that very reason he cannot at present satisfy the Desires of some that he would Write a Commentary on the first four Chapters of Genesis which perhaps he may do hereafter.

Farewell.

F. M. Van Helmont.

AN

A N
APPENDIX
O F

Several Questions with their Answers Concerning the Hypothesis of the Revolution of Humane Souls.

Quest. 1. *T*He Hypothesis being this, viz. That every individual of Mankind must several times die and be Born again, in Order to the working out of their Salvation here in this World: It is Queried first, Whether any Books of this Author treating of such a Revolution of Humane Souls have been Printed and Published in divers Languages, and in different Countries?

Answer. 1. Yes, Diverse Books of this Authors Treating of such a Revolution of Humane

Humane Souls have been Printed in different Languages, and that in several Countries.

Quest. 2. What do some of the Learned judge concerning this Hypothesis?

Answ. 2. They are somewhat reserved and shy, openly to declare their Thoughts concerning this Matter; because it seems as yet to be very novel, and but little known to the most: But when reminded they may then remember, that both the Books which Treat thereof, as also many clear Texts of Holy Scripture, evincing the same, are not unknown to them: and then they must own, that it is impossible to understand many places of the Holy Scripture without this Hypothesis.

Quest. 3. What does the unlearned say of it?

Answ. Some of the Unlearned, having little or no judgement of their own, and being prepossessed with a prejudice from others, do suppose it to be a vain Opinion, which the Jews received from the Heathen.

Quest. 4. What is the reason that not any of the Learned have indeavoured to confute (as yet) these Books, nor manifestly to prove that there

there can be no such Revolution of Humane Souls?

Ans. Because when some write great Books it may, for the most part, be concluded, that they endeavour rather to drown a Doctrine, then to find out a Truth: So that it's not to be wondred at, that the Learned hitherto (for any thing I know) have not written against it , nor demonstrated (in short) that the return of Souls is impossible : in as much as it is impossible for them to perform this, as it is for them to demonstrate that the propagation of Mankind depends not on any precedent Generation, it having continued immortal from *Adam*.

Quest. 5. What is the reason why this Doctrine hath, for these many Ages, been as it were, lost amongst men in Europe?

Ans. The Reason why this Doctrine hath been so much hid is, because Men are become for the most part Corporeal and Carnal, so that they cannot see nor apprehend any thing, but what is suitable to, and (as we may say) tastes of this present Body of Flesh and Blood, though that also continually is changing, and remains not constantly the same.

Quest. 6. Whence did the wise Heathens derive

derive their knowledge in some part of this Doctrine?

Ans. The wise *Heathens* as *Socrates*, &c. have by continual Searching, been able to find out this Truth in part; forasmuch as the Mysteries of Holy Scripture were not made known to them, they could not arrive at the full Discovery thereof, but as it is manifest in Nature: But the wise *Jews* have drawn it fully from that Sacred Fountain.

Quest. 7. *How comes it to pass that the Jews of old, better understood this Doctrine (as appears by their Writings concerning the same) than modern Jews, and the wise Heathens?*

Ans. The *Jews* being at that time Gods peculiar chosen People, were consequently to abound more with Love than the *Heathens* did; wherefore also a clearer knowledge of this Doctrine was imparted to them, which the Modern *Jews* have much lost: In as much as the knowledge of this Truth, and true Love go hand in hand together, and are inseparable.

Quest. 8. *What then is true Love?*

Ans. Love is a perfect Emanation, or beaming forth of the Life, and is Spiritual, without which no perfect work can be wrought

wrought or brought forth either in Naturals or Supernaturals.

Quest. 9. What is the chiefest, most perfect, and wise Efficiency, energy, or out-working of the true Love ? and how may we have experience of the same, in our selves, and in the Creation ?

Ans. The true Spiritual, loving emanation of the Life every one, especially the Married Person, may rightly and fully have the experience of in himself, if so be he Generates his Child in true Love, and this is the beginning of the first Efficiency of love. The second is that wherewith the Child when it is Born is continually regarded and beheld, and wisely lookt after and Educated, the Parent still preserving and continuing the same love for his Child in himself, without prodigally lavishing out the same. So that they who thus give out prudently their Love, they will find by experience, that when their Children come to Discretion, and understanding, that this inward, reserved, quiet, and prudent Working-love, will increase in the Parents, and descend to the Children, and from them reflect back in true Obedience to the Parent. Moreover this reflection of the Childrens love to the Parents, cannot but produce a fresh increase of love in the Parents which pro-

longs the Life of Children, according to *Dent. 5. 16. Honour thy Father and thy Mother as the Lord thy God hath commanded thee, that thy Life may be long in the Land which the Lord thy God giveth thee.* Whence it appears, that the true Efficiency of Love, must be an Emanation of Life, because it can prolong the Lives of Children.

The same may also be experienced in a contrary Efficiency of the Life, viz. In a hatred mixt with fear, or in a Faith mixt with doubt, as may easily and plainly be found in such as are young Persons, coupled with old Wives or Husbands, and are desirous of their Deaths. For at the very same time, that they earnestly desire to be rid of them, they continually have secretly lurking in their minds an incessant belief or perswasion (which is an efficient power of the Life) that they will not dye: whereby the hated is prolonged to a very old Age. For at the very same time, that the young one earnestly desireth the death of the old one, the life of the young one works a Faith, or Belief, which preserves the old one alive: So that it is by their doubting, that their own proper love, life and faith, do all co-operate together. These and such like emanations of love and hatred, many have experienced, and have been sensible of, but never could conceive
what

what should be the Reason thereof ; which indeed was this , viz. because from their youth they have been filled with other Carnal, Corporeal , and contrary desires and notions.

Quest. 10. Can it be made out plainly, and in the consequent Effects thereof, even to the outward Senses, that the life of Man can destroy another life or body, either wholly or in part ; and immediatly form another new one, in all its parts which hath no similitude with the former ?

Answ. Yes this cannot be denied, because experience and Observation hath, and doth evince it by manifold Instances, whereof we will here insert one Narration relating to the change of an intire part of anothers Body. The Example is this : A certain Woman being with Child, and near her time, in searching for something amongst old Raggs, happened unexpectedly to touch with her Thumb, the dried foot of a Hare, which unknown to her lay amongst those Raggs; which soaffrightned her, that she immediatly (or soon after) fell into labour, and was delivered of a Child, whose Thumb of its right Hand was changed into a Hares Foot perfect in all its parts, as having all about it haire, and divided into Claws with sharp Nails at the end of them, &c.

Quest. 11. *How can it be proved, and made out, that persons deceased, dead and gone, do come to be born again, and to appear in this world, so as to be plainly discerned by their outward shape, and features?*

Ansiv. This is, and may be very evidently perceived in some places, as great Cities of Trade, where Persons of several Nations, (happen to be joyned together in Marriage, as for example, in *Flanders*, whither are transported old swarthy *Portugal* Merchants, who Marry with Young clear, white *Flemish* Women: And then it is frequently observed, that these swarthy Men get many fair and clear Daughters, by their Wives (for by the way observe, that the reason why they get rather Daughters then Sons, is because old Men do commonly bear greater love to young Women, then young Women do to old Men) Now when these white *Flemish* Womens Daughters are grown up, and Married to white *Flemish* men, and are gotten with Child by them, then will the Neighbour Citizens know by experience, and cry that the old *Portugeese* Grand-father will then appear or be born again in that Child: and accordingly, it generally happens that that Child in all its parts resembleth the swarthy *Portugees* its Grand-father. This is also often seen in a wise Father, who
begets

begets a foolish Son, and that Foolish Son begets a wise Son afterwards, so as the wisdom of the Grand-father comes to appear in the Grand-son: the same may be observed as to all other parts both of body and mind, betwixt such Relations. Moreover, the like is also sometime experienced by very old persons, that have lived to see four Generations descended from them; how that the Grand and great Grand-fathers have appeared in their Offspring, even to the third and fourth Generation. So that from hence, we may in part understand what is said in *Deut. 5. 8, 9, 10.* Where God strengthens his Commandment with this Reason: *For I the Lord thy God am a jealous God, visiting the sins of the Parents unto the third and fourth Generation, &c.*

Quest. 12. *Whether the first Sin committed by Adam and Eve, whereby they subjected themselves to the fleshly body, be Infinite?*

Ans. No, Their Sin could not be infinite, because their understanding was but finite.

Quest. 13. *Is there then any number or Measure to be assigned, whereby the Fall of Adam and Eve (wherein they became Bodily and Carnal) can be cleared and understood?* An-

Answ. Yes there is, and the same is the Number, *Four*: for each of them consisted of two Beings, *viz.* Male and Female, as all and every one of Mankind doth: every Man hath the Male nature as predominant in him, and besides that he hath the Female Nature also: So is it likewise with the Woman who hath the Female Nature predominant in her, and likewise the Male Nature in her too. And accordingly the Lord saith, *Gen.* 1. 27. That he had Created *Adam* and *Eve*, Male and Female, *viz.* In each person, so that altogether make up the Number *Four*. And therefore their Children and all their Posterity were to consist of Four Essences, as being their Off-Spring: And therefore, for this Reason they could not sin beyond that Number: whence it is said *Exod.* 20. 5. also *Ch.* 34. 7. *Visiting the Iniquity of the Fathers upon the Children, and upon the Childrens Children, unto the third, and to the fourth Generation, &c.*

Quest. 14. *How long a terme of time therefore was necessary for Adam and Eve to repent of, and to suffer for their Sins, and to attain to a restitution and a recovery?*

Answ. Both of them together require four Thousand Years: because (as was said) they consisted of four Essences; and ac-
cor-

cordingly the Psalmist saith in *Psalm*. 90. 3, 4. &c. *Thou turnest man to destruction, and sayest, return ye Children of men, for a thousand years are but as yesterday, &c.* which place of the *Psalms* is also cited by *St. Peter* in *2 Peter* ch. 3. v. 8. *One day is with the Lord as a thousand Years, and a thousand years as one day, &c.*

Quest. 15. *From whence may we begin the Computation of the Thousand Years of the life of these four Essences?*

Ans^r. From the perfect number [*Ten*] beyond which we cannot Number; also all men must consist of the Number [*Ten*], thus considered, viz. his four Essences are (as abovesaid) two Male, and two Female, and the Subdivision of the two Male is into five out-working Powers; and five likewise of the Female, which make 10. to which also doth correspond the two Lobes of the Brain, the right as being the Male, working-out Images, or Ideas; and the left receiving them, and then when thus compleated, and born (as it were) are they sent into the little Brain, which is the Root of all the Nerves of Motion; and thence through the Nerves are conveyed to the Right hand, which may be accounted the Male Hand, which hath five Fingers, and also the like to the left or Female Hand, to
Work

Work out ; all which, together make ten, and if we add to the former this other further Consideration, *viz.* That the same may be said of the two Feet, whose ten Toes added to the Hands ten Fingers make up twenty, which (hereafter) is proved to be the compleat Working out of a Man, he then becoming Ripe to bear Fruits, or to beget, and bring forth Children ; so as his Feet correspond to the Rootes, and his Hands to the Branches. And therefore hath no more then ten Fingers wherewith to effect and Work out their ten Properties, or Powers ; as it appears in a Woman big with Child, who upon some Fright, or put into any other Passion, doth there form on that very part of her Childs Body, some strange live Impression of some external thing, by an effective application of her own hand, upon that very part of her own Body. And forasmuch as man consisteth of ten Powers, or Faculties, therefore also ten Commandments have been given to him to observe : according to that in *Eccles. ch. 12. v. 13.* Let us hear the Conclusion of the Matter: *Fear God and keep his Commandments, for this is the whole Man.* This very Text of Holy Scripture, the Jews do make to be the Summary or chief Head of their whole *Talmud.*

Quest.

Quest. 16. *How may this be further explained ?*

Ans. Thus, viz. Children must remain in the Womb of their Mothers, for to attain or get, by the influence or Government of the Moon over the Monthly Courses, a perfect Body, in the space of ten Lunary Months, which consists of 40 Weeks. And seeing that Man is made of the ten Lunary Operations, That is the reason, why, when a Man doth Govern himself perversely, and to his utmost power, doth tye himself too much to his own Will and Fancy, he becomes Lunatick or Mad, and is then wholly under the Governing Rule of the Moon.

Quest. 17. *If the Terme of Mans life was appointed to be a thousand Years, how then comes it to pass, that Adam lived but 930. Years ?*

Ans. The reason was because of his Fall or Sin: And it became manifested in Cain, why Adam finished not his thousand Years, Seventy of them being wanting: which number Seventy consists of ten times seven, which was that vengeance that should be taken on any one that should kill Cain.

Quest. 18. *Why had Adam by his wife two Sons at one Birth, neither more nor less, as Gen. 4. 1, 2. doth shew ?*

Ans.

Answ. The reason seems to be this: because *Adam* had been in two different States, Conditions, or Beings, before that he knew *Eve*: The one was antecedent to his Fall; the other was after it. For which reason she was to conceive Twins, he knowing of her but once as appears by the Text, whereof in the order of Nature, the eldest must be born last, and the first Born last conceived: which is confirmed and made plain in that of *Gen.* 38. v. 27, 28, 29, 30. Both in the Words, and Action of *Tamars Midwife*, and the red Threed used by her, as it is there mentioned at large.

Quest. 19. *Why was Adam 130 Years of Age when he knew his Wife a second time, and she brought forth Seth, as it is in Gen. 4. 25. and Ch. 5. v. 3.*

Answ. May not this be supposed to be the Reason? Because this was the full time of *Cains* being Seven-fold avenged by *Lamech*, who had slain him, so that then he was not longer the keeper of his Brother *Abel*: when *Lamech* with great earnestness declared to his Wives, The Revolution of Humane Souls; saying, (*Gen.* 4. v. 23.) *Hear my Voice ye Wives of Lamech, for I have slain a man to my wounding, and a Young man, by Sodomy, (if the place in the Ori-*
gi-

ginal be truly Interpreted.) Also this Number of 7. may be taken in the manner as were the 20 Years in which the Young men were appointed to serve in the *Temple*, as it is in *Chron.* 23. v. 24, 27. to the end. Also in the manner of those who were to go out to War, as in *Num. Ch.* 1. v. 3, 18, 20, 22, 24, &c. To which if we allow to add about one Year and a half, after they were married for the bringing forth of Children, we shall find that the Number of 6 times 21 Years and a half, will make 129 Years: and then, if we further allow one year more to *Adam*, who was Created a perfect man, and who had therefore no need to stay till he was twenty Years of Age, before he begat Children. *Adams* Years will be found to amount to 130. in which *Cain* was 7 times avenged, that is, he passed through 7 such Lives, each containing $21\frac{1}{2}$ Years. And as the 130 Years of *Adam*, make up the time of *Cains Vengeance*: So the Number of *Lamechs Vengeance*, viz. 77 times $21\frac{1}{2}$ of Years will have for their Product the time from *Adams* to the Flood, viz. 1656. with a small allowance of half a Year only.

Quest. 20. *Must not all men, as to their peculiar individualities, receive and keep their whole and perfect Essence, and a continual descending*

scending flux of Generation from Adam and Eve, as being parts of them?

Answ. Yes, for otherwise, could those parts be taken away, lost and Annihilated, there would be remaining not so much as one single Man.

Quest. 21. Whether in the first Man Adam, were all men Created and comprehended in an infinite Number?

Answ. No, This could not be so, for many reasons which might be given; but let this one serve, *viz.* Because had it been so, then the World could never have come to an end in its out-working: and *Adam* would have been an unchangeable Being, which could never have died.

Quest. 22. Whether all those men, whom we find are born with one or more defects, either in Body, Mind, or Both were so Created at first in Adam?

Answ. No, this cannot be admitted: because, if it were so Men could not be the cause of their own defects, but the Creator should have been the cause of them; which to suppose, is altogether absurd and impossible: Because he is all good, Wise, and just, and could not be the cause of these the Defects of men, *viz.* To make them to be Fools, Blind, Deaf, Sickly, Lame,

Lame, Criples, Churlish, Cruel and ill natured, to be Monsters, and Abortives, &c. which have a true and right beginning, but are never brought to perfection.

Quest. 23. Whether all men who were Created in Adam had not given them to have knowledge and free-will, viz. To do that which is good, and to forbear that which is Evil?

Ans. This also cannot be denied by any rational man, for many Reasons needless to be recounted here.

Quest. 24. Whether Adam and his posterity were not to live and abide so long till they had, by Generation propagated and produced all those who were Created in him; being as many as were necessary to the completing of this World?

Ans. Neither can this reasonably be denied by any one, who rightly comprehends the Hypothesis here laid down.

Quest. 25. After that this perfect number of Mankind had been fully accomplished in being all born and brought forth, and that the generality of them was fallen into wickedness; whether hereupon it was not necessary that their days should be shortened, and reduced to 120 Years, as it is in Gen. 6. 3. to the end, that their wickedness, by this means might be shortened also: For in case they should have lived

many hundred Years (as before this accurtation) then their wickedness would have increased to that degree, that the predestinated, or appointed time of the Worlds duration could not have allowed room for sufficient Revolutions or Regenerations, wherein men might come to suffer for their evil deeds; which the Scripture tells us, (Rom. 6. v. 2. 7. and 1 Pet. 1. 17. and Rev. 14. 13. and ch. 20. 13.) must follow them, and have the same measure meeted out to them which they have measured to others, Mat. 7. 2. Mark 4. 24. Luke 6. 38. Rev. 13. 10.) to the end, that having at last, through manifold sufferings and dyings, altogether subjected, and spiritualized their Bodies, Elohim might attain his designed end and purpose?

Ans. No sound and understanding Theologue can or will disallow of the affirmative to this Question.

Quest. 26. What is the reason of the Law expressed in Deut. 23. 2. A Bastard shall not enter into the Congregation of the Lord, even to his tenth Generation, &c. For by a Bastard here is properly to be understood, a Child born in Adultery, of a married Wife. Now, as in this case, the uniting, or Marriage-band of the aforesaid Number of [Ten] is broken, (as appears in a foregoing Question) whether (I say) accordingly this Bastard, (who formerly

ly also must have been an *Adulterer*) and whose works must follow him ; and the same measure must be meted to him again, which he hath measured to another, as the above cited Scriptures tell us) must not die, and be born again ten times in order to his obtaining to the perfect number, viz. From one to ten : which he had lost by his *Adultery* ; and may not this be illustrated by this following simile, viz. Suppose that a good Gardener, having in his Garden many wild Olive Trees, and amongst the rest, should be one planted, and ingrafted at several times, with ten of its own Scions, every one being the product of a former Ingrafting, he having ingrafted them, still higher and higher, in hopes that from his tenth perfect or full grown Scion, he should have sufficient wherewith to ingraft all his other wild Olive-Trees, and by this means obtain many good Fruits from them (every Ingrafting being a death (nothing being bettered but by loosing its former life, which is a Death) by which they become gradually better, and better,) now should this Gardener take a journey, and at his return, find this Tree either stoln or removed or cut down which he had Ingrafted ten times before, and another wild Olive Tree planted in its stead ; would not this good Gardener, in all probability take the same course with this new, strange and changed wild Olive Tree, by endeavouring to Graft it likewise ten several times

times in order to its Melioration, as he did to the former ?

Ans. Surely Yes.

I have observed and found in one of the greater sort of Figgs, about 666 several Seeds. Now if any person would take into consideration one of the said Seeds, he would find that naturally it consists of three parts. Also he will find, that the first is the Body, which is the outward visible, and mortal part ; wherein there lies hidden, two immortal and Spiritual principles or Beings, which formed and produced the first part, *viz.* the Body, by drawing nourishment from the Earth. The Second part is the Watry, Female, Spiritual, and immortal substance, out of which is produced the first mortal part, *viz.* the Body. The third part is a Fiery, Powerful, Male, Spiritual, and immortal Being, which is the chief Work-master of his Body, and that out of the second Female waterish Principle. It is not easily to be denyed, that the two Spiritual parts of the above-written Seeds. Should not contain hidden in their inward essential and spiritual parts, a perfect Figg-Tree, with Figgs and Seeds, to be brought forth in due time, when sown, then they will manifest Trees, Fruits, and Seeds : and so in few Years would bring forth and multiply an incomprehensible num-

number of Trees , which neither this World, nor others besides would be able to contain them.

But the All-wise Creator , who made this World, hath so perfectly Created and ordered it, that there is neither too much nor too little in it : So that none of the smallest Creatures can vanish to nothing, not one excepted; by reason that all the parts are necessary to make up a whole.

So that it was necessary that the Creator should Create so many Seeds, Figgs, and Trees as the Earth should have need to bring forth for this whole World, and no more : it not being (as some think) that some parts can go to nothing, when they are burned or corrupted. Now because some are too corporeally and fleshly darkened in their minds and understandings, they cannot discern that the Spiritual part abovesaid cannot be lost, nor die: because the Spiritual part is the former, and maintainer of the Bodies. Should any one read this, and also seriously take into his consideration what stands in *Gen. 3. 22.* *And Adam called his wifes name Eve, (or Chavah) because she was the Mother of all living:* He would readily grant that it would be impossible that any man could have his Being

and not have it from her, or not to be a partaker of hers.

Now let it be remarked that all that is abovesaid, doth by a natural Analogy describe also how Man, together with all other Animal Creatures and Beings are produced, propagated, and Multiplied, our Saviour himself using a Similitude of this sort for this very purpose in *Mat.* 12. 24.

Certain

*Certain QUERIES, to be
yet added.*

I. **W**Hether if *Adam* and *Eve* had not transgressed and fallen, would there have been need of a Temple, or Worship therein ; they then worshipping God, according to that in 4. *John* v. 23. 24. *in Spirit and in Truth*? and consequently were not they therefore (as Christ said of himself, *Mat.* 12. 6, &c.) *greater then the Temple*?

II. Whether if *Adam* had not fallen, would not *Eve* have conceived Spiritually, as the Blessed *Virgin* did, *viz.* By the power of the *Holy Ghost*?

III. Whether, seing that a Temple was commanded to be Built, did it not represent the Whole Man, *viz.* The Holy of Holies, representing the inward Spiritual Man, which is the Temple wherein God appears to Man, for to assist and help him for his Good?

IV. Whether if the Temple did represent Man, ought it not therefore to be
P 2 built

built according to the Measures of Man, whom it did represent ?

V. Whether if the Temple was 46 years in building, doth not that number of time, signify the number which is proper to the make or essential Being of Man, which requires 10 Lunar Months, or forty Weeks, to abide in the Womb for the formation of his Body, which is made out of the humidity that is governed by the Moon ?

VI. Whether the 6 years may not correspond to the 6 days of the Creation.

VII. Whether when our Lord Christ was tempted in the Wilderness, as in *Mat.* 4. 1, 2. and fasted 40 days and nights, did he not in that fasting consume the flesh of the Old Man, and Spiritualize it ? and after it is said in V. 2. *he hungered*, that is, to bring back Humane Nature into its true state and Restoration, in and by himself ?

VIII. Whether in case it be found true, that after 4000 Years from the Creation (according to the computation of the Holy Writ it self, and also of natural Reason) the Fall of *Adam* and *Eve* was then to be wrought out and ended ; must not consequently this be the fulness of time, wherein the *Messiah* was to come and appear ?

IX. Whether, if *Adam* (as abovesaid) had not fallen, he would have Spiritually conceived

ceived ; must it not of necessity follow, that the *Messiah* being to come in the place of *Adam*, must be likewise Spiritually conceived of a *Virgin*?

X. Whether, if Christ, who was to become the *Messias*, and was therefore to be united to *Adam* ; and *Adam* was to be restored and perfected through Death and Sufferings ; doth it not hence follow, that Christ also ought to suffer Death, to obtain a Glorious Redemption, and Resurrection for us?

XI. Whether as Christ was born under the Law, must he not be subjected to the Law ? and accordingly did he not perfectly fulfil the Law, as in *Mat.* 5. 18. and *Luke* 16. 17.

XII. Whether therefore was it not necessary that all the Righteous Duties which the Law commands, should be performed, and compleated by him : and moreover, that he should do, teach, and command us, to love our Enemies ; to be poor in Spirit, and submit to Death : whereby to obtain Life, and many other such like Virtues ?

XIII. Whether he was not therefore to give them the Power (as in *John* 2. 19, 20, 21, 22.) to esteem and use him as a Sinner ; and to hang him on the Cross, as *Moses* did the Serpent in the Wilderness, (as in *John* 3. 14) Seing that all Created Bodies

dies are made out of Worms, or creeping things, of which the Serpent is to be reckoned the chiefest. Which Serpent was in *Eve* (as was all Beings in the World, as she was the Mother of all Living, as it is said in *Gen. 3. 20.*) and deceived her.

XIV. Whether the *Messiah* (as being the Seed of the Woman) hath not broken the head of the Serpent; having through death, finished Redemption, as he himself testified on the Cross, as in *Iohn 19. 30.*

XV. Whether considering Christ did outwardly, and visibly appear in the Flesh was it not necessary the outward and visible Ear should hear a Voice from Heaven signifying the same as in *Luk. 9.* from 30. to 35.

XVI. Whether if we rightly consider what our Saviour saith, *Mat. 10. 5. 6. Mark. 6. 7, 8. Luke 9. 1, 2, 3. Luke 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Acts 13. 46.* Where he sends forth and commanded his twelve Disciples not to go in the way of the *Gentiles*, neither into any City of the *Samaritans*, but rather to the lost Sheep of the House of *Israel*, and to Preach, saying *The Kingdom of God is at hand*, we may not find Cause to suppose that he came to prepare the Kingdom for the *Jews*, he having before sent his *Disciples* to prepare them for the Kingdom, especially considering *Acts 1. 6.* The Disciples asking if he would then re-

restore the Kingdom to *Israel*, and his Answer, that after they had received the Holy Ghost they should go not only where he had before sent them but likewise to those Places then forbidden, which if this Supposition be rejected, what Wisdom or Coherence should there be in these Texts.

Seing Christ himself gives us the Parable of the ten Virgins *Mat.* 25. 1. &c. whereof five were Wise, and five Foolish: may we not suppose them to be the *Jews* and *Gentiles*, and the five wise to represent the *Jews* they being those holy Bodies that arose out of the Graves, *Mat.* 27, 25. and went into the Holy City with those who were to arise and ascend with him to whom the Kingdom of Heaven was near: The foolish representing the *Gentiles*, who were to buy Oyle, their time being not yet come wherein they were to enter, and therefore our Saviour bids them watch against his second coming at the end of the World at which time they were to enter.

XVII. Whether when the *Messias* died, he signifying the Temple, was it not necessary that the Vail of the Temple should be Rent from the top to the bottom, as in *Mat.* 27. 51. &c. And all seperation now to be taken away between God and Man; between man and man also, as in *Gal.* 3. 28. and *Col.* 3. 11.

XVIII.

XVIII. Whether was it not necessary, that after 3 days, he should arise again from the Dead; and that the Graves should be opened, and many holy Bodies should arise with him out of the Grave, as in *Mat* 27. 52, 53 to shew forth the Virtue and Power with which he was endued, to draw all men after him, (as in *John* 12. 32.) they being the first-fruits, (as in *1 Cor.* 15. 20, 21, 22. 23. and in *1 James* 18. and *Rom* 14. 4) that had finished their Revolution?

XIX. Whether Holy Writ in *Acts* 1. 3. Signifying that Christ continued 40 days, Walking on the Earth, before he ascended, as in *Acts* 1. 9. may we not conclude, that it is signified to us, that in 40 Years, the whole Temple should be destroyed? he having in forty dayes finished the Work he came to do, as in *John* 17. 4. Forty being the four out-workings of Male and Female, wherein all do compleat the final fulfilling of their own Revolutions? which I wish to all men as well as to my own self.

Here

*Here follows An Extract from the
last Words of the Philosopher
Socrates, concerning The Im-
mortality of SOULS.*

WHen *Socrates* had thus spoken, *Cebes* began and said, All is right what thou hast spoken, O *Socrates*: But as for the Soul; Men are generally very apt to disbelieve that Souls after their departure, do exist any where, but think that at the same time that a Man Dies, his Soul perisheth, and that it is no sooner let loose from the Body, but it is annihilated, and like a Wind or Smoak, vanisheth, and disappears. But if it be so that the Soul Exists some where gathered up into her self, and delivered from those Evils and Mischiefs thou hast mentioned, then may we have a great and good hope, O *Socrates*, that all you have discours'd is true. Yet perhaps there will be need of strong perswasion and Belief, to make people think, that the Soul after its departure doth still exist, and hath some power and understanding.

Soc

Soc. True O *Cebes*. But what thinkest thou if we should discourse a little about it, whether it be propable that it is so, or not, *Cebes*? That would be very acceptable to me, and I should with great satisfaction hear your Opinion concerning these Matters.

Soc. I trust no body hearing us discoursing of these things, will report of us that we spend the time in vain and unprofitable talk. If you think good therefore, and it may not be thought improper for us to search into this Matter; let us first of all enquire and consider, *whether the Soul of the deceased do exist after this life or not.*

There is an Old Proverb now comes into my mind, which saith, *That Souls as they go from hence thither, so they also come hither from thence, and return from the Dead.* If this now be true that the Living proceed or come out of the Dead, then our Souls must have been something formerly there; for had they been nothing, they could never have returned thence, and this is a sufficient token that it is so, if we can prove that *the Living proceed from no where else than from the Dead.* But if this cannot be proved, we must produce some other Argument. *Ceb.* Very right.

Soc. We may trace this, not only in Man, but if we desire to understand it more clear-

clearly in Animals also, and Plants, and in a Word, in every thing that is born or generated ; whether all things be not Generated in such a manner, as that one contrary always proceeds from the other. For instance, that which is *Beautiful* is contrary to that which is *Ugly* or (deformed) that which is *Just* to that which is *Unjust*. Let us therefore consider whether every thing that hath a contrary, doth not of necessity proceed from nothing else but from that its contrary? As when a thing grows greater, it of necessity grows greater out of that which is less. *Ceb.* That is very true.

Soc. In like manner, if any thing grows less is it not out of something that before was greater ?

Ceb. Certainly so.

Soc. That which is weaker doth it not become so, out of that which was stronger.

Ceb. Yes Surely.

Soc. Also when any thing grows worse, is it not out of something that was better ; if just is it not out of unjust ? *Ceb.* Plainly.

Soc. Wherefore it appears that it happens thus to all things, *Viz.* That one contrary still proceeds from the other.

Ceb. It does so.

Soc. Again is there not also some such like thing, between both these contrary Beings, by means whereof the one is Born
or

or proceeds from the other? As betwixt Great and Little there is encrease and decrease, and of the one we say, that it encreaseth, and of the other, that it decreaseth. *Ceb.* It is so.

Soc. And is not the case the same in *Dividing* and joyning, or *mingling*, and when any thing *grows cold* or *warm*? Yet so, as that every thing comes forth from its contrary, and that there happens a birth out of one contrary into the other, out of this into that, and again out of that into this? *Ceb.* There does so.

Soc. Now then is there no contrary that answers to the word *to Live*, as to the word to watch, or *be awake*, belongs that of *Sleeping*, as the contrary to being awake.

Ceb. Ay certainly.

Soc. What is then the contrary or opposite of *to Live*? *Ceb.* Why *to Dye* or *to be Dead*.

So. Do not these therefore proceed from each other, seing that they are contrary or opposite to one another. And seing these be two things, are there not two Births between them both? *Ceb.* I conceive so.

Soc. Now from these Primitive Words do proceed Derivative, and Analogous Denominations: I shall give you the Explication of one of these, and do you give me the same of the other. I say then, that to
sleep,

sleep, and to *be awake* are contrary to one another, and that out of *waking* comes *sleeping*, and again out of *sleeping*, *waking*, and that between both these, to *slumber*, and *awake*; are set as intervening Births. Are you satisfied or not. *Ceb.* You have fully satisfied me. *Soc.* Do you the same then of *Life* and *Death*. Dost not thou say that these are contrary? *Ceb.* Ay verily. *Soc.* and that the one is born out of the other? *Ceb.* Even so.

Soc. What grows or proceeds then from the Living? *Ceb.* The *Dying*, or that which is *Dead*. *Soc.* And what out of that which is *Dead*? *Ceb.* Thence of necessity the Living must proceed.

Soc. Well then, the Living proceed from the Dead. *Ceb.* That is evident. *Soc.* And if so, our departed Souls are some where. *Ceb.* It should seem so.

Soc. And is not one of these Births manifest, viz. *Dying*? *Ceb.* it is so

Soc. What shall we say then of the other contrary, shall Nature be lame (or defective) in this point only, or must we grant something that is contrary to *being Dead*? *Ceb.* Surely we must.

Soc. And pray what is that? *Ceb.* To *become alive* again. *Soc.* If this be so, there must of necessity be a return from the *Dead*, to life again. *Ceb.* There must so. *Soc.*

It

It appears then from this Argument (or Reason) that the Living proceed from the Dead, as well as the Dead come of the Living. And if this be true, we may with sufficient ground Conjecture from hence, that the Souls of the Deceased must of necessity be somewhere, from whence they return. *Ceb.* It appears plainly to me, *O Socrates*, that this must follow from what hath been above granted.

Soc. Consider, dear *Cebes*, that what we have before granted, was not without good ground, for except the one did continually follow upon the neck of the other, and so went round, as in a Circle; but that all things did in a straight line tend to their contraries, without returning thence again, then must all things finally terminate in one form and quality, and Generation must cease.

. *Ceb.* What do you say *Socrates*?

Soc. What I say is somewhat difficult to be understood; as for instance, if one should say that men fall asleep, but never wake again out of that sleep, then all things would come to that (one contrary) so that what is storied of *Endymion*, would be no longer a ridiculous Fable, and that he never appeared afterwards: seeing that all must at last in like manner fall asleep, and continue so.

And

And if all things should be mingled together, and never more be divided or separated, that of *Anaxagoras* would sometime or other suddenly befall us, who held that all things should once be hurled into confusion. In like manner, Dear *Cebes*, if this be the case, that whatsoever hath received life must dye, and what is once dead continues so, without ever returning to life again, then of necessity it must at last come to this, that all things be dead, and that no living thing be left: for if any thing proceeds out of any thing that is living, and that living thing Dies, what then shall hinder, but that all must be swallowed up by Death at last? *Ceb.* Nothing verily, for your words, *O Socrates*, seem very true.

Soc. And such indeed they appear likewise to me *O Cebes*; neither do we pretend this as being mistaken, but it is in very deed so, as Springing up from a Resuscitation, that out of the Dead come the Living, and that deceased Souls do still exist, and that it goes well with the Good and ill with the Wicked.

Socrates proceeded in his Discourse concerning the Immortality of the Soul, and declared *how that* all Sciences we learn outwardly from others, lay hid before in the

the inmost ground of Man, and by means of outward Objects and Applications, were only excited (or awakened) in us, and brought to our memories.

F I N I S.

